

Role of Management Functions in Organizational Success

A Report Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for M05 – Business Organization and Management

**Programme:
Business and Technology (BTTBM)**

Submission Deadline: 24th May 2026

Assignment Option: Option 1

European Institute of Innovation, Entrepreneurship & Technology (EIET)

Table of Contents

Abstract	3
1. Introduction	3
2. Literature Review	4
3. Methodology	7
4. Findings	8
5. Discussion	12
6. Conclusion	14
References	15
Appendices	17

Abstract

Management functions are the basic pillars on which success of an organization is rested. The five core management functions (planning, organising, staffing, directing and controlling) are examined in this study to see how they operate together to enable today's businesses achieve their objectives. The report evaluates the distinctive function of each and the interaction between them utilising the pioneering management theories of Henri Fayol, Frederick Taylor, Luther Gulick and present day specialists. Organisations with consistent high performance are the ones who have integrated all the five functions into an adaptive management system. The report is based on qualitative analysis of secondary literature and documented case studies of globally recognised corporations such as Google, Toyota, Amazon and Apple. The findings further show that no single function works in isolation but when used together businesses can adapt to continually changing market conditions, human capital issues and strategic imperatives. The study is suggesting that the efficiency of a manager is related to the disciplined and flexible execution of these functions according to the organisational environment. Managers will get practical suggestions on how to leverage these capabilities to increase performance, productivity and long-term sustainability.

responsibilities of management, planning, organising, staffing, directing, managing, effectiveness of organisation, effectiveness of manager

1. Introduction

The management discipline is as old as organised human activity. But it was in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries with the advent of industrialisation, the growth of vast organisations and the need to make the greatest use of people and material resources that the systematic study of management as a discipline came to the fore. At the heart of the field is the notion of management functions. These are the separate but related tasks that managers engage in to direct organisations toward goals.

Sometimes called the father of modern management theory, Henri Fayol (1916) was the first to set out the five basic roles of management. These are planning, organising, commanding, co-ordinating and regulating. The concept was further elaborated and extended by various researchers, most notably Luther Gulick (1937). Today, the mainstream model includes five functions: planning, organising, staffing, directing (or leading) and controlling. These responsibilities give a broad lens through which to assess, evaluate and develop managerial practice.

These functions are of interest not just in theory. In today's hyper-competitive, fast-changing business world, businesses face difficulties from globalisation, technological disruption, regulatory change and shifting consumer expectations. In such an environment the ability of managers to effectively plan strategic direction, build robust organisational structures, attract and retain talent, provide meaningful leadership and maintain rigorous controls is not just desirable, it is existentially essential.

This study tries to present a full overview of the significance of each management function to the success of the organization, of how managers genuinely employ these tasks, and the link between excellent management and greater performance of the business. The paper draws on classical and modern management theory and is demonstrated with real world examples from big multinational businesses. This study will provide the reader with a heightened awareness of management functions as an interrelated system that when implemented correctly, provides lasting success for a firm.

2. Literature review

2.1 Historical Origins of Functions of Management

The intellectual history of managerial functions begins with Henri Fayol's seminal work *Administration Industrielle et Générale* (1916). Fayol felt that management was a universal activity which could be applied to all types of organisations and was composed of five interrelated aspects. These elements include planning (*prévoir*), organising (*organiser*), directing (*commander*), co-ordinating (*coordonner*) and managing (*contrôler*). His work was innovative in that it shifted the focus from technical skills to managerial processes and that good management could be taught and acquired (Fayol, 1949).

Luther Gulick (1937) expanded upon Fayol's work and created the acronym, POSDCORB (Planning, Organising, Staffing, Directing, Coordinating, Reporting and Budgeting) which offers a more precise framework for public administration. The model was first developed for government agencies, but its basic ideas were generally adaptable to commercial management. The significance was that personnel was included separately. This was a

reflection of the rising acceptance of human resource management as a vital determinant in organisational effectiveness.

During the time of Fayol, Frederick Winslow Taylor (1911) with his concepts of Scientific Management, treated management from an engineering standpoint. Taylor's emphasis on time-and-motion studies, standardisation and methodical planning further strengthened the assumption that organisational performance could be rationally maximised via careful managerial attention. It was condemned for its mechanical perspective of the workforce, but Scientific Management did give the core notions of planning and controlling functions. The behavioural School of management incorporated the human aspect into management theory with authors such as Elton Mayo (1933), Abraham Maslow (1943) and Douglas McGregor (1960). The Hawthorne Studies done by Mayo demonstrated that social and psychological elements, rather than just physical working conditions or incentives, had a substantial impact on workers' productivity. The result had substantial consequences for the directing role, stressing the importance of leadership, motivation and human ties in attaining organisational goals.

2.2 The Five Functions of Management: Theoretical View

Planning is the first and probably the most basic of the management functions. According to Koontz and Weihrich (2006) planning is the process of deciding in advance what to do, how to do it, when to do it and who should do it. The purpose of planning is to connect the present situation with the future desired state of the organization. It has many levels of plans : - Strategic plans (long term , organization-wide) - Tactical plans (medium term , departmental) - Operational plans (short term , task-specific) The contingency approach to planning (e.g. Lawrence and Lorsch (1967)) recognises that the best approach to planning is contingent upon environmental characteristics such as uncertainty, complexity and rate of change. Organising. Organising requires deciding what activities are to be done, who is to execute them, how duties are to be organised, who is to report to whom, and where decisions are to be made (Robbins & Coulter, 2018). Classical organization theory stressed formal structures, clear hierarchies and specified tasks, based on Weber's (1922) conception of bureaucracy. There is a recognition of a broader range of structural configurations in recent times . These include matrix organisations , network organisations and flat structures . Each has its own pros and cons , depending on the context of the organization . Staffing: Staffing is the recruitment, selection, training, developing and retention of workers to meet the duties defined by the organisational structure (Gulick, 1937). According to the Resource-Based View (RBV) of the organization (Barney, 1991), enduring competitive advantage stems from precious, rare, inimitable and non-substitutable resources and human capital is one of the most important resources. This theoretical perspective enhances the need of staffing from an administrative function to a strategic imperative, connecting human resource management directly to competitive advantage. Directing: Also known as leading, directing refers to the techniques by which managers influence, guide, and motivate staff to achieve company goals (Hersey & Blanchard, 1969). Leadership theory has moved from trait theory, which concentrated on the innate characteristics of leaders, to situational and transformational theories, which acknowledge the importance of context and follower development. Bass (1985) differentiated between transactional leadership, which focuses on trade relationships and contingent rewards, and transformational leadership, which motivates followers via vision, intellectual stimulation and individual consideration. Transformational leadership has been shown to be positively related to organisational effectiveness (Judge & Piccolo, 2004) (e.g., in a meta-analysis). Controlling: Controlling

is the process of measuring actual performance, comparing it with criteria set, and correcting deviations when they arise (Anthony & Govindarajan, 2007). Control systems should give information for decisions, coordinate the actions of different organisational units, inspire people through giving them feedback on their performance and enforce accountability. The balanced scorecard framework (Kaplan & Norton, 1992) is a major breakthrough in organisational control which extends the performance measurement from financial indicators to customer satisfaction, internal processes, and learning and growth dimensions.

2.3 Integrated Management Functions

A key finding from the management literature is that the five functions are not autonomous activities but are fundamentally interrelated parts of an integrated management system. Mintzberg (1973) in his fundamental study based on observations of managers showed that the activity of managing was far more dynamic and interrelated than the traditional functions had implied. Managers were found to be switching between planning, directing and controlling operations in fast sequence. More recent work by Drucker (2008) emphasised that management was essentially about connecting various organisational activities towards a common goal, reinforcing the systemic view of management functions. The contingency theory perspective suggests that there is no one optimal way to manage, but rather the best way is dependant on contextual aspects such as organisational size, environmental unpredictability, technology and strategy (Donaldson, 2001). The theory has practical implications: managers need to use judgement when customising their use of management functions to the specific conditions they face.

3. Methodology

3.1 Method of Research

This study takes a qualitative method (interpretative secondary data analysis). The study problem is the relationship between managerial functions and organisational success. A more appropriate strategy would be a broad survey of the theoretical literature and of documented organisational case studies rather than direct empirical research. This is in line with the well-known heritage of management research, which is founded on normative theory and descriptive exploration of organisational practice (Yin, 2014).

3.2. Data Sources

The analysis is based on three major types of secondary sources of data. First, peer-reviewed academic journals were examined including the Academy of Management Journal, Journal of Management and Harvard Business Review for relevant theoretical frameworks and empirical data. The second step was the assessment of significant management textbooks, including those issued by Robbins and Coulter (2018), Koontz and Weihrich (2006), and Drucker (2008) to give the theoretical underpinnings of managerial functions. Third, the real-world applicability was demonstrated using publicly available case study papers, company annual reports, and business press documentation of organisational methods at businesses such as Google, Toyota, Amazon, and Apple.

3.3 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework used in this study is based on five management functions, planning, organising, staffing, leading and controlling, as significant analytical categories. The paper analyses for each function (a) its theoretical underpinnings and key conceptual components; (b) practical managerial activities associated with it; (c) documented organisational cases; and (d) its link to organisational success outcomes. It provides a structured platform for comparative study across businesses and management contexts.

3.4 Limitations

There are some limits in the use of secondary data sources. The case study documentation may not always accurately reflect the complexity of corporate management procedures and organisational reporting may be slanted towards positive self-presentation. Furthermore, the focus on large, internationally renowned companies means the results might not be directly transferable to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) or non-profit groups. These limitations are acknowledged and the results are drawn with appropriate qualification.

4. Results and findings

4.1 Planning: Foundation for Organisational Direction

There is abundant evidence of organisational practice supporting the proposition that disciplined planning is a fundamental driver of organisational performance. So, for example, Amazon's strategic planning process. Bezos and his team instilled a culture of long-term, customer-focused planning that allowed the company to stay ahead of the market and systematically invest in capabilities such as cloud computing (AWS), logistics infrastructure, and artificial intelligence years before competitors realised their strategic importance (Stone, 2013).

Amazon's approach to planning has a number of traits that the research recognises as drivers of effective planning. First, it is explicitly long-term: Bezos famously claimed Amazon always worked with a multi-year planning horizon, often at the expense of short-term earnings to invest in long-term growth. Second, it is customer-backward: strategic plans begin with a clear statement of the desired customer experience and then work backward to figure out what capabilities are required. Third, it is flexible: Amazon's planning process includes tools for rapid iteration and learning so that plans may be altered when market conditions change.

Toyota's planning processes give another interesting illustration. Toyota's production system, arguably the most studied manufacturing management system in the world, is focused on careful planning of operations employing principles such as heijunka (production levelling), kanban (pull scheduling) and jidoka (built-in quality). These operational planning procedures allow Toyota to achieve extraordinarily high efficiency and quality. This directly results in a competitive advantage (Liker, 2004).

The results also indicate to the importance of scenario planning in the face of environmental uncertainty. An innovative example of how a corporation might prepare for various probable futures and respond more effectively than competitors when crises do come is Shell's use of scenario planning in the 1970s to fight the effects of oil price volatility (Schwartz, 1991). This capacity has been extensively employed by large businesses and is an innovative application of the planning function.

4.2 The organiser: a facilitator of coordination and efficiency

The structure of an organization has a significant influence on its coordinating and communicating capabilities, on the speed of its decision-making, and eventually, on its performance. The results of the organisational analysis indicate a clear trend towards flatter, more flexible structures, particularly in dynamic industries and in top performing firms. Google (now Alphabet) is the perfect illustration of innovative organization. Google's organisational architecture purposely eliminates levels of authority and increases cross-functional collaboration through project-based teams. The famous '20% time' policy, where engineers were given a day a week to work on projects of their own choice, created a structural space for innovation which birthed companies like Gmail and Google News (Bock, 2015). This structural innovation comes from the organising function in creating the conditions in which brilliance and creativity can develop.

Traditional hierarchies are still effective in stable, regulated settings. McDonald's Corporation has a strong structural control with a clear-cut functional hierarchy, standardised processes and a prevalent franchising model that duplicates operational uniformity in over 40,000 locations across the globe (McDonald's Corporation, 2023). This structural approach is in line with McDonald's strategic focus on uniformity, efficiency and brand standardisation.

The matrix structure has been popularised by multinational corporations seeking to integrate functional knowledge and project flexibility. The matrix structure combines functional and project reporting ties. Matrix designs have been utilised by companies such as ABB, Procter & Gamble and IBM to manage large product and geographic portfolios. Matrix structures may produce role ambiguity and coordination problems but when used appropriately they enable businesses to draw on specialist talent whilst preserving project focus (Davis & Lawrence, 1977).

4.3 Human Resources as a Strategic Asset

The theoretical study and the organisational statistics strongly indicate the importance of staffing for the organisational success. Google's HR strategies are often cited as examples of strategic staffing. Google's meticulous selection procedures are intended to choose individuals who are able to flourish in an environment of great autonomy and innovation (Bock, 2015). Historically, these processes have been based on a series of structured interviews, evaluating candidates' cognitive capacity, leadership potential, knowledge of the role and Googleness (cultural fit).

And the firm invests big on training and retaining its employees, not simply choosing them. The company offers a full suite of perks, professional development programs and opportunities for career growth that have resulted in one of the lowest voluntary turnover rates in the technology industry. The connection between such investment in people and organisational performance is well documented; the quality and engagement of Google's workers is part of why the company continues to innovate and perform financially.

Another, but no less enlightening example is Apple's staffing approach under Steve Jobs. Jobs was fiercely committed to hiring only "A-players" and would personally interview individuals for key positions. Apple wanted to hire outstanding people who were passionate about products and who could thrive in a high-pressure, detail-oriented environment. This approach to staffing was tough, but vital, in building products, the iPod, iPhone, iPad and Mac, which continually changed entire sectors (Isaacson, 2011).

A long-standing focus in the strategic HRM literature has been on the alignment of employment with organisational strategy and culture in order to maximise its contribution to performance (Wright & McMahan, 1992). Organisations that view staffing as an afterthought, filling roles reactively as gaps appear, rather than proactively developing talent

pipelines, tend to outperform those that invest in workforce planning, employer branding and talent development.

4.4 Directing: The Motivator and Engine of Performance

Leadership and motivation are the core objectives of the directing function and the most researched topics in management, with much evidence linking excellent directing to organisational performance. Transformational leadership, which involves the articulation of a compelling vision, intellectual stimulation of followers and individual consideration, has been frequently associated with increased follower motivation, innovation and performance (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Another good example is Steve Jobs' Apple. Jobs' leadership style was a blend of visionary communication—the ability to describe a compelling product vision that inspired staff and consumers alike—and extremely high expectations of performance. His famed “reality distortion field”—the ability to convince team members that the impossible was possible—led to innovation that regularly exceeded industry expectations. Jobs' style of leadership was polarising and at times unproductive, but it cannot be denied that it turned Apple from the brink of bankruptcy to the most valuable firm in the world (Isaacson, 2011).

Southwest Airlines is an excellent example of leading through culture-driven motivation. Southwest's founders built an organisational culture based on personnel pride, teamwork and customer service. The company's management structure preserves the culture through regular communication, symbolic gestures of executives, and recognition efforts. Historically, Southwest's employee engagement levels have been among the highest in the airline industry, and the company's continued profitability over four decades in an industry known for financial instability is due in no small part to this approach to management (Gittell, 2003). The situational leadership paradigm (Hersey & Blanchard, 1969) assists managers in adjusting their directing approach to the individual development levels of their workforce. Managers who can accurately judge the competence and commitment of their team members and adapt their degree of task direction and supportive behaviour accordingly (for example, by delegating to highly competent, motivated employees and coaching or directing those who need more development) achieve better performance results than those who adopt a one-size-fits-all leadership style.

4.5 Control as a Mode of Learning and Accountability

Effective control systems offer the feedback loop through which businesses learn, change and remain accountable. One of the most sophisticated implementations of the controlling position in organisational practice is Toyota's commitment to perpetual improvement - kaizen. Toyota's daily team huddles, visual management boards and standardised root cause analysis procedures allow staff at all levels to spot problems, understand their causes and implement solutions. Toyota's systemic control methodology has enabled it to reach levels of defect rates and production efficiency that consistently exceed industry standards (Liker, 2004).

Jack Welch of General Electric showed the power of rigorous quantitative control in the 1990s with the implementation of Six Sigma. Over the past decade, GE has implemented Six Sigma, a data-driven strategy to eliminating defects and reducing variability in processes, throughout its various business groups, and says it has saved billions of dollars in quality and efficiency. The success of GE's Six Sigma initiative showed that huge complex companies can also achieve considerable performance improvement using systematic control systems (Welch, 2001).

A well known strategic control framework (Kaplan & Norton, 1992), the balanced scorecard balances financial and non-financial measures of performance. The balanced scorecard has been reported to produce remarkable improvements in the performance of several companies like Mobil Oil, CIGNA Insurance and the City of Charlotte, North Carolina. This success depends on the balanced scorecard's capacity to link staff activities to strategic objectives, provide unambiguous performance evaluation, and encourage strategic conversation

5. Discussion

5.1 The Interrelation of Management Functions

The most essential lesson to be learned from the foregoing research is that the five management roles are functioning as an integrated system and not as individual, sequential operations. The quality of planning immediately affects the structure of organising; the organising structure dictates staffing requirements; staffing decisions define the directing approach; the controlling function provides feedback that may be utilised to update the plans. And therefore a weakness in any one function can degrade the effectiveness of the whole system in a circular, self-reinforcing cycle.

The success of Amazon is one example of this systematic dependence. Long-term customer-centric planning (planning) needed the establishment of very independent organisational units – “two-pizza teams” – that could innovate rapidly (organising). (Personnel) The teams needed great engineers and leaders who could self-manage. These teams were subject to exacting performance criteria (directing) as part of Amazon's notoriously tough, data-driven leadership culture. And the company's mature data and analytics culture provided real time feedback on performance that kept all of the above (managing) improving. The consequence was a very effective regime of organisation.

In contrast, the Enron Corporation is an example of catastrophic failure which points out the dangers of dysfunction in the system of managerial functions. Enron took speculative risks, and used financial engineering not to address performance deficiencies, but to cover them. Its organisational structure was a network of special purpose firms created for regulatory arbitrage, which resulted in opacity rather than coordination. It hired for aggressive individualism, not ethical judgement. The leadership culture was focused on short-term financial gains and punished anyone who challenged it. And its oversight role was undermined by conflicts of interest and the intentional concealment of correct performance data. The failure of each function compounded the failure of other functions leading to organisational disintegration (McLean & Elkind, 2003).

5.2 Alignment of management functions to context

The evidence confirms the basic principle of contingency theory that the appropriate manner to implement management functions depends on the organisational context. Organisations in stable, low-uncertainty environments (utilities, traditional manufacturing, food service, etc.) tend to have more formalised planning processes, more hierarchical organisational structures, more standardised staffing practices, more directive leadership styles and more quantitative control systems. The classic example of this tendency is McDonald's.

Organisations in dynamic, high-uncertainty environments (e.g. technology, biotechnology or creative industries) are likely to benefit from more flexible and adaptive planning processes, flatter and more organic organization structures, more selective and development-oriented

staffing practices, more participative and transformational leadership styles, and more balanced and learning-oriented control systems. Google is one.

In practical terms this means that managers must develop a sense of contextual intelligence, the ability to diagnose accurately the needs of their organization environment and to alter their management practices accordingly. Organisations that misuse managerial responsibilities (e.g. enforcing bureaucratic rigidity in dynamic circumstances, or permitting excessive autonomy in environments that require consistency) will systematically underperform against contextually acceptable competition.

5.3 Impact of technology on altering managerial functions

Digital technologies are fundamentally changing the way management functions are performed. In the planning function, advanced analytics, artificial intelligence and scenario modelling tools enable businesses to handle far larger volumes of data, identify patterns and trends more rapidly, and provide more complete projections than ever before. Collaboration platforms and project management technology serve the organising function in remote and flexible organisational settings. The staffing industry is experiencing a revolution in talent acquisition using AI-enabled resume screening, digital skills assessment and applicant monitoring tools. In leading, digital communication tools, performance management platforms and real-time employee engagement surveys provide faster, data-informed leadership. When managing in real-time, dashboards, predictive analytics and automated monitoring technologies provide unprecedented visibility into the functioning of the company.

Amazon employs algorithms to control warehouse operations, such as where to place inventory, how to direct pickers, how to monitor productivity — a more advanced kind of technology-augmented managerial duties. Such apps raise significant questions about worker autonomy and well-being, but also demonstrate how technology may revolutionise the way in which managerial responsibilities are carried out, making them more accurate and efficient.

5.4 Ethics in the Functions of Management

There are several ethical issues in management professions. The planning function has an ethical obligation to represent the interests of all stakeholders, not just shareholders but also employees, consumers, communities and the natural environment. The organising function impacts how authority, autonomy and resources are distributed in organisations and has direct implications for equity and inclusion. The staffing function determines who has access to economic possibilities and influences the culture of a company through selection and socialisation. The directing function implies the exercise of authority over others and the corresponded obligations of care, justice and respect for the human dignity. The regulating function constructs systems of surveillance and responsibility that are structured with huge implications for trust, autonomy and psychological safety.

Organisations that perform management functions without sufficient attention to ethics, i.e. those that optimise for short-term financial performance while externalising social and environmental costs, may deliver impressive results in the near term but they are at increasing risk of reputational, regulatory and human capital risks. The rising prominence of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) elements in investment evaluation reflects a wider recognition that sustainable organisational performance is dependent on integrating ethical values throughout all management operations.

6. Conclusion:

The study has performed a detailed analysis of the contribution of the five basic management duties of planning, organising, staffing, directing and controlling to the performance of a company. This research, drawing on a broad spectrum of management theory and evidence from organisational experience, results in many key conclusions.

First, management functions are not merely theoretical abstractions, but real tools that directly relate to organisational outcomes. Companies like Amazon, Toyota, Google, Apple and Southwest Airlines have shown that the disciplined, contextually appropriate deployment of managerial duties is a dependable predictor of improved success.

Secondly, the 5 functions are an interconnected system. If one function is poor, it can diminish the efficacy of the whole system of management. Having strength over all the functions creates a virtuous circle of organisational capability and performance.

Third, there is no one best way to perform managerial activities. The concept of contingency theory that best management practices are contingent on organisational situation is well verified. The finest managers have the contextual intelligence to know when to adapt their approach based on the needs of the environment, strategy and staff.

Fourth, technology is transforming how management tasks are conducted and offering up new avenues of precision, speed and integration, but also new challenges related to human autonomy, equity and well-being.

Fifth, ethical duties for the conduct of management activities are becoming more relevant in a situation of increasing stakeholder expectations and ESG monitoring. Ethical standards should be included in all managerial tasks for the sustainable development of a firm.

The practical implications are clear for managers and organisations seeking to improve performance. Systematically invest in the quality of management functions in all five dimensions, ensure their integration and mutual reinforcement, adapt their application to organisational context, leverage technology thoughtfully and embed ethical considerations throughout. Such organisations are well set up for long term success in an increasingly complex, competitive world.

References

Anthony, R. N., & Govindarajan, V. (2007). *Management control systems* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>

Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bock, L. (2015). *Work rules! Insights from inside Google that will transform how you live and lead*. John Murray.

Davis, S. M., & Lawrence, P. R. (1977). *Matrix*. Addison-Wesley.

Donaldson, L. (2001). *The contingency theory of organizations*. SAGE Publications.

Drucker, P. F. (2008). *Management* (Revised ed.). HarperCollins.

Fayol, H. (1949). *General and industrial management* (C. Storrs, Trans.). Pitman Publishing. (Original work published 1916)

Gittel, J. H. (2003). *The Southwest Airlines way: Using the power of relationships to achieve high performance*. McGraw-Hill.

Gulick, L. (1937). Notes on the theory of organization. In L. Gulick & L. Urwick (Eds.), *Papers on the science of administration* (pp. 3–45). Institute of Public Administration.

Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. H. (1969). Life cycle theory of leadership. *Training and Development Journal*, 23(5), 26–34.

Isaacson, W. (2011). *Steve Jobs*. Simon & Schuster.

Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755–768. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.5.755>

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1992). The balanced scorecard: Measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 70(1), 71–79.

Koontz, H., & Weihrich, H. (2006). *Essentials of management: An international perspective* (7th ed.). Tata McGraw-Hill.

Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). *Organization and environment: Managing differentiation and integration*. Harvard Business School Press.

Liker, J. K. (2004). *The Toyota way: 14 management principles from the world's greatest manufacturer*. McGraw-Hill.

Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370–396. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0054346>

Mayo, E. (1933). *The human problems of an industrial civilization*. Macmillan.

McDonald's Corporation. (2023). 2023 annual report. <https://corporate.mcdonalds.com>

McGregor, D. (1960). *The human side of enterprise*. McGraw-Hill.

McLean, B., & Elkind, P. (2003). *The smartest guys in the room: The amazing rise and scandalous fall of Enron*. Portfolio.

Mintzberg, H. (1973). *The nature of managerial work*. Harper & Row.

Robbins, S. P., & Coulter, M. (2018). *Management* (14th ed.). Pearson Education.

Schwartz, P. (1991). *The art of the long view: Planning for the future in an uncertain world*. Doubleday.

Stone, B. (2013). The everything store: Jeff Bezos and the age of Amazon. Little, Brown and Company.

Taylor, F. W. (1911). The principles of scientific management. Harper & Brothers.

Weber, M. (1922). Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft [Economy and society]. Mohr.

Welch, J. (2001). Jack: Straight from the gut. Warner Books.

Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (1992). Theoretical perspectives for strategic human resource management. *Journal of Management*, 18(2), 295–320.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639201800205>

Yin, R. K. (2014). Case study research: Design and methods (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Appendices

Appendix A: Summary of the Five Management Functions

- **Planning:** Setting organizational objectives and determining the best course of action to achieve them. Includes strategic, tactical, and operational planning.
- **Organizing:** Establishing the organizational structure, assigning tasks and responsibilities, and creating coordination mechanisms.
- **Staffing:** Recruiting, selecting, training, developing, and retaining human resources to fill organizational roles.
- **Directing:** Leading, motivating, and communicating with employees to guide them toward the achievement of organizational objectives.
- **Controlling:** Monitoring performance, comparing results to plans, and taking corrective action to address deviations.

Appendix B: Organizational Examples Cross-Reference

The table below summarizes the primary management function illustrated by each organizational case study discussed in this report.

Amazon — Planning: Long-term, customer-backward strategic planning and scenario-based investment.

Toyota — Planning & Controlling: Heijunka, kanban, jidoka, and kaizen-based continuous improvement.

Google — Organizing & Staffing: Flat structures, 20% time policy, and rigorous talent selection.

Apple — Staffing & Directing: A-player talent strategy and visionary transformational leadership.

Southwest Airlines — Directing: Culture-driven employee engagement and pride.

McDonald's — Organizing: Hierarchical functional structure for global operational consistency.

General Electric — Controlling: Six Sigma quality and process control across diversified businesses.

Enron — Counter-example: Dysfunction across all five management functions leading to collapse.

Appendix C: Key Management Theories Referenced

- **Fayol's Classical Management (1916):** Five elements of management as universal organizational functions.
- **Taylor's Scientific Management (1911):** Systematic planning and control through time-and-motion study and standardization.
- **Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943):** Motivation theory underpinning the directing function.
- **Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991):** Human capital as a source of sustained competitive advantage; elevates staffing.
- **Situational Leadership (Hersey & Blanchard, 1969):** Adapting directing style to follower development level.
- **Balanced Scorecard (Kaplan & Norton, 1992):** Multi-dimensional performance control framework.
- **Contingency Theory (Lawrence & Lorsch, 1967):** Context-dependent optimization of all management functions.