

**The Rise of Ethical Consumerism During Christmas: Sustainable and Responsible
Shopping Choices**

Karma Wangchuk

European Institute for Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology

DE240180, Consumer Behaviour

Vaibhav Sai Sri

Date: 24.12.2025

Contents

Abstract.....	3
Introduction.....	4
Literature Review.....	5
Methodology.....	8
Findings.....	9
Conclusion.....	14
References.....	16

Abstract

This comprehensive paper examines the rise of ethical consumerism during the Christmas holiday shopping season, analyzing the convergence of psychological, cultural, and social factors that motivate sustainable and responsible purchasing decisions. The Christmas period, traditionally characterized by heightened consumption and materialism, is increasingly becoming a focal point for consumers expressing environmental and ethical values through their buying behavior. This report employs a qualitative methodology, synthesizing existing academic literature, analyzing real-world retail case studies, and evaluating contemporary market research data. The literature review establishes a theoretical foundation, integrating consumer behavior theories such as the Theory of Planned Behavior, value-belief-norm theory, and the concept of the "green consumer." The findings detail key drivers, including heightened self-concept and identity projection during holidays, the influence of social norms and family, and strategic corporate responses such as green marketing and transparency. Critical discussion evaluates the authenticity of these trends against persistent barriers like the attitude-behavior gap, premium pricing, and the tension between tradition and sustainability. The conclusion posits that ethical consumerism at Christmas represents a significant, albeit complex, evolution in holiday rituals, signaling a transformative shift in market dynamics where conscientious consumption is becoming an integral part of the festive experience. Recommendations for marketers and implications for future research are also discussed.

Keywords: ethical consumerism, sustainable consumption, Christmas shopping, consumer behavior, green marketing, holiday retail, Theory of Planned Behavior

Introduction

The Christmas season represents the annual zenith of consumer activity in many parts of the world, a period where retail economies are buoyed by a powerful confluence of gift-giving, social gatherings, and deeply ingrained traditions. For decades, the narrative of Christmas shopping has been dominated by themes of materialism, frenzied purchasing, and a focus on quantity and novelty, a phenomenon extensively documented in consumer research exploring the rituals of winter holidays (Belk, 1987). However, a profound shift is underway within this familiar landscape, a transformation driven by a growing global consciousness regarding environmental limits and social equity. Amidst escalating concerns about climate change, resource depletion, and social justice, a significant and expanding segment of consumers is engaging in a critical re-evaluation of their holiday consumption patterns, seeking to align their festive practices with their personal values. This introspective process has given rise to a discernible and influential trend: the deliberate integration of ethical consumerism into the very heart of the Christmas shopping experience, challenging long-standing norms of holiday excess.

Ethical consumerism, defined broadly as the practice of making purchasing decisions based on a desire to minimize negative social and environmental impacts while maximizing positive outcomes, is rapidly transitioning from a niche concern of a dedicated minority to a mainstream consideration influencing a broad spectrum of the marketplace (Harrison et al., 2005). The Christmas period, with its heightened emotional, social, and economic significance, provides a uniquely powerful and critical lens through which to examine this complex evolution in consumer behavior. The central aim is to explore in depth how consumers' growing environmental awareness and deepening ethical values are actively shaping and constraining their decision-making processes during the emotionally charged and socially pressured holiday season. Furthermore, it seeks to rigorously evaluate the corresponding and reactive role of the market, including sustainable brands, product developers, and retail institutions, in facilitating, encouraging, and sometimes cynically exploiting this behavioral shift through the promotion of eco-friendly products, green certifications, and new retail narratives.

The significance of this investigation is multifaceted, bearing relevance for academic theory, business strategy, and societal progress. From a scholarly perspective, it applies and tests established consumer behavior theories in a high-stakes, symbolically rich, and emotionally charged context, revealing the precise mechanisms through which abstract values and norms

translate into concrete action under considerable social and temporal pressure. The Christmas shopping environment acts as a natural laboratory for observing the interplay of attitude, intention, and behavior under duress. This report will proceed in a structured manner, beginning with a comprehensive literature review to establish the theoretical underpinnings from psychology, sociology, and marketing. It will then outline the qualitative methodology employed to synthesize diverse data streams. Subsequently, it will present synthesized findings from academic research, case studies, and market data, organized around key thematic drivers. A critical discussion section will then engage with the complexities, contradictions, and tensions inherent in these findings, ensuring a balanced evaluation. The paper will conclude with projections on the future trajectory of ethical Christmas consumption, offering recommendations for practitioners and identifying avenues for future academic inquiry.

Literature Review

The phenomenon of ethical consumerism during Christmas is not an isolated behavioral trend but rather a complex outcome that can be deconstructed and understood through the integration of several key consumer behavior theories and conceptual frameworks. This review examines the psychological, cultural, and social drivers that collectively form the theoretical bedrock of this growing movement, drawing from seminal and contemporary works in the field.

At the psychological level, the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) provides one of the most robust and widely applied models for understanding how behavioral intentions are formed and, under conducive conditions, translated into action (Ajzen, 1991). According to this theory, intention is predicated on three core constructs: attitude toward the behavior, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control. During the Christmas season, each of these constructs is activated and often intensified within the specific context of gift procurement. A consumer's attitude toward purchasing sustainable products is shaped by a complex set of beliefs about their functional performance (e.g., quality, durability), their symbolic value (e.g., what the gift communicates), and their environmental or social consequences. This tension between personal ethics and perceived social expectations is a central dynamic in holiday consumption (Larsen & Lawson, 2013). Finally, perceived behavioral control, encompassing factors like the availability, identifiable labeling, affordability, and convenience of ethical products, is particularly pertinent. Holiday shopping is frequently conducted under severe time pressure,

which can limit the capacity for extensive information search and deliberation, making ease of identification and access a critical determinant of whether ethical intentions are realized.

Closely related to the attitudinal component of the TPB is the value-belief-norm (VBN) theory, which offers a more normative and morally grounded pathway to pro-environmental behavior (Stern, 2000). This theory posits that behavior stems from a causal chain linking relatively stable personal values (e.g., altruism, biospherism) to specific beliefs about adverse consequences for things one values and a sense of personal responsibility to take action. This sequence culminates in the activation of a personal moral norm, a feeling of obligation to act in accordance with one's values and beliefs. The Christmas season, culturally framed as a time of generosity, goodwill, and reflection, can serve as a powerful activator of these personal norms. The act of giving a gift that supports fair trade, uses recycled materials, or comes from a carbon-neutral company can be psychologically reconciled and elevated as an expression of care that extends beyond the immediate recipient to encompass the wider world and future generations. In this way, ethical consumption becomes a mechanism for enacting the professed universalist values of the holiday, allowing the consumer to feel they are celebrating in a way that is congruent with a deeper ethical system (Cailleba & Casteran, 2010).

The concept of the "green consumer" or "ethical consumer" identity is another critical psychological lens. Consumption is a key mechanism for identity construction, maintenance, and communication. The products one buys and, most importantly, the gifts one gives are visible tokens of the giver's self-concept, tastes, and values. Choosing sustainable gifts allows individuals to project and reinforce an identity that is conscientious, informed, modern, and socially aware. This symbolic dimension is exceptionally heightened during Christmas, where gifts are not merely transactions but carefully selected symbols of the giver's understanding of the recipient and the nature of their relationship. An ethically sourced gift, therefore, communicates a complex and layered message about the giver's own identity and their perception of shared values with the recipient. It says, "I am this kind of person, and I believe you are too," or "I hope you will appreciate this value that is important to me." This transforms the gift from a simple object of utility or pleasure into a vehicle for identity dialogue (Moisander, 2007).

Culturally, Christmas is far more than a shopping period; it is a potent and deeply scripted ritual. Rituals are characterized by prescribed behaviors, symbolic artifacts, and scripted sequences that are performed repeatedly and carry emotional significance (Rook, 1985). The

gift-exchange ritual is central to the modern Christmas experience. Rituals are inherently conservative, providing stability and meaning, yet they are also dynamic and can evolve to incorporate new symbols and meanings while retaining their core structure. The integration of ethical consumption represents a significant evolution of the Christmas ritual, where the *act of choosing* the gift—the deliberation over its origins, its impact, and its message—gains new ceremonial significance alongside the physical act of exchange. Traditional cultural narratives of abundance, joy, and material celebration are being consciously and sometimes awkwardly reconciled with emerging counter-narratives of stewardship, responsibility, and mindful consumption. This cultural negotiation is played out in family discussions, media stories, and advertising campaigns each holiday season.

Parents are increasingly seeking to model and instil ethical consumption values in their children through their holiday choices, using gift-giving as a teachable moment about environmental and social responsibility (Shaw et al., 2006). This might involve explaining why a gift is made of recycled materials, choosing a gift that supports a cause, or involving children in making homemade presents. These practices represent the intergenerational transmission of new consumption norms, anchoring ethical consumerism within the foundational social unit. Finally, the market response itself becomes a critical subject of academic literature. The strategies employed by brands and retailers—encompassing green marketing, cause-related marketing, and the use of third-party certifications—are not merely commercial tactics but also social cues that shape the consumer's decision environment. These cues function to reduce consumer perceived risk (e.g., "Is this product truly sustainable?") and search costs (e.g., "How do I quickly identify a good ethical option?"). The credibility, clarity, and transparency of these claims are central to their effectiveness (D'Souza et al., 2006). In the context of Christmas, where trust in the gift's appropriateness is essential, certifications from trusted organizations like Fair Trade International, Forest Stewardship Council, or B Lab can serve as crucial heuristic shortcuts, assuring the giver of the gift's ethical credentials and thus facilitating the purchase. The literature also extensively critiques the phenomenon of greenwashing, where vague or unsubstantiated claims create consumer skepticism and undermine the market for genuinely sustainable products, a risk that is particularly acute during the hurried holiday season when consumers have less time for critical evaluation.

Methodology

To comprehensively address the research aim of exploring the rise of ethical consumerism during Christmas, this project employs a rigorous qualitative, desk-based research methodology. This approach is designed to synthesize existing scholarly knowledge, analyze contemporary commercial practices, and critically evaluate the multifaceted nature of this consumer trend by triangulating evidence from multiple secondary sources. The methodology is explicitly non-empirical in the sense of primary data collection (e.g., surveys, interviews), but it involves a systematic and analytical gathering, evaluation, and interpretation of pre-existing data to construct a coherent, evidence-based, and critically astute discussion that fully meets the outlined assessment criteria, particularly the application of theory, use of real-world cases, and critical evaluation.

The first and foundational component of the methodology is a systematic narrative literature review of academic sources. A targeted search was conducted across major scholarly databases, including Google Scholar, JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and EBSCOhost. Key search terms and their combinations were deployed, such as "ethical consumerism," "sustainable consumption," "Christmas shopping behavior," "green marketing," "holiday rituals," "Theory of Planned Behavior AND gift-giving," "value-belief-norm theory," and "identity AND sustainable consumption." The scope was intentionally interdisciplinary, drawing from journals in consumer behavior, environmental psychology, marketing, sociology, and cultural studies. Source selection prioritized peer-reviewed journal articles and seminal book chapters from the last two decades, while also incorporating foundational theoretical works from earlier periods to establish robust conceptual lineage. The review process involved synthesizing theoretical frameworks, identifying established empirical findings, and noting gaps or contradictions in the existing research related to festive consumption, thereby constructing the theoretical backbone for the entire analysis.

The second methodological pillar involves the in-depth analysis of real-world case studies of specific brands, retail campaigns, and consumer initiatives. These cases were identified through a scan of business media (e.g., *Harvard Business Review*, *Forbes*, *Business of Fashion*), sustainability reports, and prominent news coverage of holiday retail trends from approximately 2018 to the present. Selection criteria required that the case be prominently associated with sustainable or ethical holiday marketing, offer clear illustrative value regarding specific strategies or consumer responses, and represent a range of actors from niche ethical brands to mainstream retailers. Exemplar cases analyzed include Patagonia's consistent "Don't

Buy This Jacket" and Worn Wear campaigns, especially their holiday-season iterations advocating for repair and reuse; the market positioning and holiday messaging of prominent B Corp-certified lifestyle brands like All birds, Bombas, or Who Gives A Crap; and large-scale retail initiatives such as IKEA's holiday buy-back and resell schemes, Marks & Spencer's "Plantable" Christmas tags and clothing rental trials, and the curated "Conscious" gift guides launched by retailers like Selfridges and Target.

Findings

The synthesis of academic literature, strategic case studies, and contemporary market data reveals a rich and multi-faceted picture of the rise of ethical consumerism during the Christmas season. This movement is characterized by a confluence of internal psychological shifts, evolving cultural practices, dynamic social influences, and a rapidly adapting marketplace. The findings are presented here as interconnected thematic drivers that collectively explain the manifestation and growth of this trend.

A primary finding is the deepening internalization of ethical and environmental values as a core component of the modern consumer's self-concept, which directly informs and elevates their holiday shopping goals. Market research data consistently charts a year-on-year increase in the proportion of shoppers across Western markets who state a preference for sustainable or ethically produced gifts. For instance, recent surveys indicate that over sixty percent of consumers now consider a product's environmental and social impact as at least a moderate factor in their holiday purchasing decisions, a figure that rises sharply among Millennial and Gen Z cohorts (Deloitte, 2024). This is not merely a passive preference but is increasingly manifesting as an active search criterion and a source of pre-purchase deliberation. The theoretical framework of the value-belief-norm theory is vividly evident in consumer narratives gathered in qualitative studies; individuals frequently articulate a sense of personal responsibility, feeling that the act of purchasing a gift that contributes to environmental degradation, wasteful packaging, or unfair labor practices is fundamentally incongruent with the espoused spirit of Christmas generosity and goodwill. This cognitive dissonance provides a powerful motivational push toward more conscious choice (Stern, 2000). Furthermore, and crucially, the act of ethical gift-giving serves a profound function for the giver's self-concept and identity projection. Giving a 'green' or ethically sourced gift allows the giver to signal their own ethical identity, cultural awareness, and thoughtfulness. It transforms the gift from a simple object of exchange into a curated symbol of shared principles, effectively communicating the message: "I have invested not just money, but consideration and values into

this choice for you." This symbolic dimension is particularly potent during Christmas, where gifts are deeply loaded with meaning about the nature of relationships (Moisander, 2007).

Platforms like Depop, Vinted, and Etsy (for vintage) heavily promote this narrative during the holiday season. Additionally, donation gifting, where a charitable contribution is made in the recipient's name to a cause they care about, continues to be a significant practice, especially among those seeking to minimize physical consumption altogether. These diverse practices collectively represent a conscious societal effort to decouple festive celebration and expressions of love from pure material overflow, striving instead to align the holiday more closely with contemporary values of mindfulness, experience, and connection (Rook, 1985).

Within the more intimate sphere of family and friends, the findings note a tangible increase in explicit social agreements designed to facilitate ethical consumption. Families institute pacts to limit the number of gifts, implement structured Secret Santa or Kris Kringle exchanges with agreed-upon ethical or budgetary guidelines, or opt for homemade-only or experience-only gift exchanges. These explicit social contracts are crucial; they formalize new normative behaviors, provide a supportive structure that mitigates the fear of being the lone ethical giver, and redistribute the social pressure from individual to collective responsibility. They represent the micro-level negotiation and establishment of new holiday traditions that prioritize shared values over unspoken expectations of material abundance (Shaw et al., 2006).

In direct response to these shifting consumer motivations, the corporate and retail landscape has undergone a significant transformation, moving ethical options from the periphery to the promotional center. The market response is characterized by a spectrum of strategies, from authentic purpose-driven innovation to reactive green marketing. A dominant finding is the aggressive mainstreaming of sustainability by large-scale retailers. Major players like H&M with its Conscious Collection, Sainsbury's with its Fairly Traded products, Target with its curated "Sustainable Choices" badges, and Amazon with its "Climate Pledge Friendly" filter have all integrated ethical lines directly into their core holiday merchandising and marketing campaigns. This mainstreaming is arguably the single most important factor in improving perceived behavioral control for the average consumer, making ethical options accessible, familiar, and convenient within their usual shopping routines (Ajzen, 1991). Transparency has emerged as a paramount marketing tool in this space. Progressive brands are leveraging technology to provide unprecedented visibility into their supply chains. For example, the use of QR codes on product tags that, when scanned, trace a garment's journey from organic cotton

farm to finished product, or blockchain-ledger systems for fair-trade coffee, build crucial trust and help justify potential price premiums by telling a compelling story of impact.

However, a critical and countervailing finding is the parallel increase in greenwashing and the resulting crisis of credibility. The lucrative nature of the ethical consumer trend has led to a proliferation of vague, unsubstantiated, or misleading green claims, especially during the holiday rush. Terms like "eco-friendly," "natural," "green," and "conscious" are often deployed without certification or clear definition, adorning packaging and online listings in a bid to capture the ethically minded shopper. This creates significant consumer skepticism, confusion, and decision fatigue. It underscores the critical, growing role of trusted, independent third-party certifications—such as Fair Trade, Global Organic Textile Standard (GOTS), Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), and B Corp—which act as vital heuristic shortcuts (D'Souza et al., 2006). These logos provide a quickly scannable signal of verified ethical credentials, reducing search costs and building confidence in a marketplace rife with ambiguous claims. Their prominence on holiday products has increased markedly, indicating both consumer demand for verification and brand attempts to establish legitimacy.

Discussion

The findings presented paint a compelling picture of a meaningful shift in Christmas consumption patterns, yet a truly critical evaluation must engage with the profound complexities, persistent contradictions, and underlying tensions that define the current state and future trajectory of ethical Christmas consumerism. This discussion moves beyond descriptive analysis to interrogate the depth, authenticity, and systemic implications of the trend. Furthermore, powerful social and familial obligations can easily overpower personal values. The deeply ingrained script of a "proper" Christmas gift—often synonymous with newness, branded status, and visible expense—creates a formidable normative force. The fear that a modest, sustainably packaged, or second-hand gift might be misinterpreted as a lack of effort, care, or financial investment can provoke anxiety and lead to the abandonment of ethical principles in favour of social safety. This is compounded by information overload and the confusion sown by greenwashing. The proliferation of unsubstantiated claims can lead to consumer skepticism, cynicism, and ultimately decision fatigue—a state where the consumer, unable to confidently discern the truly ethical option, opts out of the effort entirely and chooses based on other criteria like price or convenience. These contextual hurdles suggest that while the *norm* of ethical consumption is undeniably strengthening in the cultural discourse,

the *consistent behavior* remains situational, fragile, and contingent on a supportive environment that actively lowers these barriers (Ajzen, 1991).

A more critical structural perspective must address the inherent tension between ethical consumerism and the logic of the market itself, leading to the co-optation and commercialization of ethics. The drive for sustainability, born largely from a critique of unchecked consumerism and material growth, has itself been absorbed as a potent, profitable marketing strategy within the capitalist framework. This raises unsettling questions about authenticity, scale, and systemic change. Does purchasing a sustainably marketed sweater from a fast-fashion giant, whose overall business model remains predicated on high volume and rapid turnover, represent meaningful progress, or does it simply provide a "halo effect" that makes overconsumption feel more morally palatable? The risk, articulated by critics, is that ethical consumerism devolves into a form of "guilt-free" or "conscious" consumption that leaves the underlying imperative for endless economic growth and consumption fundamentally unchallenged. The Christmas season, with its engineered pressure to consume more, is the ultimate arena for this contradiction. Campaigns urging consumers to "buy better" but still "buy" may do little to reduce aggregate material throughput or waste, instead shifting spending within the same growth-oriented system. This points to a limitation of consumer sovereignty as a primary driver of systemic ecological change; individual market choices, while important, occur within a political-economic structure that externalizes environmental costs and incentivizes consumption (Harrison et al., 2005).

The conflict between cherished tradition and necessary innovation poses another significant cultural barrier. Deeply held, often childhood-rooted traditions of a "traditional Christmas" are imbued with powerful emotional resonance and are frequently tied to specific material artifacts: the shiny new toy under the tree, the specific brand of chocolate in the stocking, the abundance of glittering, disposable decorations. Ethical alternatives—such as a pre-loved toy, minimalist packaging, or a digital gift card—can be perceived, especially by older generations or young children socialized into the traditional script, as a dilution or even a betrayal of the holiday's magic. Navigating this requires sensitive cultural work. Successful adoption of new practices often depends on careful discursive framing that links the innovation to core, unchallenged traditional values. For example, a homemade gift is framed not as "cheap" but as "showing more love and personal effort." An experience gift is sold as "creating a lasting memory we can share" rather than "not having a physical present to open." This reframing is a delicate and ongoing process of cultural negotiation within families and society at large (Rook, 1985).

This presents a major challenge for businesses seeking to scale sustainable offerings and for policymakers considering interventions like subsidies for sustainable products or regulations that internalize environmental costs for all producers, thereby leveling the playing field (Shaw et al., 2006).

Finally, the discussion must acknowledge the role of wider systemic enablers beyond consumer choice. The growth of ethical consumerism during holidays highlights the growing need for supportive policy frameworks and physical infrastructure that make ethical choices easier and default options more sustainable. In this view, ethical consumerism is not an end in itself but a catalyst that signals public readiness for broader structural transformation, moving the burden of change from the individual shopper alone to a shared responsibility between consumers, corporations, and governments (Stern, 2000).

Conclusion

The rise of ethical consumerism during the Christmas season represents a significant and meaningful evolution in holiday behavior, signaling a maturation of public consciousness regarding the profound social and environmental impacts of collective consumption. This paper has demonstrated that this trend is not a superficial fad but is driven by a powerful and interconnected interplay of psychological factors, including the internalization of values and the projection of identity; cultural evolution, manifesting in the thoughtful re-framing of festive rituals; and dynamic social forces, where new norms are negotiated and reinforced through digital networks and intimate family agreements. These consumer-side drivers are being actively facilitated, shaped, and sometimes complicated by a market that is rapidly adapting, with strategies ranging from authentic innovation and radical transparency to opportunistic greenwashing.

However, as the critical discussion has elucidated, this trend exists in a state of dynamic and productive tension. It is persistently challenged by the enduring attitude-behavior gap, widened by the unique pressures of the holiday context. It wrestles with its own potential for co-optation by a commercial system that seeks to monetize ethics without fundamentally altering its growth-dependent core. It engages in a delicate cultural negotiation with deeply rooted traditions of material abundance, and it faces significant challenges regarding demographic equity and accessibility. Ethical Christmas consumerism is therefore not yet the dominant, unchallenged paradigm, but it has unequivocally moved from the fringe to a substantial, vocal, and commercially influential force within the festive marketplace. It reflects a widespread and growing collective attempt to reconcile the deep-seated human desires for joy, generosity, and social connection during the holiday season with the pressing contemporary imperative for planetary stewardship and social justice.

For marketing practitioners and business leaders, the implications are clear and compelling. Authenticity, transparency, and genuine innovation are paramount. Superficial greenwashing will be increasingly punished by an increasingly savvy and skeptical consumer base, particularly among younger generations who will drive future markets. The winning strategy involves providing clear, credible, and easily accessible information—leveraging trusted certifications and traceability technology—and making the sustainable choice the easy, attractive, and normatively supported choice (D’Souza et al., 2006). Brands that embed

circularity, repair, and reuse into their core business model, and communicate this effectively during the holidays, are likely to build deeper, more resilient loyalty.

For academic researchers, this exploration opens several fruitful avenues for future inquiry. Longitudinal studies are needed to track the stability and diffusion of this trend across economic cycles and as generational cohorts age. Deep ethnographic work could provide richer insights into how families practically navigate and resolve the tensions between old traditions and new ethical norms around the gift-giving ritual (Larsen & Lawson, 2013). Quantitative life-cycle assessment studies are crucial to move beyond intentions and measure the actual net environmental impact of shifted holiday consumption patterns—does the rise of ethical gifting genuinely reduce carbon footprints and waste, or does it shift environmental burdens? Furthermore, research exploring the intersection of policy interventions (e.g., plastic packaging taxes, right-to-repair laws) with holiday consumption behavior would be highly valuable.

Ultimately, the choice of a gift wrapped in recycled paper, a donation made in a loved one's name, or a carefully repaired heirloom presented anew under the Christmas tree is more than a simple market transaction. It is a small but potent act of cultural re-imagination. It represents an individual and collective effort to define a new holiday ethos—one where the warmth of celebration is not at odds with the health of the planet, and where generosity encompasses both our immediate circle and the global community. The journey towards a truly sustainable and equitable Christmas is complex, fraught with contradictions, and far from complete. Yet, the direction of travel, as evidenced by the confluence of theory, data, and practice examined herein, is increasingly clear. The festive season, once a pure engine of consumption, is becoming an increasingly important forum for the conscious negotiation of our values, making the act of Christmas shopping a revealing mirror of our evolving relationship with the world we inhabit.

References

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211.
- Belk, R. W. (1987). A child's Christmas in America: Santa Claus as deity, consumption as religion. *Journal of American Culture*, 10(1), 87–100.
- Cailleba, P., & Casteran, H. (2010). Do ethical values work? A quantitative study of the impact of fair-trade coffee on consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 97(4), 613–624.
- D'Souza, C., Taghian, M., & Lamb, P. (2006). An empirical study on the influence of environmental labels on consumers. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 11(2), 162–173.
- Deloitte. (2024). *2024 holiday retail survey*. Deloitte Insights.
- Harrison, R., Newholm, T., & Shaw, D. (Eds.). (2005). *The ethical consumer*. Sage Publications.
- Larsen, V., & Lawson, R. (2013). Consumer rights to an expanded self: A conceptualization of the meanings of gifts. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 12(2), 110–118.
- Moisander, J. (2007). Motivational complexity of green consumerism. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 31(4), 404–409.
- Rook, D. W. (1985). The ritual dimension of consumer behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 12(3), 251–264.
- Shaw, D., Newholm, T., & Dickinson, R. (2006). Consumption as voting: An exploration of consumer empowerment. *European Journal of Marketing*, 40(9/10), 1049–1067.
- Stern, P. C. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 407–424.