M01 — BUSINESS STRATEGY

# STRATEGIC POSITIONING, GLOBAL EXPANSION, AND DISRUPTIVE INNOVATION IN MODERN BUSINESS

*A Comprehensive Analysis of Competitive Advantage, International Market Entry, and Business Model Innovation*

**Student Name:** Vaghani Paras Hareshbhai

**Student ID:** DE240399

**Module Code & Name:** M01 — Business Strategy

**Submission Deadline**: 04/09/2026

**Institution**: EIIET (European Institute of Innovation)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

**Section TitlePage**


1. Abstract 2

2. Introduction 3

3. Literature Review 4

4. Methodology 5

5. Assignment 1: Strategic Positioning & Competitive Advantage 6

6. Assignment 2: Global Expansion Case Study (Starbucks) 7

7. Assignment 3: Innovation and Disruption in Business Strategy 8

8. Discussion & Strategic Integration 9

9. Conclusion 10

10. References 11

11. Appendices 12


## 1. ABSTRACT


This report investigates three fundamental dimensions of modern business strategy: creating and sustaining competitive advantage, managing strategic decision-making in global market expansion, and responding to disruptive innovation. In Assignment 1, the theoretical frameworks of Porter's Generic Strategies, the Resource-Based View (RBV), and Blue Ocean Strategy are evaluated to explain how companies secure long-term profitability. Assignment 2 examines the international expansion strategy of Starbucks, analyzing how the brand balanced standard business operations with local cultural adaptation in diverse global markets like China and Japan. Assignment 3 explores how disruptive innovations disrupt traditional market leaders and assesses incumbent response strategies using examples such as Netflix and Apple. Using a qualitative secondary research methodology, this paper synthesizes business strategy literature and corporate case studies to offer actionable recommendations for contemporary business leaders operating in volatile markets.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

In today's fast-changing global business environment, organizations face continuous pressure from global competition, shifting consumer preferences, and rapid technological advancements. To survive and grow, companies must formulate and execute strategies that not only establish a strong market position but also allow for long-term sustainability (Grant, 2019). Strategy is essentially about making choices—deciding where to play, how to win, and how to create unique value that competitors cannot easily copy (Porter, 1996).

This report is structured into three main thematic assignments that reflect the core challenges faced by modern strategic managers. The first assignment explores how organizations build competitive advantage using established strategic management theories such as Porter’s Generic Strategies, Barney’s Resource-Based View (RBV), and Kim and Mauborgne’s Blue Ocean Strategy. Real-world examples such as IKEA, Apple, and Cirque du Soleil are used to show how these frameworks work in practice.

The second assignment shifts focus to international business strategy by analyzing the global expansion of Starbucks. Entering foreign markets requires companies to make critical decisions regarding market entry modes, cultural adaptation, and brand preservation. The case study examines how Starbucks adjusted its store formats, product offerings, and partner relationships when entering Asian and European markets while maintaining its core "third place" brand identity (Gamble et al., 2021).

The third assignment addresses the challenge of technological disruption and business model innovation. Grounded in Christensen’s theory of disruptive innovation, this section analyzes how new entrants displace market leaders and evaluates how incumbent firms can respond effectively through dual-operating models, corporate venture capital, or internal digital transformation (Christensen, 1997).

## 3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Strategic management literature provides various perspectives on how firms achieve superior performance. Porter (1985) introduced the industrial organization (I/O) view, arguing that external industry structure primarily determines a firm's profitability. According to Porter, firms must choose between cost leadership, differentiation, or focus strategies to build a defensible market position and avoid being "stuck in the middle."

### 3.1 Internal Capabilities vs. External Positioning

In contrast to the external focus of industrial organization theory, the Resource-Based View (RBV) proposed by Barney (1991) looks inside the firm. RBV suggests that competitive advantage comes from possessing valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN/VRIO) resources and capabilities. While Porter emphasizes structural market positioning, RBV highlights internal core competencies, organizational routines, and intellectual property as the main sources of sustained profitability (Peteraf, 1993).

### 3.2 Red Oceans vs. Blue Oceans and Global Adaptation

Kim and Mauborgne (2005) expanded strategic thinking by introducing Blue Ocean Strategy. They argued that competing in existing, overcrowded markets ("Red Oceans") leads to shrinking profit margins and intense price wars. Instead, firms should create uncontested market space ("Blue Oceans") by breaking the trade-off between differentiation and low cost through value innovation. Meanwhile, in international business literature, Bartlett and Ghoshal (1989) emphasized the "transnational strategy," where multinational corporations must balance global integration with local responsiveness to succeed across diverse geographic regions.

## 4. METHODOLOGY

This study uses a qualitative secondary research methodology to examine business strategy concepts, international expansion models, and corporate innovation responses. Secondary research is an established academic approach that allows researchers to synthesize existing theoretical literature, empirical studies, and real-world corporate case studies to draw meaningful analytical insights (Saunders et al., 2019).

### 4.1 Data Collection and Source Selection

Data for this report was collected from academic databases such as EBSCOhost, ScienceDirect, Emerald Insight, and Google Scholar. The search targeted peer-reviewed journal articles in strategic management, international business, and technology innovation. Key search terms included: *Porter's generic strategies*, *Resource-Based View VRIO framework*, *Blue Ocean Strategy case studies*, *Starbucks global expansion strategy*, and *disruptive innovation incumbent responses*. In addition, secondary corporate data from company annual reports, SEC filings, and trusted business publications (e.g., Harvard Business Review, Financial Times) were reviewed.

### 4.2 Analytical Framework

The collected information was analyzed using thematic qualitative analysis. Theoretical frameworks were systematically applied to corporate case examples to evaluate their practical relevance. The evaluation criteria centered on strategic fit, operational execution, financial performance sustainability, and organizational adaptability across different market environments.

## 5. ASSIGNMENT 1: STRATEGIC POSITIONING & COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE

Creating and sustaining a competitive advantage is the primary goal of business strategy. A company holds a competitive advantage when it earns a higher rate of profit than the industry average or can attract more customers through superior value (Grant, 2019).

### 5.1 Porter's Generic Strategies

Porter (1985) states that firms can achieve competitive advantage through two primary routes: Cost Leadership or Differentiation, applied across a broad or narrow target scope. **Cost Leadership** requires firms to become the lowest-cost producer in the industry through economies of scale, efficient technology, and strict cost control. For example, *Walmart* uses efficient supply chain management and bulk purchasing power to offer "Everyday Low Prices." On the other hand, **Differentiation** involves offering unique product features, premium quality, or strong brand reputation that allows firms to charge a premium price. *Apple* successfully applies differentiation by designing elegant hardware, intuitive software ecosystems, and strong brand loyalty.

### 5.2 Resource-Based View (RBV) and VRIO Framework

According to Barney (1991), sustained competitive advantage depends on internal resources rather than external market position alone. To provide a long-term advantage, resources must satisfy the VRIO criteria (Valuable, Rare, Inimitable, and Organized). For instance, *Coca-Cola's* secret recipe, global distribution network, and brand reputation are highly inimitable and valuable, allowing the company to maintain high market share for decades.

### 5.3 Blue Ocean Strategy

Instead of battling competitors in existing markets, Blue Ocean Strategy encourages companies to create new market space (Kim & Mauborgne, 2005). *Cirque du Soleil* achieved this by eliminating expensive animal acts and star performers while adding theatrical elements and original music, attracting adult theater-goers willing to pay higher ticket prices.

## 6. ASSIGNMENT 2: STRATEGIC DECISION-MAKING IN GLOBAL EXPANSION (STARBUCKS CASE)

Global expansion is a critical growth strategy for mature corporations, but it introduces significant market, cultural, and operational risks. Starbucks' international journey illustrates how a service brand can expand globally by balancing global standardization with local adaptation (Gamble et al., 2021).

### 6.1 Starbucks' Core Business Model and International Strategy

Starbucks' original success in the United States was built on selling high-quality Arabica coffee and positioning its stores as the "Third Place"—a comfortable space between home and work. When expanding internationally, Starbucks initially used joint ventures and licensing agreements with local partners to lower financial risk and gain local market knowledge before acquiring full ownership in key regions (Starbucks Corporation, 2020).

### 6.2 Adapting to Local Markets: The China and Japan Experience

In China, a traditionally tea-drinking society, Starbucks adapted its strategy significantly. Instead of pushing pure espresso drinks, Starbucks introduced local flavors such as Green Tea Frappuccinos and Mooncakes during traditional festivals. Store layouts were made larger with bigger seating areas to accommodate family and business group gatherings. Furthermore, Starbucks built strong partnerships with local tech platforms like Alibaba and WeChat to offer digital payments and mobile ordering, which are vital in the Chinese market. In Japan, Starbucks adjusted portion sizes and sweetness levels to fit local consumer tastes, leading to high brand acceptance.

### 6.3 Challenges and Strategic Realignments

However, global expansion has not been without missteps. In Australia, Starbucks expanded too quickly without understanding the strong local espresso coffee culture, resulting in store closures and financial losses. This experience highlighted the importance of localized market research before rapid scale-up.

## 7. ASSIGNMENT 3: INNOVATION AND DISRUPTION IN BUSINESS STRATEGY

Disruptive innovation occurs when a smaller company with fewer resources successfully challenges established incumbent businesses (Christensen, 1997). Disruptive products often start in simple or low-end market segments, offering lower prices or greater convenience, and gradually move upmarket to displace market leaders.

### 7.1 Mechanics of Disruption: Netflix vs. Blockbuster

A classic example of disruptive innovation is *Netflix's* displacement of *Blockbuster*. Blockbuster generated high revenue from retail stores and late fees. Netflix started as a subscription DVD-by-mail service with no late fees, catering to low-end customers who were willing to wait a few days for movies. As internet bandwidth improved, Netflix transitioned into digital video streaming, transforming how consumers watch media. Blockbuster failed to adapt its business model quickly enough, leading to bankruptcy in 2010.

### 7.2 Incumbent Responses to Disruptive Technologies

Established companies often struggle to respond to disruption because their existing management incentives and operational processes are tied to serving their most profitable current customers (Christensen, 1997). To survive disruption, incumbents can adopt several strategic responses:

1. **Dual Organizational Structure:** Establishing an independent business unit with its own budget and leadership to develop disruptive technologies without interference from the core business (O'Reilly & Tushman, 2004).

2. **Strategic Acquisition:** Acquiring innovative startups to acquire new technologies and business models early (e.g., Facebook acquiring Instagram and WhatsApp).

## 8. DISCUSSION & STRATEGIC INTEGRATION

Synthesizing the three assignments demonstrates that strategic positioning, global expansion, and innovation management are closely linked. A firm cannot rely on a static competitive advantage; it must continuously evolve its capabilities and strategic positioning over time.

| Strategic Dimension | Core Theoretical Framework | Practical Corporate Example | Key Strategic Challenge |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Competitive Positioning** | Porter's Generic Strategies / RBV | Apple Inc. / Walmart | Avoiding price competition & protecting internal VRIO resources. |
| **Global Market Entry** | Transnational Strategy (Glocalization) | Starbucks Coffee | Balancing global brand identity with local cultural preferences. |
| **Strategic Innovation** | Disruptive Innovation Theory | Netflix / Blockbuster | Overcoming organizational inertia & cannibalizing old revenue streams. |

As shown in the synthesis table, successful organizations integrate internal resource building with external market positioning. When entering global markets, companies must apply their core capabilities while adapting operational models to local consumer behavior. Furthermore, when facing disruptive threats, leaders must be willing to transform their business models before new entrants render them obsolete.

## 9. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive report has analyzed the core pillars of business strategy across competitive positioning, global expansion, and disruptive innovation. Achieving sustained competitive advantage requires organizations to carefully align their internal resource capabilities (RBV) with defensible external market positions (Porter's Generic Strategies) or create uncontested market spaces (Blue Ocean Strategy).

The strategic expansion case study of Starbucks shows that international success depends on a balanced "glocalization" approach. Global brand identity provides customer recognition and trust, but local adaptation in product offerings, store design, and digital engagement is necessary to achieve market penetration in diverse international regions.

Finally, the analysis of disruptive innovation highlights that technological changes can quickly reshape industry structures. Established businesses must build dynamic capabilities, foster a culture of continuous learning, and establish flexible organizational structures to adapt to technological disruptions. By combining strategic discipline with agility, modern organizations can build sustainable competitive advantages in an increasingly complex global economy.

## 10. REFERENCES


Barney, J. (1991). 'Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage', *Journal of Management*, 17(1), pp. 99–120.

Bartlett, C. A. and Ghoshal, S. (1989). *Managing Across Borders: The Transnational Solution*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

Christensen, C. M. (1997). *The Innovator's Dilemma: When New Technologies Cause Great Firms to Fail*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

Gamble, J. E., Peteraf, M. A. and Thompson, A. A. (2021). *Essentials of Strategic Management: The Quest for Competitive Advantage*. 7th edn. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.

Grant, R. M. (2019). *Contemporary Strategy Analysis*. 10th edn. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.

Kim, W. C. and Mauborgne, R. (2005). *Blue Ocean Strategy: How to Create Uncontested Market Space and Make the Competition Irrelevant*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

O'Reilly, C. A. and Tushman, M. L. (2004). 'The ambidextrous organization', *Harvard Business Review*, 82(4), pp. 74–83.

Peteraf, M. A. (1993). 'The cornerstones of competitive advantage: A resource-based view', *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(3), pp. 179–191.

Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: Free Press.

Porter, M. E. (1996). 'What is strategy?', *Harvard Business Review*, 74(6), pp. 61–78.

Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research Methods for Business Students*. 8th edn. Harlow: Pearson Education.

Starbucks Corporation. (2020). *Fiscal 2020 Annual Report*. Seattle: Starbucks Corporation.

## 11. APPENDICES

### Appendix A: VRIO Resource Evaluation Matrix

| Resource / Capability | Valuable? | Rare? | Inimitable? | Organized? | Competitive Implications |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Apple iOS Ecosystem | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Sustained Competitive Advantage |
| Starbucks Store Locations | Yes | Yes | Moderate | Yes | Temporary/Sustained Advantage |
| Standard Retail Coffee Machine | Yes | No | No | Yes | Competitive Parity |

### Appendix B: Academic Declaration of Originality

I declare that this assignment represents my own original work for the module M01 Business Strategy. All secondary literature, theories, and corporate cases cited in this document have been properly credited using the APA 7th edition referencing style. No part of this paper has been copied without attribution.