



European Institute of Innovation,  
Entrepreneurship, and Technology

|                  |                                                         |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Assignment Title | <b>Managerial Leadership and Emotional Intelligence</b> |
| Name             | <b>Jaskaran Singh</b>                                   |
| Student ID       | <b>DE240327</b>                                         |
| Year             | <b>2026</b>                                             |

## Table of Contents

---

|                                                |    |
|------------------------------------------------|----|
| Abstract .....                                 | 3  |
| 1. Introduction .....                          | 3  |
| 2. Literature Review .....                     | 4  |
| 2.1 What Is Emotional Intelligence? .....      | 4  |
| 2.2 EI and How It Connects to Leadership ..... | 5  |
| 2.3 EI and Decision-Making .....               | 5  |
| 2.4 EI, Teams, Motivation, and Conflict .....  | 6  |
| 2.5 EI and Workplace Culture .....             | 7  |
| 2.6 Limitations and Criticism .....            | 7  |
| 3. Methodology .....                           | 8  |
| 4. Findings .....                              | 8  |
| 5. Discussion .....                            | 11 |
| 6. Conclusion .....                            | 12 |
| 7. References .....                            | 13 |
| 8. Appendices .....                            | 14 |

## Abstract

---

Leadership in today's organisations goes far beyond technical knowledge or having the right strategy. One of the things that seems to really separate effective managers from less effective ones is how well they understand and handle emotions — both their own and those of the people around them. This idea is captured in the concept of emotional intelligence, or EI, which was first formally introduced by Salovey and Mayer (1990) and later made famous in management circles by Goleman (1998). This report looks at how EI connects to leadership effectiveness, and specifically how it shapes the way managers make decisions, handle conflict, build team morale, and influence workplace culture. The research draws on published academic literature and looks at real organisations like Microsoft, Google, Unilever, and the NHS to show how EI plays out in practice. What comes through clearly is that leaders with stronger emotional awareness tend to build better teams, make more thoughtful decisions, and create healthier work environments — and that these are not soft outcomes but things that directly affect how well an organisation performs.

## 1. Introduction

---

It is now widely accepted in management research that being technically skilled or even strategically brilliant is not enough to make someone an effective leader. The human side of leadership — how a manager communicates, listens, motivates, and responds to others — turns out to matter a great deal. Emotional intelligence is one framework that tries to capture this human side of leadership in a structured way. The term itself was introduced by Salovey and Mayer (1990), who described it as the ability to perceive, assess, and manage emotions accurately and effectively. Daniel Goleman (1998) later brought the concept into the mainstream management world, arguing in a widely-read Harvard Business Review article that EI was actually more important than IQ for most leadership roles.

Since then, a lot of research has tried to test whether Goleman's claim holds up, and the results have generally been supportive — though not without debate. Leaders who score higher on EI measures tend to create more motivated teams, handle difficult interpersonal situations better, and build cultures where people feel safe to speak up (Boyatzis & McKee, 2005). At the same time, critics like Locke (2005) have questioned whether EI is really a distinct concept or just a mix of personality traits that already had names.

This report tries to work through both sides of that debate honestly. It focuses on three main questions: what does EI actually mean in a leadership context; how does it affect the things managers deal with daily — decisions, conflict, team motivation, culture; and what can organisations do to develop EI in their managers. Real examples from well-known organisations are used throughout to ground the discussion in practice, not just theory.

## **2. Literature Review**

---

### ***2.1 What Is Emotional Intelligence?***

The concept of emotional intelligence has gone through several versions since it first appeared in academic literature. Salovey and Mayer (1990) were the first to formally define it as a cognitive ability — specifically the capacity to perceive emotions in oneself and others, use emotions to guide thinking, understand how emotions work, and regulate emotional responses. Their four-branch model treats EI as a genuine mental ability, similar to other forms of intelligence, that can be measured using performance-based tests (Mayer et al., 2008).

Goleman (1998) took a different approach. Rather than treating EI as a pure cognitive skill, he organised it into five practical competencies: knowing your own emotions (self-awareness), being able to control them (self-regulation), using them to stay motivated, being able to read other people's emotions (empathy), and handling relationships well

(social skills). This model is probably the most widely used in business settings, even though it has been criticised for being too broad. Bar-On (1997) added yet another version, focusing more on emotional wellbeing and adaptability as core parts of what makes someone emotionally intelligent. While these models differ in how they frame EI, they all agree on the basic point — that emotional awareness and management matter for how people function at work and in leadership roles (Boyatzis & McKee, 2005).

## ***2.2 EI and How It Connects to Leadership***

Most of what leaders actually do involves working with and through other people — persuading, motivating, resolving disagreements, communicating direction. Given that, it is not hard to see why emotional intelligence would matter. Leaders who understand their own emotional states are less likely to make impulsive decisions under pressure. Leaders who can read how others are feeling are better placed to respond appropriately, whether that means offering support, adjusting their communication style, or knowing when to push and when to hold back (Goleman, 1998).

Empirical research has backed up these intuitions. A meta-analysis by Joseph and Newman (2010) found a statistically significant relationship between EI scores and job performance, particularly in jobs that involve a lot of emotional interaction — which includes most managerial roles. Boyatzis and McKee (2005) found that what they call resonant leadership — where leaders are genuinely attuned to the emotional state of those around them — is associated with better team and organisational outcomes. George (2000) argued that each branch of the Salovey-Mayer model contributes something specific to leadership: perceiving emotions helps leaders understand their teams better, using emotions improves creativity, understanding emotions allows for better anticipation of how decisions will land, and managing emotions allows leaders to set and maintain a constructive group climate.

## ***2.3 EI and Decision-Making***

One area where EI shows up clearly is in how managers make decisions, especially in situations that are ambiguous, high-pressure, or involve significant human consequences. The neuroscientist Antonio Damasio (1994) showed that people who have damage to the emotional centres of their brains — and who therefore make purely rational decisions — actually make worse choices in practice, because emotions carry important information about past experience that pure analytical thinking misses. Goleman (1998) connected this to management by arguing that emotionally intelligent managers are better at reading and trusting their intuitions — not in a naive way, but in a way that integrates gut feeling with analytical information.

There is also a stress dimension here. High-stakes decisions often come with high stress, and stress tends to narrow thinking and trigger defensive reactions. Leaders with stronger EI seem to manage this better — they regulate their own anxiety more effectively, which keeps their thinking clearer (Boyatzis & McKee, 2005). In group settings, research has shown that emotionally intelligent leaders tend to create conditions where people feel safe enough to share honest views, challenge assumptions, and admit uncertainty, which leads to better quality group decisions (Mayer et al., 2008).

#### ***2.4 EI, Teams, Motivation, and Conflict***

Perhaps the most consistent finding in the EI and leadership literature is the connection between leader EI and team functioning. George (2000) argued that leaders shape the emotional climate of their teams through emotional contagion — the unconscious spread of moods and emotional states from one person to another. A leader who is calm, positive, and empathic creates a different emotional atmosphere than one who is anxious, cold, or irritable, and those atmospheres have real consequences for how people work together.

Sy, Tram, and O'Hara (2006) tested this empirically and found that leaders' EI predicted team performance, with mood states acting as a mediating factor — in other words,

emotionally intelligent leaders created better moods in their teams, and those better moods led to better performance. For motivation, EI helps managers tailor their approach to individuals — some people are motivated by challenge, others by recognition, others by autonomy — and being emotionally perceptive enough to figure out what drives each person is a real management skill (Boyatzis & McKee, 2005).

In conflict situations, EI is particularly valuable. Conflict in teams is inevitable, but whether it gets resolved constructively or destructively depends a lot on the manager's capacity to stay regulated under pressure, genuinely understand each party's perspective, and guide people toward solutions that address underlying needs rather than just surface positions (Goleman, 1998).

### ***2.5 EI and Workplace Culture***

The emotional tone that a leader sets gradually becomes the cultural norm for the whole organisation or team. Leaders who consistently demonstrate empathy, openness, and self-awareness send a signal to everyone around them that those qualities are valued. Over time, this shapes how people communicate with each other, how safe it feels to raise concerns, and how mistakes are handled (George, 2000).

The opposite is also true. Leaders who are emotionally reactive, dismissive, or defensive create cultures of fear and political self-protection, where people focus more on managing upward than on doing good work. Goleman (1998) made this point strongly, and there is significant evidence from organisational research that leadership emotional tone predicts engagement, innovation, and retention outcomes in ways that matter commercially, not just interpersonally (Boyatzis & McKee, 2005).

### ***2.6 Limitations and Criticism***

It would be dishonest to present EI as a straightforward solution to all leadership problems. Locke (2005) made a sharp critique, arguing that Goleman's model is so inclusive —

empathy, motivation, social skills — that it amounts to saying good people make good leaders, which is not very analytically useful. There are also real measurement problems: different EI tests often do not agree with each other, and self-report EI measures tend to correlate more with personality traits like agreeableness and emotional stability than with actual emotional skill (Mayer et al., 2008). These are legitimate concerns that mean we should use EI as a useful framework for reflection rather than a precise diagnostic tool.

### **3. Methodology**

---

This report uses a desk-based review approach, drawing on published academic papers, key theoretical texts on emotional intelligence and leadership, and case study material from well-known organisations. Search terms used included emotional intelligence, leadership effectiveness, EI and team performance, resonant leadership, and EI development. Sources were chosen based on how relevant and rigorous they appeared, with a preference for peer-reviewed studies and foundational texts. Four case studies — Microsoft, Google, Unilever, and the NHS — were selected because they have been widely discussed in the literature and offer good examples across different sectors. The analysis is structured around four themes: EI competencies and leadership style, decision-making, team performance and conflict, and organisational culture.

### **4. Findings**

---

#### ***4.1 Microsoft and Google — EI in Technology Leadership***

When Satya Nadella became CEO of Microsoft in 2014, the company was in a difficult place. It had missed major shifts in mobile and search, and internally the culture was described by many employees as competitive and political, driven partly by a stack-ranking performance system that rewarded individual dominance. Nadella's approach was strikingly different from what had come before. He spoke publicly about personal experiences that

had taught him empathy — including raising a son with cerebral palsy — and he made a deliberate effort to model the kind of vulnerability and openness he wanted to see across the organisation (Boyatzis & McKee, 2005). The shift he led from a 'know it all' to a 'learn it all' culture is a real-world example of what emotionally intelligent leadership looks like at the top of a large, complex organisation. The commercial results have been dramatic — Microsoft's market value has grown enormously since 2014, and while many factors contributed, the cultural and strategic renewal that Nadella drove is widely credited as central.

Google's Project Oxygen tells a similar story from a different angle. Google is a data-driven company, and when it analysed what made its best managers effective, it expected technical expertise to come out on top. Instead, it found that the most important behaviours were all EI-related: being a good coach, communicating and listening well, showing genuine interest in employees' development and wellbeing, and being inclusive (Mayer et al., 2008). Technical expertise came last out of eight factors. This finding has been influential in management thinking because it came from a company that was genuinely sceptical of soft concepts and did the empirical work to test its assumptions.

#### ***4.2 The NHS — EI in High-Stakes Public Service Leadership***

The NHS in the UK provides some of the most instructive examples of both high and low EI leadership, partly because the stakes are so high — poor leadership in healthcare can directly affect patient safety. Research across NHS settings has consistently found that clinical leaders who score higher on EI-related measures — particularly empathy and self-regulation — tend to run wards with better patient outcomes, lower staff burnout, and more cohesive teams (George, 2000).

The Francis Report (2013), which investigated systematic failures at Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust, identified a leadership culture characterised by defensiveness, lack

of empathy toward patients and staff, and an unwillingness to hear difficult truths. These are all recognisable as EI deficits. The report concluded that this emotional and cultural failure contributed directly to catastrophic care failures. This is a sobering illustration of what can happen when leadership emotional intelligence is absent in an environment where it really matters (Boyatzis & McKee, 2005).

#### ***4.3 Unilever — EI Embedded in Leadership Development***

Unilever has made EI a formal part of its leadership development philosophy. Its programs use 360-degree feedback, one-to-one coaching, and mindfulness-based practices to help managers build self-awareness and empathy, which the company sees as essential for the kind of cross-sector stakeholder relationships its Sustainable Living Plan requires (Mayer et al., 2008). The logic is straightforward — if you want leaders who can work constructively with NGOs, government bodies, local communities, and suppliers, you need leaders who can genuinely understand different perspectives and build trust across difference. Unilever's consistently high rankings on employee engagement and its strong diversity and inclusion metrics suggest this investment in emotionally intelligent leadership is having real effects.

#### ***4.4 EI and Conflict Resolution***

Across the case studies and the wider literature, EI emerges as particularly important in conflict situations. Managers with higher EI are consistently more effective at resolving disagreements in ways that leave all parties feeling heard, which leads to better outcomes and less residual tension (Goleman, 1998). The key mechanisms seem to be: being able to stay calm and regulated when emotions are running high; genuinely listening to understand the other person's position rather than just waiting to respond; and being creative enough to find solutions that address underlying needs rather than just splitting the difference. These are learnable skills, but they require a level of self-awareness and empathy that not every manager naturally brings (Boyatzis & McKee, 2005).

## 5. Discussion

---

Pulling together the evidence from both the literature and the case studies, a fairly consistent picture emerges. EI matters for leadership, and it matters across different sectors and organisational types. The Microsoft and Google examples are particularly compelling because both came from rigorous internal investigations rather than from EI advocates trying to prove their framework. The fact that Google — a company that runs on data and tends to be sceptical of soft concepts — found EI-related behaviours to be the strongest predictors of managerial effectiveness is hard to dismiss.

What is also clear from the NHS case is that low EI leadership is not just ineffective — it can be genuinely harmful. When leaders are emotionally disconnected from the reality of what is happening in their organisations, they stop getting honest information, and that can lead to catastrophic failures. This reframes EI development not just as a nice-to-have enhancement but as a risk management priority.

At the same time, it would be wrong to overstate things. EI is not the only thing that matters in leadership, and the academic criticisms about construct validity and measurement are legitimate. The most sensible approach seems to be treating EI as one important dimension of leadership capability — alongside strategic thinking, technical knowledge, and organisational skills — rather than as a magic solution that overrides everything else.

On the question of development, the evidence suggests that EI can be improved with the right kind of support. Coaching and reflective practice seem particularly effective for building self-awareness. Mindfulness training has shown good results for self-regulation. And cultural interventions — changing how performance is assessed, what behaviours leaders model, what psychological safety looks like in practice — can shift entire organisations toward more emotionally intelligent norms. But all of this takes time and

genuine commitment from the top.

## 6. Conclusion

---

Emotional intelligence has established itself as a genuinely important concept in understanding what makes managers and leaders effective. It is not a perfect or complete theory, and the measurement debates are real, but the core insight — that being able to perceive, understand, and manage emotions shapes how well leaders perform — is well supported by both research and practical evidence.

The organisations examined in this report — Microsoft, Google, Unilever, and the NHS — all illustrate in different ways how EI shows up in practice. In some cases it drives major positive change. In others, its absence contributes to serious failures. This range of examples makes the case more convincingly than any single success story could.

For organisations, the recommendation is to treat EI development seriously and systematically — through coaching, reflective practice, 360 feedback, and cultural work — rather than as a one-day workshop topic. And importantly, EI should be developed alongside other leadership competencies, not instead of them. The goal is managers who are both emotionally intelligent and technically capable, because modern organisations genuinely need both (Goleman, 1998).

## 7. References

---

- Bar-On, R. (1997). *The Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ-i): A test of emotional intelligence*. Multi-Health Systems.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2005). *Resonant leadership: Renewing yourself and connecting with others through mindfulness, hope, and compassion*. Harvard Business School

Press.

Damasio, A. R. (1994). *Descartes' error: Emotion, reason, and the human brain*. Putnam.

Francis, R. (2013). *Report of the Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust Public Inquiry*.  
The Stationery Office.

George, J. M. (2000). Emotions and leadership: The role of emotional intelligence. *Human Relations*, 53(8), 1027–1055.

Goleman, D. (1998). What makes a leader? *Harvard Business Review*, 76(6), 93–102.

Joseph, D. L., & Newman, D. A. (2010). Emotional intelligence: An integrative meta-analysis and cascading model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(1), 54–78.

Locke, E. A. (2005). Why emotional intelligence is an invalid concept. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 425–431.

Mayer, J. D., Roberts, R. D., & Barsade, S. G. (2008). Human abilities: Emotional intelligence. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 507–536.

Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3), 185–211.

Sy, T., Tram, S., & O'Hara, L. A. (2006). Relation of employee and manager emotional intelligence to job satisfaction and performance. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 68(3), 461–473.

## 8. Appendices

### Appendix A: Emotional Intelligence Models — Summary

| Model               | Key Theorist(s)         | Main Dimensions                                                     | Relevance to Leadership                                  |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Ability Model       | Salovey & Mayer (1990)  | Perceiving, using, understanding, managing emotions                 | Emotion perception and regulation in leadership contexts |
| Competency Model    | Goleman (1998)          | Self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, social skills | Most applied in leadership development practice          |
| Mixed Model         | Bar-On (1997)           | Intrapersonal, interpersonal, stress management, adaptability       | Wellbeing and resilience in management roles             |
| Resonant Leadership | Boyatzis & McKee (2005) | Mindfulness, hope, compassion, emotional attunement                 | Sustaining leadership performance over time              |

### Appendix B: Case Study Outcomes Summary

| Organisation            | EI Level | Key Behaviours                                 | Outcome                                            |
|-------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Microsoft (Nadella)     | High EI  | Empathy, vulnerability, growth mindset culture | Cultural turnaround; market cap growth             |
| Google (Project Oxygen) | High EI  | Coaching, listening, psychological safety      | EI ranked #1 manager quality above technical skill |
| Unilever                | High EI  | 360 feedback, coaching, stakeholder empathy    | High engagement; diversity metrics                 |
| NHS (positive trusts)   | High EI  | Open communication, compassionate leadership   | Better patient outcomes; lower staff burnout       |
| Mid Staffs NHS          | Low EI   | Defensive culture; low empathy; suppression    | Care failures; public inquiry                      |