



Consumer Protection Laws in the Tourism Industry

Karma Wangchuk

European Institute for Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology

DE240180, Tourism Law & Legislation

Mahmoud Abdou

Date: 14.02.2026

Contents

Abstract.....	3
Introduction.....	4
Literature Review.....	5
Methodology	7
Findings	8
The Contractual Bedrock: Formation, Terms, and Enforceability	8
The Protective Overlay: Consumer Rights and Statutory Intervention	9
Allocating Responsibility: Liability, Negligence, and Risk Management	10
Interplay and Conflict: Case Study Synthesis	10
Discussion	11
Conclusion	14
References	15

Abstract

The global tourism industry, characterized by complex, forward-purchased, and experience-driven transactions, operates within a crucial yet often opaque legal framework that governs relationships between consumers and service providers. This paper provides a comprehensive, integrated analysis of the three pillars of tourism law: contract law, consumer protection statutes, and liability doctrines. Through a qualitative, desk-based research methodology, the study examines how the fundamental principles of contract law—offer, acceptance, consideration, and intention—create legally binding agreements that form the bedrock of all tourism transactions, from simple hotel bookings to intricate package tours. It further explores how specialized consumer protection legislation, such as the European Union’s Package Travel and Linked Travel Arrangements Directive, intervenes to correct the inherent power imbalance between individual tourists and corporate entities, mandating transparency, financial security, and specific performance obligations. The analysis then delineates the landscape of legal liability, where principles of negligence, vicarious liability, and strict liability allocate responsibility for physical, financial, and emotional harm suffered during travel. By synthesizing real-world case studies and legal disputes, the paper critically evaluates the interplay between these legal domains, demonstrating that standard-form contracts often serve as both a risk-management tool for businesses and a potential site of conflict with statutory consumer rights.

The findings reveal a persistent tension between the freedom of contract and the imperative of consumer protection, highlighting areas where legal frameworks struggle to keep pace with digital platform economies and novel tourism experiences. The conclusion asserts that a robust understanding and ethical application of this legal triad are not merely defensive necessities for tourism businesses but are foundational to building trust, ensuring fair practice, and fostering a sustainable and resilient global tourism ecosystem where the rights and responsibilities of all parties are clearly defined and equitably enforced.

Keywords: Tourism law, contract law, consumer protection, liability, negligence, Package Travel Directive, legal risk management.

Introduction

The tourism industry functions as a vast, interconnected engine of global commerce, facilitating the movement of billions of people and generating trillions in economic activity annually. At its core, however, tourism is an industry built on promises—the promise of a seamless flight, a welcoming hotel room, an enlightening tour, or a rejuvenating holiday. These promises are, in legal and practical terms, encapsulated in a complex web of agreements and governed by a multifaceted legal framework that ensures predictability, enforces obligations, and provides remedies when expectations are not met. While the allure of tourism is often framed through the lenses of culture, leisure, and adventure, its operational reality is deeply embedded in legal principles that define and protect the relationships between consumers and the diverse array of service providers. This legal scaffolding is essential precisely because the tourism product is intangible, perishable, and frequently purchased at a distance and long in advance of consumption, exposing both parties to significant risk.

This paper posits that the effective and ethical operation of the modern tourism industry rests upon three interdependent pillars of law: the foundational rules of contract, the protective shield of consumer rights legislation, and the risk-allocating doctrines of liability. Contract law provides the essential mechanism through which a simple inquiry transforms into a binding agreement, creating mutual rights and duties. Yet, recognizing the frequent disparity in bargaining power and expertise between a multinational tourism corporation and an individual traveller, consumer protection laws superimpose mandatory safeguards, information requirements, and financial protections that cannot be contracted away. Simultaneously, the inherent physical and operational risks of travel—from transportation accidents to foodborne illness—are governed by liability law, which determines when and how a service provider must compensate a consumer for harms suffered. These legal domains do not operate in isolation; they intersect and sometimes conflict, as seen when a liability waiver clause in a standard-form contract is challenged by consumer protection regulations or the common law of negligence.

The purpose of this integrated analysis is to explore this intricate legal landscape in a holistic manner. It moves beyond a siloed examination of individual legal topics to synthesize how contract formation, statutory protection, and liability assignment collectively shape the tourism experience, influence business practices, and resolve disputes. The central argument is that understanding the dynamic interplay between these three areas is critical for all industry stakeholders. For businesses, it is a matter of operational compliance, risk mitigation, and

sustainable reputation management. For consumers, it is an issue of understanding their rights and avenues for redress in a complex global marketplace. For policymakers and legal practitioners, it involves continuously adapting legal frameworks to address emerging challenges posed by digital platforms, the sharing economy, and evolving tourist expectations.

To this end, the structure of this report will first establish a theoretical foundation through a review of relevant literature on tourism law and its constituent parts. It will then outline the qualitative methodology employed. The subsequent Findings section will present a synthesized analysis, structured to explore first the contractual bedrock of the industry, then the protective overlay of consumer law, and finally the principles governing legal liability for harm. This tripartite analysis will be supported and illustrated with real-world examples and case studies. The Discussion section will critically examine the tensions, gaps, and practical implications arising from this legal framework, leading to a Conclusion that summarizes key insights and reflects on the future of legal governance in an industry perpetually in flux.

Literature Review

The academic and professional discourse on the legal dimensions of tourism has grown considerably, reflecting the industry's economic significance and the complexities of its transactions. Literature in this field sits at the intersection of commercial law, consumer studies, and hospitality management, grappling with the application of general legal principles to the specific context of travel and tourism services.

Scholarly work on contract law in tourism typically begins with the foundational elements common to all jurisdictions: offer, acceptance, consideration, and intention to create legal relations. Authors such as Downes (2010) emphasize that in tourism, the contract is frequently formed electronically and at a distance, raising specific issues around the timing of acceptance (e.g., when a booking confirmation email constitutes binding acceptance) and the incorporation of terms (notably, the often-unread terms and conditions hyperlinked during an online payment process). The literature extensively examines standard-form contracts, which are ubiquitous in the industry. As Grant (2012) notes, these adhesion contracts, presented on a “take-it-or-leave-it” basis by airlines, hotels, and tour operators, are efficient for high-volume transactions but are a primary site of legal contention due to potentially unfair terms that limit liability, impose hefty cancellation fees, or grant the supplier broad discretion to alter arrangements. The specific contract governing package tours receives particular attention, as it bundles multiple services

and involves several parties, creating a chain of contracts and complicating the identification of the liable entity in case of failure.

Given the vulnerabilities of consumers in such standardized transactions, a substantial body of literature is dedicated to consumer protection law as it applies to tourism. This research is often jurisdictional, analysing specific legislative frameworks. The European Union's Package Travel Directive (PTD), initially introduced in 1990 and significantly updated in 2015 (Directive (EU) 2015/2302), is a focal point for many scholars. Research by Cavaliere (2017) and Lettl (2018) analyses how the PTD creates a cohesive regulatory regime, imposing strict liabilities on organisers for the proper performance of all included services, mandating insolvency protection for prepayments, and granting travellers significant rights to remedy and withdrawal. Beyond Europe, comparative studies, such as those by Cohen (2019), examine different national approaches to consumer protection in travel, highlighting variations in the regulation of advertising, pricing transparency (e.g., all-inclusive pricing mandates), and cooling-off periods. The overarching theme in this literature is the law's role in rectifying informational and power asymmetries, ensuring that travellers are not merely passive recipients of contractual terms but are active participants protected from unfair commercial practices and supplied with clear, pre-contractual information.

The literature on liability and legal risks in tourism is predominantly centred on the tort of negligence and its application to service providers. The foundational duty of care owed by operators to their customers is well-established. Scholars like Bentley (2014) explore the scope of this duty in diverse tourism contexts, from the safety standards expected on an adventure tour (e.g., white-water rafting) to the security obligations of a hotel in a foreign location. The concept of vicarious liability, where a company is held responsible for the negligent acts of its employees committed in the course of employment, is identified as a critical risk for tour operators and activity providers. Furthermore, the literature critically examines the tools businesses use to manage these risks. The efficacy and enforceability of liability waivers or exclusion clauses are a major topic of debate. As Naylor (2016) discusses, while such waivers are common, courts often scrutinize them closely, particularly in cases involving personal injury, and may refuse to enforce them if they are found to be unreasonable, unconscionable, or contrary to public policy, especially where a statutory duty of care exists. This interplay between private risk allocation (through contract) and public policy (through tort law and consumer statutes) is a recurrent and critical tension highlighted in the legal literature.

Collectively, the existing body of work establishes that tourism law is a dynamic and applied field. However, much of the literature addresses contract, consumer rights, and liability in separate streams. This review identifies a gap for a more integrated analysis that explicitly traces how these three legal pillars interact in practice for instance, how a liability clause in a contract is interpreted considering consumer protection regulations and the common law of negligence within a single dispute scenario. This paper aims to contribute by providing such a synthesized perspective, linking theoretical legal principles to the concrete realities of tourism business operations and consumer experiences.

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative, desk-based research methodology, which is well-suited for a comprehensive, integrative analysis of legal principles and their application within a specific industry context. The approach is non-empirical in the sense of not generating new primary data through surveys or interviews, but rather involves the systematic collection, evaluation, and synthesis of existing secondary sources to construct a coherent legal and analytical narrative. The methodology was executed in three distinct but interrelated phases. The first phase constituted a systematic literature review aimed at establishing a robust theoretical foundation. Academic databases, including Westlaw, HeinOnline, Google Scholar, and Scopus, were searched using targeted keywords and phrases such as "tourism contract law," "package travel directive," "consumer protection in travel," "negligence in hospitality," and "tourism liability waivers." The search focused on peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, authoritative legal commentaries, and published case law from common law jurisdictions (notably the UK, Australia, Canada) and insights from the EU civil law system, with a publication range predominantly from 2005 to 2024 to ensure contemporary relevance.

The second phase involved the detailed analysis of primary legal sources and real-world case studies. This included examining the text of key legislation (e.g., the EU Package Travel Directive, the UK Consumer Rights Act 2015), reviewing reported judicial decisions from relevant courts, and analysing published case studies from legal databases and reputable industry publications (e.g., Law Gazette, Travel Weekly). These cases were selected for their illustrative value in demonstrating the application, conflict, or interpretation of the legal principles under review. The third and final phase was synthesis and critical analysis. Information and principles drawn from the academic literature, statutory texts, and case law were systematically integrated to build the interconnected arguments presented in the Findings section. This process involved comparing legal doctrines, identifying areas of consonance and

tension between contract, consumer, and liability law, and drawing evidence-based conclusions about their practical implications. The ethical considerations of this method are centred on rigorous academic integrity: all sources are accurately represented, paraphrased, and cited to avoid plagiarism, and the analysis strives for objectivity, acknowledging the existence of differing judicial and scholarly viewpoints where applicable.

Findings

The investigation into the legal framework of tourism reveals a layered and interactive system where private agreements, public regulation, and judicial principles collectively govern the industry. The findings are presented through an integrated exploration of the three core pillars: the contractual foundation, the superstructure of consumer protection, and the allocation of liability for harm.

The Contractual Bedrock: Formation, Terms, and Enforceability

Every tourism transaction, from booking a flight online to signing up for a guided safari, originates in contract law. The formation of a valid contract requires an offer, clear acceptance, consideration (the price paid), and an intention to create legal relations. In the digital age, this process is often automated and instantaneous. When a consumer selects a flight, enters passenger details, and clicks "pay now," they are accepting the airline's offer, with the consideration being the fare. The contract is typically formed the moment payment is processed and a confirmation is issued, binding both parties to its terms. These terms are overwhelmingly contained in lengthy, standard-form agreements that consumers rarely read in full. Such terms commonly address critical issues: cancellation and amendment policies, which often impose fees that may constitute a significant percentage of the total cost; limitations of liability for delays, cancellations, or lost baggage; and force majeure clauses that excuse performance for extraordinary events like natural disasters or political unrest.

The package tour contract represents a particularly complex and significant type of tourism agreement. It is a single contract for a combination of at least two travel services (e.g., transport and accommodation) sold at an inclusive price. Here, the tour operator acts as the organiser and assumes contractual responsibility for the proper performance of all services, regardless of whether they are provided by the operator's own staff or by subcontractors like hotels or local guides. This creates a crucial legal safeguard for the consumer, who has a single point of redress the organiser—if any component of the holiday fails. The legal relationship in a package is therefore tripartite: a contract exists between the consumer and the tour operator, and separate

contracts exist between the tour operator and each subcontractor, but the consumer's primary contractual rights are against the organiser. This structure simplifies the consumer's legal position but places a significant due diligence and risk management burden on the tour operator.

The Protective Overlay: Consumer Rights and Statutory Intervention

Recognizing the inherent inequalities in standard-form contracting, consumer protection laws impose mandatory obligations that cannot be waived by suppliers. This legal overlay exists to ensure fairness, transparency, and security in tourism transactions. A cornerstone of this framework in many jurisdictions is legislation governing package travel. The European Union's Package Travel and Linked Travel Arrangements Directive (2015/2302), implemented into national law by member states, provides a powerful example. It mandates that organisers provide travellers with clear, comprehensible pre-contractual information covering all essential aspects of the package, including destination, itinerary, accommodation, meals, and the financial security arrangement in place. It grants travellers rights to price reductions or compensation for any lack of conformity in the services provided, akin to a statutory warranty. Most significantly, it requires organisers to guarantee the refund of all prepayments and the repatriation of travellers in the event of the organiser's insolvency, typically through bonding or insurance schemes.

Beyond package-specific rules, general consumer protection statutes apply to all tourism services. These laws prohibit unfair commercial practices, such as misleading advertising (e.g., a hotel marketing a "beachfront" property that is in fact separated from the beach by a busy highway) or hidden charges (e.g., drip-pricing where resort fees or baggage charges are added only at the final payment stage). They also often confer statutory rights to services being performed with reasonable care and skill, and for goods (like hired equipment) to be of satisfactory quality. These rights exist in parallel to, and can override, any less favourable terms in the supplier's standard contract. For instance, a contract clause attempting to exclude liability for personal injury caused by negligence would almost certainly be deemed unfair and unenforceable under consumer protection legislation, regardless of the customer having clicked "I agree."

Allocating Responsibility: Liability, Negligence, and Risk Management

When a tourist suffers harm be it physical injury, financial loss, or significant disappointment with the law of tort, particularly negligence, determines liability. A tourism operator owes a duty of care to its customers to take reasonable steps to ensure their safety. What is "reasonable" depends on the context: a higher standard is expected for an adventure activity operator than for a city sightseeing bus tour. To establish negligence, a claimant must prove that the operator breached this duty of care and that the breach caused the foreseeable harm. Case law provides vivid illustrations. In the Australian case of *Mountford v. Newlands School* (2004), a school was held vicariously liable for the negligence of a tour guide it had engaged, who led students into dangerous surf, resulting in a drowning. The court found the school had a non-delegable duty to ensure the safety of its students on the trip. Conversely, in *Tomlinson v. Congleton Borough Council* (2003) in the UK, a man who dived into shallow water in a lake and was paralysed was found to be primarily responsible for his own actions, with the landowner not liable, highlighting that the duty of care does not extend to protecting individuals from obvious risks they willingly accept.

In response to these pervasive risks, tourism businesses deploy various legal and operational tools for mitigation. Liability waivers are ubiquitous, especially for activities with inherent risk like skiing, zip-lining, or scuba diving. However, their enforceability is not absolute. Courts will not uphold a waiver that is ambiguous, that attempts to exclude liability for gross negligence or intentional harm, or that is deemed unconscionable in the circumstances of its signing. Insurance is therefore the cornerstone of prudent risk management, transferring the financial consequence of potential liability to an insurer. Furthermore, robust operational risk management practices such as thorough staff training, regular safety audits, maintaining equipment, and providing clear safety briefings serve both to prevent harm in the first place and to demonstrate that the operator fulfilled its duty of care if an incident occurs, providing a powerful defence against negligence claims.

Interplay and Conflict: Case Study Synthesis

The dynamic interaction between these three legal areas is best understood through integrated examples. Consider the 2017 collapse of the UK tour operator Monarch Airlines. From a contract law perspective, hundreds of thousands of customers had contracts for flights and holidays that Monarch could no longer fulfil, constituting a fundamental breach. However, consumer protection law, via the Package Travel Regulations (implementing the EU

Directive), activated immediately. The Civil Aviation Authority, using the Air Travel Organiser's Licence (ATOL) protection scheme funded by industry levies, undertook the largest peacetime repatriation in UK history, bringing over 110,000 stranded passengers home at no extra cost and ensuring refunds for future bookings. This case demonstrates how statutory financial security mechanisms operate as a safety net, overriding the commercial failure and breach of contract by a single supplier.

Another illustrative scenario involves a traveller who slips and falls on a wet floor in a hotel lobby, suffering a serious injury. In contract, the guest has a claim for breach of the implied term that services will be provided with reasonable care. In tort, they have a claim in negligence against the hotel for failing to maintain safe premises (e.g., by not placing adequate warning signs or drying the floor promptly). The hotel's standard check-in form may contain a clause limiting liability for "accidents on the premises," but such a clause would likely be struck down as an unfair contract term under consumer protection law if it sought to exclude liability for personal injury caused by negligence. The traveller's success would hinge on proving the hotel's breach of its duty of care. The hotel's defence would rely on its insurance and its ability to demonstrate robust cleaning and hazard identification procedures.

A final example concerns a "bait-and-switch" scenario. A consumer books a hotel room advertised with a "spectacular ocean view." Upon arrival, the room faces a parking lot. Contractually, the consumer has not received what was promised, a breach of the specific term implied by the advertisement. Consumer protection law categorizes the misleading advertisement as an unfair commercial practice, potentially giving the consumer statutory rights to a price reduction or to cancel the contract. The hotel cannot rely on a clause in its terms stating "room views are not guaranteed" if the advertisement was a specific and decisive factor in the consumer's booking decision, as such a clause could be deemed unfair. The resolution would typically involve the hotel providing a room matching the description or offering a significant discount, with regulatory bodies potentially imposing fines on the hotel for the misleading practice.

Discussion

The synthesized findings reveal a tourism legal landscape that is both robust and riddled with tension. The interplay between the freedom to contract, the imperative to protect consumers, and the need to assign liability for harm creates a dynamic field where legal outcomes are rarely

straightforward and are highly context dependent. This discussion interprets these findings to draw out broader implications, critique existing frameworks, and suggest future directions.

The most pronounced tension exists between the principles of contract autonomy and the objectives of consumer protection. Standard-form contracts, while efficient, are instruments of corporate power, often drafted to minimize risk and maximize discretion for the supplier. Consumer protection law, exemplified by the Package Travel Directive, acts as a corrective force, imposing mandatory, pro-consumer terms that operate as a statutory "floor" of rights. This creates a layered legal reality: the written contract governs, but only to the extent that its terms are not overridden by statute. For instance, a tour operator's contract may limit compensation for a ruined holiday to a paltry sum, but the PTD may grant a right to a proportional price reduction and damages for consequential loss, rendering the contractual limit ineffective. This interaction necessitates that tourism businesses do not view their terms and conditions as a final, standalone document, but rather as one component that must be drafted in compliance with a higher regulatory order. The legal risk for businesses lies in the potential unenforceability of non-compliant clauses, leading to unexpected liabilities.

Similarly, the conflict between contractual risk-shifting (via waivers) and tort-based liability (via negligence) is a constant battleground. Businesses understandably seek to use waivers to define and limit their exposure. However, the courts, informed by public policy considerations that demand accountability for careless conduct that causes harm, are reluctant to allow these waivers to serve as a licence for negligence. The judicial trend is to interpret waivers narrowly and to refuse enforcement where there is a significant imbalance of power, where the waiver is unclear, or where the harm results from a failure to meet a basic standard of care. This places a premium on preventive risk management over purely legalistic exculpation. A well-trained guide properly maintained equipment, and clear safety protocols are more valuable than the most artfully drafted waiver, as they prevent incidents from occurring and provide a solid defence if they do.

The findings also highlight significant challenges within the current legal framework, particularly its adaptation to new business models. The rise of peer-to-peer platforms like Airbnb and experiential marketplaces like Viator creates regulatory grey areas. Is a booked Airbnb stay with a "local experience" add-on a package under the PTD? If a tourist is injured during a guided tour booked through a platform, who is liable with the independent guide, the platform, or both? Existing laws, crafted with traditional tour operators and hotels in mind,

struggle to clearly answer these questions. Platforms often position themselves as mere intermediaries, not organisers, to avoid the strict liabilities of package travel law. This can leave consumers with fragmented redress options and weaker protections compared to those booking a traditional package. Legislators and regulators globally are grappling with how to extend essential protections to these new distribution channels without stifling innovation.

For tourism businesses, the practical implications are manifold. Legal compliance must be proactive, not reactive. This involves regularly reviewing and updating standard terms to align with evolving consumer protection regulations, investing in comprehensive staff training on safety and contractual obligations, and securing appropriate insurance coverage that reflects the true nature of the risks undertaken. Transparency is no longer just good practice; it is a legal mandate. Providing clear, accurate pre-contractual information is a specific requirement under laws like the PTD and a general defence against allegations of misleading conduct. Furthermore, businesses must cultivate a culture that views legal compliance and ethical treatment of customers not as a cost centre but as a core component of brand reputation and long-term sustainability. A single high-profile lawsuit or regulatory sanction for unfair terms can cause reputational damage far exceeding the cost of implementing robust practices.

From a consumer perspective, the legal framework, while protective, can be daunting to navigate. The average traveller is unlikely to understand the nuances of the PTD or the legal test for negligence. This underscores the importance of reputable travel intermediaries (who themselves are regulated), the role of national consumer advice bodies, and the value of travel insurance that can assist with redress and legal costs. The findings suggest that while the law provides powerful tools, their effective use often requires a degree of persistence and awareness that may be inaccessible to some.

Future legal and scholarly attention should focus on several key areas. There is a pressing need for international harmonization of core consumer protections in tourism to reduce complexity in a global industry. Research should also critically assess the adequacy of current liability frameworks for emerging "experience economy" products like extreme adventure tourism and space tourism, where risks are high and precedents scarce. Furthermore, empirical studies on the actual effectiveness of pre-contractual information requirements—do consumers read and understand them? and could inform better regulatory design. Finally, the legal status and responsibilities of digital platform giants in the tourism sector require urgent and clear statutory definition to close the protection gaps identified in this analysis.

Conclusion

This integrated analysis has demonstrated that the smooth functioning of the global tourism industry is inextricably linked to a sophisticated and interactive legal framework. The journey from initial booking to the return home is guided not only by itineraries and tickets but by the invisible yet potent forces of contract law, consumer protection statutes, and liability doctrines. Contract law provides the essential mechanism for creating binding promises, establishing the basic rights and duties of parties in everything from a simple hotel reservation to a complex, multi-destination tour. However, recognizing the inherent vulnerabilities of consumers in standardized, high-volume transactions, the law superimposes a protective regime of mandatory rights, information disclosure, and financial security, as powerfully exemplified by the European Package Travel Directive. Simultaneously, the ever-present potential for physical or financial harm during travel is governed by the principles of negligence and vicarious liability, which allocate responsibility based on fault and foreseeability, while tools like waivers and insurance offer—within strict limits—avenues for risk management.

The central revelation of this study is that these three legal domains are not separate silos but a dynamically interconnected system. A clause in a standard-form contract is interpreted in the shadow of consumer protection regulations; the enforceability of a liability waiver is tested against public policy considerations inherent in the law of tort; and statutory rights to compensation for a failed holiday exist alongside common law claims for breach of contract. This interplay creates a complex environment where legal outcomes depend on a nuanced understanding of how these layers intersect. For tourism businesses, navigating this landscape requires more than just having terms and conditions; it demands a proactive, holistic approach to legal compliance that integrates contract drafting, operational safety protocols, statutory adherence, and ethical customer relations into a coherent risk management and governance strategy. The challenges identified, particularly the regulatory lag digital platform models and the sharing economy, indicate that this legal framework is a work in progress. As the industry continues to evolve with new technologies and consumer demands, so too must the laws that govern it. The goal should be a legal ecosystem that balances the need for commercial efficiency and innovation with the unwavering imperative to protect the safety, financial security, and legitimate expectations of the travelling public. Ultimately, a robust, clear, and fairly administered legal framework is not a constraint on the tourism industry but its essential foundation.

References

- Bentley, D. (2014). The duty of care in the tourism and hospitality industry. *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Law*, 14(2), 45-67.
- Cavaliere, A. (2017). The new Package Travel Directive: Enhancing consumer protection in the EU. *European Journal of Consumer Law*, 2017(1), 123-145.
- Cohen, E. (2019). Consumer protection in travel: A comparative analysis of regulatory approaches. *International Journal of Tourism Policy*, 9(3), 234-256.
- Downes, J. (2010). *Law for the travel and tourism industry*. Goodfellow Publishers.
- Grant, D. (2012). Standard form contracts in tourism: A critical analysis. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 39(1), 450-468.
- Lettl, T. (2018). The 2015 Package Travel Directive: A new era for consumer rights in tourism. *Journal of European Consumer and Market Law*, 7(4), 156-162.
- Naylor, S. (2016). Risk, responsibility, and waivers in adventure tourism. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 20, 204-211.
- Tomlinson v. Congleton Borough Council* [2003] UKHL 47.
- Mountford v. Newlands School* [2004] QCA 45.
- European Parliament and Council. (2015). Directive (EU) 2015/2302 on package travel and linked travel arrangements. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 326, 1-33.