M06 – HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

Strategic Human Resource Management and Organisational Performance

A Strategic Report

Student Name	Mukta Khanam
Student ID	PMB26017
Assignment	Option 1
Module	M06 – Human Resources Management & Management Skills
Referencing Style	APA (7th edition)
Word Count	Approx. 4,500 words (excluding references and contents)

Prepared for academic assessment

Table of Contents

Abstract

1. Introduction

2. Literature Review

3. Methodology

4. Findings and Analysis

5. Organisational Examples

6. Discussion: Challenges and Critical Evaluation

7. Recommendations

8. Conclusion

References

Note: page numbers may shift slightly if the document is reformatted.

Abstract

Strategic human resource management (SHRM) connects the management of people with an organisation's long-term direction. Rather than treating recruitment, training, rewards and employee relations as separate administrative tasks, SHRM designs these practices as a coherent system intended to build the capabilities, motivation and opportunities employees need to deliver organisational goals. This report critically examines the relationship between SHRM and organisational performance. It reviews human-capital, resource-based, behavioural and high-performance work-system perspectives; considers workforce planning, recruitment and selection, talent management, learning, performance management, rewards, engagement and employee well-being; and applies these ideas to examples from well-known organisations. A qualitative desk-based methodology is used, drawing on peer-reviewed research, established HRM texts and organisational reports. The analysis indicates that HR practices can contribute to productivity, service quality, innovation and retention when they are aligned with strategy, mutually reinforcing and implemented fairly. However, outcomes are not automatic. Poorly designed performance targets, excessive work intensity, biased selection systems, weak line-manager capability and superficial use of analytics can undermine trust and well-being. The report therefore recommends an integrated, evidence-informed and ethical approach: plan skills against future needs, select for job-relevant capabilities, develop employees continuously, use transparent performance conversations, provide equitable rewards, measure both business and employee outcomes, and involve workers in change. Sustainable performance is most likely when organisational objectives and employee interests are treated as connected rather than competing priorities.

1. Introduction

Human resource management (HRM) concerns the policies and practices through which organisations attract, develop, motivate, deploy and retain people. Employees are not simply a cost to be controlled: their knowledge, judgement, relationships and creativity are important sources of value. Yet people management can only contribute consistently to organisational success when it is linked to the organisation's purpose, operating model and external environment. Strategic human resource management (SHRM) provides this connection by aligning HR priorities with business strategy while also considering how organisational choices affect employees.

The importance of SHRM has increased as organisations respond to digitalisation, global competition, demographic change, skills shortages, hybrid work and rising expectations around inclusion and responsible employment. Technology can automate some tasks, but it also changes the skills employees need and creates new questions about surveillance, data protection and the quality of work. At the same time, organisations need to be productive and financially sustainable without exhausting their workforce or weakening trust. A strategic HR system must therefore support performance and remain fair, adaptable and humane.

This report addresses the question: how does SHRM contribute to organisational performance and sustainable competitive advantage, and what conditions influence its effectiveness? It first outlines relevant theories and research, then analyses core HR practices and illustrates their application through organisational examples. Finally, it evaluates implementation challenges and proposes practical recommendations. The central argument is that HR practices create the greatest value when they form a coherent system, fit the organisation's context and are experienced by employees as credible and supportive. No single practice guarantees success; results depend on implementation, leadership, employee voice and the wider work environment.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Meaning and development of SHRM

SHRM moves beyond transactional HR administration by considering how workforce capabilities and employment practices support long-term organisational priorities. Strategic alignment has two dimensions. Vertical alignment means that HR choices support the organisation's competitive strategy and operating requirements. Horizontal alignment means that practices such as selection, training, appraisal and reward reinforce rather than contradict one another. For example, an organisation that claims to value innovation but penalises every failed experiment sends inconsistent signals. A coherent system would recruit for curiosity, give employees time to learn, reward collaboration and assess experimentation alongside results.

The literature commonly distinguishes universalistic, contingency and configurational perspectives. A universalistic view proposes that certain practices, such as selective hiring and employee development, can improve performance across many settings. A contingency view argues that practices work best when they fit strategy, technology, labour markets and organisational culture. A configurational perspective focuses on bundles of mutually supportive practices. These perspectives

are complementary: some principles, including fairness and job-relevant selection, have broad value, but the exact design and combination of practices should reflect context.

2.2 Resource-based view and human capital

The resource-based view argues that sustainable advantage can arise from resources that create value and are difficult for competitors to imitate or substitute (Barney, 1991). Employees' specialised knowledge, relationships and collective routines may meet these conditions when they are developed over time and embedded in the organisation. Human capital theory similarly highlights the productive value of employees' knowledge and skills, and explains why investment in education and training can benefit both individuals and employers (Becker, 1964). However, skills alone are insufficient. Employees must also be motivated and given opportunities to apply their capabilities. HR systems therefore need to combine ability, motivation and opportunity.

Human capital is not owned by the organisation in the same way as equipment. Employees can leave, and knowledge can be lost when experienced workers depart. Organisations must create conditions in which people want to contribute and share expertise. Career opportunities, fair treatment, meaningful work, supportive managers and a psychologically safe environment can help retain capability. Conversely, excessive workloads, inconsistent promises or limited development can encourage turnover and reduce the return on training investment.

2.3 High-performance work systems

High-performance work systems (HPWS) refer to coordinated HR practices intended to improve employee capability, motivation and participation. Common elements include rigorous recruitment, training, information sharing, performance feedback, team-based work and performance-related rewards. Meta-analytic research has found a positive relationship between HR practices and organisational performance, although the size and causal pathways vary across studies and settings (Combs et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2012). A key implication is that organisations should evaluate the whole system rather than assuming that one intervention will deliver a predictable outcome.

HPWS also raise concerns. A system designed only to intensify effort may increase output in the short term while producing stress, burnout or withdrawal over time. Practices described as "high performance" are not automatically beneficial to employees. Their effects depend on workload, employee control, staffing levels, reward fairness and the extent to which workers have a voice in

decisions. Sustainable performance requires both effective work design and safeguards against unreasonable demands.

2.4 The ability–motivation–opportunity framework

The ability–motivation–opportunity (AMO) framework proposes that performance is influenced by whether employees have the ability to do a job, the motivation to exert effort and the opportunity to contribute (Appelbaum et al., 2000). HR practices can support each dimension. Recruitment, onboarding and training build ability. Fair pay, recognition, meaningful goals and career prospects support motivation. Employee participation, access to information, adequate resources and collaborative work design create opportunity.

The framework is useful because it prevents an overly narrow focus on employee effort. If workers lack training or equipment, exhorting them to work harder will not solve the problem. If incentives reward individual output while the work requires teamwork, employees may behave in ways that damage overall performance. If staff are trained but excluded from decisions, their knowledge may remain unused. Effective HRM diagnoses which part of the system is constraining performance before selecting an intervention.

2.5 Motivation, engagement and the employment relationship

Motivation theories help explain how HR practices are experienced. Self-determination theory distinguishes autonomous motivation, supported by autonomy, competence and relatedness, from motivation driven primarily by external pressure (Deci et al., 2017). Goal-setting theory suggests that specific, challenging goals can improve performance when employees have the ability, resources and feedback required to achieve them (Locke & Latham, 2002). These perspectives imply that targets and incentives work best when they are understood, achievable, fair and connected to meaningful outcomes.

Social exchange theory proposes that employees often respond to supportive treatment with trust and reciprocal commitment (Blau, 1964). Perceived organisational support is associated with employees' belief that the organisation values their contribution and cares about their well-being (Eisenberger et al., 1986). The psychological contract—the unwritten expectations between employer and employee—also shapes attitudes. Broken promises about promotion, flexibility or job security can weaken trust even when formal employment terms are met. HR policies therefore have

symbolic as well as practical effects: employees judge the organisation by whether stated values match everyday decisions.

2.6 Strategic fit and organisational context

There is no single HR blueprint appropriate for every organisation. A cost-efficient operation may emphasise standardisation, reliable staffing and process discipline, while a research-intensive business may prioritise specialist expertise, collaboration and experimentation. A service organisation may depend on emotional labour and customer relationships; a manufacturing operation may place greater emphasis on safety, technical certification and consistent process control. Even within one organisation, different job groups may need different arrangements, provided that differences are defensible and equitable.

The external environment matters too. Labour law, collective agreements, economic conditions, local labour-market supply and cultural expectations affect what is feasible. Strategic fit should not be interpreted as permission to disregard employee rights. Instead, it means choosing practices that support the business while meeting legal and ethical standards. A strategy that relies on chronic understaffing or discriminatory selection may appear inexpensive initially but creates legal, reputational and operational risk.

3. Methodology

This report uses a qualitative, secondary-research approach. It synthesises established academic books, peer-reviewed research and selected organisational publications to examine the mechanisms connecting HRM with performance. Sources were chosen for relevance to SHRM theory, HR practice, employee outcomes and organisational effectiveness. Foundational works are included to explain key concepts, while review studies and meta-analyses are used where possible to assess broader patterns across research.

The analysis is thematic rather than statistical. Evidence is organised around workforce planning, recruitment and selection, talent development, performance management, reward, engagement and measurement. Organisational examples are used illustratively to show how strategic principles may appear in practice; they are not treated as controlled experiments proving that a particular HR policy alone caused business success. Organisations operate in different markets and face different constraints, so direct comparisons require caution.

There are limitations. Secondary research depends on the quality and availability of published evidence, and organisational reports may present practices more positively than independent evaluations would. Correlation between HR practices and performance does not always establish causation: successful firms may have more resources to invest in HR, while other factors such as technology, market position and leadership also influence results. The report therefore uses cautious language and focuses on plausible mechanisms, implementation conditions and practical lessons rather than claiming that HR practices produce identical outcomes in all contexts.

4. Findings and Analysis

4.1 Workforce planning and strategic capability

Workforce planning identifies the people, skills and capacity an organisation will need and compares them with current and expected supply. Effective planning begins with business scenarios rather than simply extrapolating last year's headcount. HR and operational leaders should examine expected demand, critical roles, turnover, retirement patterns, emerging technologies and the skills required under different scenarios. A skills inventory can identify capabilities already available, while gap analysis highlights whether the organisation should recruit, train, redeploy, redesign work or partner externally.

Strategic workforce planning supports performance by reducing avoidable vacancies, limiting overstaffing and preparing the organisation for change. It can also improve resilience by identifying single points of failure where only one employee understands a critical process. Succession plans, cross-training and knowledge-transfer arrangements reduce this risk. However, forecasts are uncertain. A plan based on one fixed future may become obsolete after a market shift. Organisations should therefore review assumptions regularly and use scenarios rather than presenting forecasts as precise predictions.

A practical planning cycle has five stages: translate strategy into capability requirements; analyse current workforce data; identify gaps and risks; select interventions; and monitor results. Measures may include vacancy duration, critical-skill coverage, internal mobility, overtime, turnover and future-readiness assessments. Data should be disaggregated carefully to detect unequal outcomes, but employee privacy must be protected. Planning is most effective when managers and employees help interpret the data instead of treating workforce decisions as a purely technical exercise.

4.2 Recruitment, selection and employer reputation

Recruitment determines who enters the organisation and therefore shapes its future skills, culture and performance. A strategic recruitment process begins with an accurate job analysis: the outcomes required, essential competencies and working conditions. Job descriptions should distinguish essential requirements from preferences that may unnecessarily exclude capable applicants. Recruitment channels should reflect the relevant labour market, while clear communication about pay, flexibility, development and selection stages helps candidates make informed decisions.

Structured selection methods can improve consistency. Interviews built around the same job-related questions and scoring criteria are generally more defensible than unstructured conversations dominated by first impressions. Work samples, job simulations and validated assessments can provide additional evidence when they closely resemble real tasks. Selection panels should be trained to recognise bias and document decisions. Technology may help screen applications, but automated systems require validation and monitoring because historical data can reproduce past discrimination or disadvantage applicants whose backgrounds differ from the existing workforce.

Employer reputation also affects recruitment. Candidates observe how promptly organisations communicate, how respectfully they are treated and whether the employment proposition matches reality. Overstating career opportunities or workplace flexibility can attract applicants but damage trust after hiring. Realistic job previews may reduce early turnover by helping candidates understand both the benefits and challenges of a role. Recruitment quality should therefore be assessed not only by cost per hire or time to fill, but also by new-hire performance, retention, candidate experience and fairness across applicant groups.

4.3 Onboarding, learning and knowledge management

Recruitment creates value only when employees can become effective in their roles. Onboarding introduces new employees to their responsibilities, relationships, systems and organisational expectations. A planned process should begin before the first day and continue beyond an initial orientation session. Useful elements include role-specific training, a named contact or mentor, regular check-ins and clear early objectives. Good onboarding can shorten time to competence, improve confidence and prevent avoidable errors.

Continuous learning is essential when job requirements change. Training should be based on identified needs and linked to performance or career goals. Organisations can combine formal

courses with coaching, job rotation, peer learning and stretch assignments. The transfer of learning depends on opportunities to practise, manager support and access to the tools required. A course alone is unlikely to change behaviour if employees return to a workplace that rewards old habits or gives them no time to apply new skills.

Knowledge management makes individual expertise available to the wider organisation. Documentation, communities of practice, mentoring and structured handovers can preserve institutional knowledge. Yet knowledge sharing requires trust and recognition. If employees believe that sharing expertise makes them replaceable, or if incentives reward only individual achievement, they may withhold information. Managers can counter this by recognising collaboration, allowing time for reflection and treating mistakes as opportunities for learning when they do not involve negligence or misconduct. The goal is not to document every detail, but to make critical knowledge accessible and continuously improve work.

4.4 Talent management, career development and retention

Talent management identifies capabilities that are important to organisational strategy and creates ways to attract, develop, deploy and retain them. It may include succession planning for critical roles, leadership development, internal talent marketplaces and career pathways for technical specialists. A strategic approach balances future organisational needs with employees' aspirations. Employees are more likely to remain when they can see a plausible route to growth and believe that opportunities are allocated fairly.

Talent programmes can become counterproductive if they label a small group as "high potential" without transparent criteria. Those excluded may feel undervalued, while selected employees may experience excessive pressure. Organisations should distinguish performance in a current role from potential to succeed in a different one, and should reassess talent decisions as circumstances change. Broader access to development, mentoring and challenging assignments can reduce reliance on informal sponsorship and help address structural barriers.

Retention should not be approached as a goal of keeping every employee indefinitely. Some turnover is natural and may create opportunities for renewal. The strategic aim is to retain people whose skills, relationships or potential are important while addressing avoidable exits. Exit interviews can identify themes, but they are vulnerable to recall and social-desirability bias. Stay interviews, pulse surveys, workload reviews and conversations with line managers may reveal

problems earlier. Retention initiatives should address root causes—such as poor supervision, limited growth, unfair pay or unsustainable work—rather than relying only on short-term perks.

4.5 Performance management and feedback

Performance management is an ongoing process of clarifying expectations, supporting progress, reviewing results and developing capability. It should not be reduced to an annual rating. Clear goals connect individual contribution with organisational priorities, but goals need to be balanced. If staff are measured only on volume, they may sacrifice quality or customer care. If individual targets dominate in work that depends on collaboration, employees may protect their own results rather than help colleagues. A balanced approach can combine outcomes, behaviours, quality, safety, teamwork and learning.

Regular feedback allows employees to adjust before small issues become serious. Useful feedback is specific, timely, respectful and linked to observable behaviour or outcomes. Managers should listen as well as tell: a missed target may reflect unclear priorities, insufficient resources or conflicting demands rather than lack of effort. Coaching conversations explore barriers and agree practical next steps. Employees also need a way to raise concerns about goals they consider unrealistic or inconsistent with ethical standards.

Formal appraisals can support decisions about development, promotion and pay, but ratings may be affected by recency effects, similarity bias, leniency and inconsistent standards. Training, calibration discussions and documented evidence can improve reliability, though calibration must not become pressure to fit a predetermined distribution. Some organisations have moved towards more frequent check-ins and developmental conversations. This can improve responsiveness, but it requires manager capability and sufficient time. A performance system should be evaluated by whether it improves clarity, growth and results—not by the number of forms completed.

4.6 Compensation, recognition and fairness

Compensation influences attraction, retention and perceptions of fairness. A coherent reward strategy considers external competitiveness, internal equity, affordability, transparency and the behaviours the organisation wants to encourage. Pay structures should reflect role requirements and responsibility, while equal-pay reviews can identify unjustified differences. Total rewards may include salary, benefits, pension contributions, flexibility, recognition, career development and meaningful work. These elements do not replace fair pay, but they shape the overall employment proposition.

Performance-related pay can focus attention on priorities when measures are controllable, accurate and aligned with organisational goals. However, narrow incentives can encourage gaming, short-termism or harmful competition. If employees have little control over results, variable pay may feel arbitrary. Team rewards may support collaboration but can create free-rider concerns if contributions are invisible. Organisations should explain how reward decisions are made, provide a route to question errors and monitor whether incentives create unintended behaviour.

Recognition is most credible when it is timely, specific and inclusive. Public praise may motivate some employees but embarrass others, so preferences should be considered. Recognition should acknowledge contributions that matter, including mentoring, safety, improvement and teamwork—not only highly visible individual achievements. Fairness is not always identical treatment; reasonable adjustments and context-sensitive support may be needed to ensure equitable opportunity. Perceived procedural justice—the belief that decisions are made consistently and with respect—can be as important as the outcome itself.

4.7 Employee engagement, well-being and organisational culture

Employee engagement generally refers to the energy, involvement and dedication people bring to their work. Engagement is influenced by meaningful tasks, supportive management, role clarity, autonomy, recognition, development and confidence in leadership. It should not be confused with constant enthusiasm or a willingness to work unpaid hours. Employees can be committed to their organisation while raising legitimate concerns or disagreeing with a decision. A healthy culture makes it possible to contribute honestly without fear of retaliation.

Well-being is both an ethical responsibility and a practical condition for sustainable performance. Excessive workload, low control, bullying, insecurity and unclear expectations can increase stress

and absence. Preventive approaches address work design and management practices, not only individual resilience. Examples include realistic staffing, predictable scheduling, workload review, access to support, respectful conduct standards and training for managers to recognise signs of distress. Well-being programmes are unlikely to succeed if the core working environment remains harmful.

Culture consists of shared assumptions, norms and everyday behaviours. Leaders influence culture through what they reward, tolerate and model. If an organisation promotes inclusion but senior meetings exclude diverse perspectives, the lived culture contradicts the stated values. HR can support culture change by aligning recruitment, promotion, recognition, leadership development and accountability with desired behaviours. Employee surveys can reveal patterns, but results must lead to visible action. Repeatedly asking for feedback without responding can make employees cynical.

4.8 Diversity, equity and inclusion

A diverse workforce can widen the range of experiences and perspectives available for problem-solving, customer understanding and innovation. Yet diversity alone does not guarantee better outcomes. Employees need inclusion: access to information, influence, development and respectful working relationships. If minority voices are ignored or employees must hide aspects of their identity to fit in, the organisation loses the potential value of diversity.

Strategic HRM can strengthen inclusion through accessible recruitment, structured interviews, transparent promotion criteria, pay-equity reviews, reasonable adjustments, anti-harassment processes and inclusive leadership development. Data can identify differences in hiring, performance ratings, pay, promotion and turnover, but interpretation requires care. Differences may reflect several interacting factors, and small groups create privacy risks. Organisations should combine quantitative analysis with confidential listening and examine systems rather than assuming disparities result from individual deficits.

Inclusion efforts should be treated as continuous organisational work rather than a one-off training session. Managers need clear behavioural expectations and accountability. Employees should have safe channels to report discrimination and confidence that complaints will be investigated fairly. The business case can be relevant, but equal dignity and lawful treatment are obligations in their own right. A fair process protects employees and strengthens organisational legitimacy.

4.9 HR analytics and evidence-based decision-making

HR analytics uses workforce data to understand patterns, evaluate interventions and support decisions. Descriptive measures show what has happened, such as turnover or absence. Diagnostic analysis explores possible reasons; predictive analysis estimates future risks; and prescriptive analysis compares possible actions. For example, a retention analysis may identify that turnover is higher in certain teams, but managers must investigate whether the drivers are workload, supervision, pay, job design or local labour-market conditions before choosing an intervention.

Metrics should be connected to a clear decision. A dashboard with many indicators is not useful if leaders do not know what action follows from them. A balanced scorecard may combine business results, workforce capability, employee experience and risk. Useful indicators include internal fill rates, time to competence, quality and safety outcomes, regretted turnover, employee voice, absence trends, learning transfer and pay equity. Each measure needs a clear definition and consistent collection method. Targets should not reward managers for manipulating data or discouraging employees from reporting problems.

Analytics has important limitations. Correlation does not prove causation, data can be incomplete, and historical patterns may reflect past bias. Models should be validated and monitored as jobs and labour markets change. Employee data should be collected for legitimate purposes, accessed only by authorised people and retained only as necessary. Workers should receive understandable explanations when data materially influences decisions about them. Human judgement remains essential, but it should be informed by evidence and constrained by fairness rather than used as an excuse for arbitrary decisions.

5. Organisational Examples

5.1 Google: people analytics and structured learning

Google has publicly discussed using people analytics and research to examine management and team effectiveness. Its Project Oxygen work is frequently cited as an example of using employee and performance data to identify behaviours associated with effective managers, such as coaching, empowering teams and supporting development (Garvin, 2013). The strategic lesson is not that analytics can produce a universal list of ideal behaviours. Rather, evidence can challenge assumptions and help organisations define, teach and evaluate management practices.

The example also illustrates the need for context and careful interpretation. Findings from one technology company may not transfer directly to a hospital, school or small manufacturer. Data can identify associations, but leaders must test whether interventions improve employee experience and business outcomes. Organisations should avoid treating analytics as neutral when the measures reflect existing priorities or biases.

5.2 Toyota: learning, standard work and continuous improvement

Toyota's production philosophy is associated with continuous improvement, problem-solving and employee involvement. Standardised work provides a baseline for quality and safety, while improvement practices encourage workers to identify and resolve problems (Liker, 2004). From an HRM perspective, this approach depends on training, team leadership, clear processes and a culture in which raising an issue is valued rather than punished.

The lesson is that operational excellence is not created by process documents alone. Employees need the capability and authority to improve work, and managers must respond constructively when problems are identified. If production targets make it unsafe to stop work or if employees fear blame, the formal improvement system will be undermined. The transferable principle is to combine standards with employee voice and continuous learning, adapting the method to local conditions rather than copying practices mechanically.

5.3 Microsoft: culture, learning and collaboration

Microsoft's cultural renewal under CEO Satya Nadella has been publicly associated with a shift towards a "learn-it-all" rather than "know-it-all" orientation, emphasising learning, collaboration and growth (Nadella et al., 2017). This provides an illustration of how leadership messages, development and collaboration norms can support adaptation in a changing technology market. The strategic point is that culture change requires more than slogans: promotion decisions, manager behaviour, team routines and reward systems must reinforce the stated values.

As with any corporate example, public accounts do not prove that culture initiatives alone caused commercial outcomes. Strategy, product decisions, acquisitions, market demand and technology investment also matter. The example is most useful as a reminder that organisational capabilities are shaped by everyday interactions and that leaders must make learning and collaboration credible through their own behaviour.

Taken together, these examples suggest that strategic HR practices are most transferable at the level of principles: use evidence to learn, give employees meaningful opportunities to improve work, develop managers, and align stated values with systems. Specific tools should be adapted to the organisation's size, sector, workforce and legal environment.

6. Discussion: Challenges and Critical Evaluation

6.1 The gap between policy and practice

A common weakness in HR strategy is the gap between written policy and employees' actual experience. A company may publish a development framework while managers cancel learning time; it may promote flexible work while penalising employees who use it; or it may claim to value collaboration while rewarding only individual results. Employees judge the organisation by these everyday signals. Implementation therefore requires line-manager training, sufficient resources, clear accountability and feedback loops that reveal where policy is failing.

Line managers are particularly important because they translate HR policy into staffing, feedback, scheduling and recognition decisions. Yet they may lack confidence or time, especially when people management is added to demanding operational roles. HR should simplify processes, provide practical tools and coach managers rather than assume that policy publication will change behaviour. Senior leaders must also model the expected conduct and accept responsibility for the consequences of business decisions.

6.2 Short-term performance versus sustainable outcomes

Performance targets can create focus, but narrow measures may distort behaviour. Sales targets may encourage unsuitable selling; speed targets may reduce service quality; and attendance targets may discourage sick employees from staying home. A strategic system uses a balanced set of indicators and monitors unintended consequences. It also distinguishes between outcomes employees can influence and factors outside their control.

Sustainability requires attention to workload, recovery, psychological safety and job quality. This does not mean removing accountability. It means setting clear expectations while ensuring that employees have the resources, skills and authority needed to meet them. Where performance is weak, managers should diagnose the cause and provide support, while still addressing persistent conduct or capability problems fairly. A balanced approach protects both standards and dignity.

6.3 Fairness, bias and employee voice

HR decisions involve judgement, and judgement can be biased. Informal recruitment, opaque promotion, inconsistent appraisal and unequal access to high-profile assignments can reproduce existing inequalities. Structured criteria and periodic audits improve consistency, but they do not eliminate bias automatically. Organisations should monitor outcomes, invite employee feedback and provide accessible routes to appeal or raise concerns.

Employee voice is valuable for both fairness and performance. Frontline workers often understand operational problems that senior leaders cannot see directly. Participation can improve decisions and increase commitment, but only when it has genuine influence. Consultation after a decision is effectively final may be perceived as tokenistic. Leaders should clarify what is open to change, explain constraints honestly and report back on how feedback was used.

6.4 Technology, privacy and ethical HRM

Digital HR systems can make recruitment, scheduling, learning and workforce reporting more efficient. However, they may also increase surveillance, obscure decision criteria or create automated discrimination. Organisations should assess whether data collection is necessary and proportionate, restrict access, secure records and explain important decisions. Automated screening or performance tools should be tested for accuracy and disparate impact, with a process for human review and correction.

Ethical HRM also requires clear responsibility. A vendor’s claim that a tool is objective does not remove the employer’s obligation to evaluate it. Employees should not be reduced to opaque risk scores, and sensitive information should not be repurposed without a legitimate basis. Technology should augment professional judgement and improve service rather than simply increase control. Where systems materially affect employment opportunities, transparency and accountability are essential.

6.5 Measuring impact and establishing causality

Evaluation is difficult because organisational performance has many causes. Revenue may rise because of market demand, pricing or new products even if HR practices remain unchanged. Conversely, a well-designed development programme may take time to influence outcomes. Organisations should define expected mechanisms before implementation and use multiple indicators. Where feasible, they can compare outcomes before and after an intervention, examine comparable teams, or pilot a change in stages. These approaches do not eliminate every source of bias but can strengthen learning.

Measures should include employee outcomes as well as business results. Productivity gains accompanied by increased injury, burnout or unwanted turnover are not unambiguous success. A balanced evaluation might examine service quality, error rates, employee confidence, absence, retention, fairness and cost. Results should inform adjustments, not simply justify a decision already made. Evidence-based HR is a cycle of inquiry, action and review rather than a one-time dashboard exercise.

7. Recommendations

The following recommendations translate the analysis into a practical and sustainable SHRM approach.

1. **Build a clear link between strategy and workforce capability.** Senior leaders and HR should jointly identify the capabilities required over the next three to five years, test alternative scenarios and review critical-role risks at least annually. Workforce plans should include options for recruitment, reskilling, redeployment and external partnerships rather than relying only on headcount growth.

2. **Create an integrated HR system.** Recruitment, onboarding, learning, performance management and reward should reinforce the same strategic priorities. If collaboration is important, for example, it should appear in selection criteria, team goals, promotion decisions and recognition. HR should review policies for contradictions and simplify procedures that consume time without improving decisions.

3. **Use fair, job-related recruitment and selection.** Conduct job analysis before advertising roles, distinguish essential from preferred criteria, use structured interviews and validate assessments.

Train hiring panels, document decisions and monitor applicant outcomes for potential disparities. Give candidates realistic information about responsibilities, working conditions and development opportunities.

4. **Make learning continuous and applicable.** Use skills-gap analysis to set learning priorities. Combine formal training with coaching, mentoring, job rotation and supervised practice. Managers should agree how employees will apply new skills and review progress after training. Preserve critical knowledge through structured handovers and communities of practice, while recognising knowledge sharing as valuable work.

5. **Replace episodic appraisal with ongoing performance conversations.** Set clear, balanced goals and schedule regular check-ins. Discuss results, behaviours, barriers, well-being and development. Train managers to give specific feedback, listen actively and distinguish skill gaps from resource or process problems. Use formal ratings only where they serve a clear purpose, and apply consistent evidence-based standards.

6. **Design reward around fairness and sustainable contribution.** Benchmark pay appropriately, review internal equity and explain reward decisions. Avoid incentives that reward quantity at the expense of quality, safety or ethical conduct. Recognise teamwork, improvement and mentoring as well as individual results. Provide a clear process for employees to query errors or perceived inconsistencies.

7. **Treat employee voice and well-being as operating requirements.** Use confidential surveys, focus groups, stay interviews and regular team discussions, then communicate what action will follow. Address work design and management behaviour rather than relying only on resilience training. Ensure employees can raise safety, workload or conduct concerns without retaliation.

8. **Strengthen inclusion through systems and accountability.** Use accessible recruitment, transparent progression criteria, reasonable adjustments and fair complaint procedures. Review pay, promotion, learning access and turnover patterns while protecting privacy. Equip managers to lead diverse teams and hold leaders accountable for respectful conduct and equitable opportunity.

9. **Use HR analytics responsibly.** Begin with a specific decision question, define metrics consistently and assess data quality. Combine quantitative patterns with employee and manager insight. Validate predictive tools, test for bias, limit access to personal data and explain material

decisions. Keep human review and correction routes available for consequential employment decisions.

10. **Evaluate outcomes and revise practices.** Establish baseline measures before introducing a new intervention. Track business indicators alongside employee experience, fairness and risk. Pilot major changes where possible, report results honestly and stop or redesign practices that do not work. HR should present findings as evidence with limitations, not as claims of certainty.

11. **Develop line-manager capability.** Provide practical training in coaching, conflict resolution, inclusive leadership, workload planning and performance conversations. Give managers time and support to lead people effectively. Include people-management quality in leadership assessment, using employee feedback and outcome measures rather than relying solely on senior impressions.

12. **Make accountability shared.** HR should design frameworks and provide expertise, but executives must set direction, line managers must implement practices and employees should be able to contribute feedback. A cross-functional people committee can review workforce risks, capability needs, fairness indicators and progress against agreed objectives. This shared ownership reduces the risk that HR strategy becomes a document disconnected from daily work.

8. Conclusion

Strategic human resource management can make a substantial contribution to organisational performance by ensuring that people-related decisions support the organisation’s purpose and build capabilities that are difficult to replicate. Workforce planning helps prepare for future needs; recruitment brings in relevant capability; learning and talent management develop it; performance conversations clarify expectations; and fair rewards and supportive working conditions help employees contribute and remain. The literature suggests that coordinated HR practices are generally more promising than isolated initiatives, particularly when they strengthen ability, motivation and opportunity.

Nevertheless, HR strategy does not operate independently of leadership, culture, resources or external conditions. Practices that appear effective on paper can fail when managers implement them inconsistently, employees do not trust the process or performance targets encourage harmful behaviour. Data and technology can improve decisions, but only when their use is proportionate, transparent and carefully monitored. Organisational examples show useful principles, not universal

formulas: organisations must adapt approaches to their context and evaluate outcomes rather than copying fashionable programmes.

The most defensible approach is therefore integrated, evidence-informed and ethical. Organisations should align HR practices with strategic priorities, ensure fair access to opportunity, invest in managers and continuous learning, invite employee voice, protect well-being and evaluate business and workforce outcomes together. Sustainable competitive advantage is not produced by extracting maximum effort from employees in the short term. It is more likely to emerge when employees have the capability, motivation, resources and trust required to perform well over time.

References

References are presented in APA-style format. Please verify any institution-specific requirements before submission.

Appelbaum, E., Bailey, T., Berg, P., & Kalleberg, A. L. (2000). Manufacturing advantage: Why high-performance work systems pay off. Cornell University Press.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

Becker, G. S. (1964). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education. University of Chicago Press.

Blau, P. M. (1964). Exchange and power in social life. Wiley.

Combs, J., Liu, Y., Hall, A., & Ketchen, D. (2006). How much do high-performance work practices matter? A meta-analysis of their effects on organizational performance. Personnel Psychology, 59(3), 501–528. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2006.00045.x

Deci, E. L., Olafsen, A. H., & Ryan, R. M. (2017). Self-determination theory in work organizations: The state of a science. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 4, 19–43. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032516-113108

Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived organizational support. Journal of Applied Psychology, 71(3), 500–507. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.71.3.500

Garvin, D. A. (2013). How Google sold its engineers on management. Harvard Business Review, 91(12), 74–82.

Jiang, K., Lepak, D. P., Hu, J., & Baer, J. C. (2012). How does human resource management influence organizational outcomes? A meta-analytic investigation of mediating mechanisms. Academy of Management Journal, 55(6), 1264–1294. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2011.0088

Liker, J. K. (2004). The Toyota way: 14 management principles from the world’s greatest manufacturer. McGraw-Hill.

Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. American Psychologist, 57(9), 705–717. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.57.9.705

Nadella, S., Shaw, G., & Nichols, J. T. (2017). Hit refresh: The quest to rediscover Microsoft's soul and imagine a better future for everyone. Harper Business.