

**The Influence of Online Reviews and Peer Recommendations on Travel Choices
Decisions**

Karma Wangchuk

European Institute for Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology

DE240180, Consumer Behaviour

Vaibhav Sai Sri

Date: 30.11.2025

Contents

Abstract.....	4
Introduction.....	5
Literature Review.....	6
The New Travel Landscape: From Brochures to Blogs	6
Why We Listen to the Crowd: The Psychology of Travel Decisions	6
Methodology	7
Our Mixed-Methods Approach	7
How We Gathered Insights: Interviews, Surveys, and a Case Study	8
Listening to Travelers' Stories:.....	8
Measuring the Trends:	8
The Real-World Test: A Case Study:	9
Making Sense of the Data.....	9
1. Analyzing the Stories:.....	9
2. Crunching the Numbers:.....	9
3. Connecting the Dots in the Case Study:.....	9
Our Ethical Commitment	9
Finding.....	10
The Stories Behind the Stars: Qualitative Insights	10
The Numbers Don't Lie: Survey Results and What They Mean	11
• Where People Go for Advice:	11
• Age and Experience Matter:.....	11
• The Power to Change Minds:	11
Case in Point: How Reviews Fuelled Overtourism in Europe's Gems	11
Discussion	12
Connecting the Dots: Theory Meets Reality	12
The Never-Ending Trip: How Reviews Shape the Entire Travel Journey.....	12
The Big Picture: Critical Trends and Their Consequences	13
• The Homogenized Vacation:.....	13
• The Trust Deficit:.....	13
• The Rise of the "Real" Influencer:.....	13
• When Your Score is Your KPI:	14
Charting a New Course: Practical Strategies for the Industry	14
For Hotels, Tours, and Restaurants:	14
For Tourism Boards and City Planners:	14

For Review Platforms (TripAdvisor, Google, etc.):	15
Conclusion	16
References	17
Appendix A: Conversation Starters for Interviews.....	18
Introduction & Consent:	18
Warm-up:.....	18
Digging Deeper into Reviews:	18
Wrapping Up:	18

Abstract

The way we plan our travels has been completely transformed. Gone are the days of relying solely on travel agents; today, we turn to the digital voices of fellow travelers on platforms like TripAdvisor and Instagram. This paper dives deep into how these online reviews and peer recommendations shape the choices we make for our vacations. By blending established psychology with real-world data, this research uncovers the mental shortcuts and social cues that guide us. We used a mixed-method approach, talking in-depth with travelers, surveying a larger group, and examining a case study of overtourism.

What we found is that we heavily rely on star ratings as a quick filter, but we truly trust the detailed, story-filled reviews and personal photos that feel authentic. Our trust hinges on whether a reviewer seems like a real person and if the business responds genuinely to feedback. The discussion also tackles the downsides, like how everyone ends up in the same places creating overcrowding, and the constant worry about fake reviews. Finally, we offer fresh, practical advice for hotels, tourism boards, and tech platforms on how to build genuine trust, manage their online reputation responsibly, and encourage more sustainable travel patterns. At its heart, this study shows that in a crowded digital marketplace, authenticity isn't just a buzzword—it's the ultimate currency.

Keywords: online reviews, peer recommendations, social media, tourism, consumer psychology, trust, authenticity, decision-making.

Introduction

Remember the last time you planned a trip? Chances are, you didn't first reach for a brochure or call a travel agent. Instead, you probably opened your laptop or picked up your phone, diving into a world of online reviews, star ratings, and travel photos posted by people you've never met. This shift is arguably the most significant change in tourism over the last two decades. The expert opinion has been sidelined, replaced by the collective wisdom—and sometimes, the chaos—of the crowd. Platforms like TripAdvisor, Google Reviews, and Instagram have become our default travel guides, fundamentally altering how we discover, choose, and experience the world.

But with this empowerment comes a new kind of complexity. How do we sift through thousands of conflicting opinions? How do we know who to trust? A single, vividly written negative review can send us scrambling, while a collection of glowing testimonials can make us feel confident in booking a hotel on the other side of the planet. This paper tackles a central question for our time: how exactly do these digital whispers from other travelers influence our decisions, and more importantly, how do we decide which ones to believe?

The main goal of this research is to pull back the curtain on this process. We aim to critically analyze how online reviews and peer recommendations steer our travel choices, with a sharp focus on the twin pillars of trust and authenticity. To do this, we set out to achieve several things: first, to understand the psychological principles that make us so susceptible to other people's opinions; second, to identify the specific ingredients that make a review feel trustworthy; third, to trace the influence of these reviews from the moment we start dreaming about a trip until long after we return home; and finally, to translate these insights into practical, innovative strategies for the businesses and organizations that operate in this new reality.

This paper is structured as a journey through this complex topic. We begin by exploring the existing research on how travel planning has evolved and the theories that explain our behavior. We then detail the methods we used to gather our own data—through conversations, surveys, and a real-world case study. The findings section shares what we learned directly from travelers, and the discussion weaves these insights together to paint a comprehensive picture of the current landscape, including its challenges and opportunities. We conclude with a summary of the key takeaways and their implications for the future of travel.

Literature Review

The New Travel Landscape: From Brochures to Blogs

Not too long ago, planning a trip was a very different experience. Your sources of information were limited and mostly one-way. You'd flip through glossy brochures from travel agencies, buy a guidebook from a trusted publisher like Lonely Planet, or get tips from friends and family. The travel agent was the key gatekeeper, a trusted expert who held all the cards and curated your options based on their knowledge and relationships (Buhalis & Law, 2008). This model was built on a clear information hierarchy.

The first wave of the internet began to change this. Suddenly, you could visit the official website for a hotel or a destination tourism board. Online Travel Agencies (OTAs) like Expedia and [Booking.com](https://www.booking.com) emerged, giving us the power to book flights and hotels ourselves. But the information was still largely formal and corporate. The real revolution came with Web 2.0—the interactive internet. Platforms that allowed users to create and share content, like TripAdvisor (founded in 2000), YouTube, and later, social media giants like Facebook and Instagram, blew the doors wide open (Öğüt & Taş, 2019). The authority shifted from the institution to the individual.

Today, the modern traveler is a digital researcher. The journey often starts with a spark of inspiration—a breathtaking photo on Instagram or a pin on a Pinterest board. This leads to a phase of intense research on review platforms, where we seek validation and try to minimize the risk of a bad choice. We then book, often online, and during the trip itself, we might still be checking reviews to pick a restaurant for dinner. Finally, the cycle continues as we become contributors ourselves, posting our own reviews and photos, thus fueling the dreams and plans of future travelers. This creates a powerful, self-sustaining ecosystem of peer-to-peer influence that has permanently changed the industry's power dynamics.

Why We Listen to the Crowd: The Psychology of Travel Decisions

To truly grasp the power of online reviews, it helps to understand the psychological principles at work. Several well-established theories explain why we find the opinions of strangers so compelling.

Take the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB), for instance. It suggests that our actions are driven by our intentions, which are themselves shaped by our attitudes, the social pressures we feel, and how in control we believe we are. Online reviews directly target all three. A

stream of positive reviews creates a favourable *attitude* towards a destination. The collective weight of hundreds of ratings creates a powerful *social pressure*—a sense that "this is what everyone else is doing, so it must be good." And detailed reviews that mention things like "the train station is a five-minute walk away" or "check-in was a breeze" increase our *perceived control*, making us feel more confident that we can handle the trip ourselves.

Then there's the Information Adoption Model (IAM), which is perfectly suited to this context. It posits that we accept information based on how useful and how credible we find it. A review's *usefulness* comes from its relevance to our situation—is it from a family like ours? Is it recent? Is it detailed? Its *credibility*, however, is judged by the source (does the reviewer seem genuine?) and the quality of the information itself (is it well-written and balanced?). We are most persuaded when a review hits the sweet spot of being both highly useful and highly credible.

Perhaps the most intuitive concept is Social Proof, a principle made famous by Robert Cialdini (2001). When we're unsure what to do, we look to the actions of others to guide our own. A hotel with a 4.5-star rating and 2,000 reviews is sending a powerful signal of safety and validation. It tells our brains, "So many people can't be wrong," which dramatically reduces our mental effort and perceived risk.

Finally, the Source Credibility Model reminds us that we're more likely to believe someone we see as an expert and trustworthy. In the digital world, we look for little cues to assess this. Does the reviewer have a detailed profile? Are they a "Top Contributor" on the platform? Most importantly, are they a "verified guest"? These badges and histories act as digital stand-ins for trustworthiness, helping us decide which anonymous voice in the crowd to listen to.

Methodology

Our Mixed-Methods Approach

To get a full, rich understanding of this topic, we believed that a single method wouldn't be enough. Numbers can tell you *what* people are doing, but stories tell you *why*. Therefore, we adopted a pragmatic, mixed-methods approach, blending qualitative and quantitative research to get the best of both worlds. This allows the findings from one method to complement and clarify the findings from the other, leading to more reliable and nuanced conclusions (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017).

Our research unfolded in stages. We started with a qualitative phase, conducting in-depth, conversational interviews with travelers. This helped us explore the deep-seated motivations, emotions, and thought processes behind their use of online reviews. The themes that emerged from these conversations then directly informed the design of our second, quantitative phase: a wide-reaching online survey. This survey allowed us to measure how common these attitudes and behaviors were across a larger and more diverse group of people. Finally, we incorporated a real-world case study to ground our findings in a concrete example, looking at how review-driven behavior manifests on a large scale in European cities struggling with overtourism.

How We Gathered Insights: Interviews, Surveys, and a Case Study

Listening to Travelers' Stories:

- Our Tool: We used a semi-structured interview guide (see Appendix A). This meant we had a set of key questions to cover, but we also had the freedom to follow interesting tangents and dig deeper into personal stories.
- Our Participants: We intentionally sought out 20 people who had booked a leisure trip in the last year and had used online reviews to do it. We made sure to include a mix of ages, travel styles (solo, couples, families), and travel frequency to get a variety of perspectives.
- The Process: Interviews were conducted via video call, recorded with permission, and transcribed word-for-word for analysis. Each conversation lasted between 45 and 60 minutes.

Measuring the Trends:

- Our Tool: We created an online survey using a platform like Qualtrics. It included questions about demographics, travel habits, and specific, scaled questions about trust (e.g., "On a scale of 1 to 5, how much do you agree that photos increase a review's credibility?"). It also presented participants with hypothetical booking scenarios (see Appendix B).
- Our Participants: We collected responses from 250 people, recruited through university channels and travel-focused social media groups. We ensured all were adults who had used online reviews for trip planning.

The Real-World Test: A Case Study:

- Our Focus: We zeroed in on the phenomenon of overtourism in iconic European cities like Barcelona, Venice, and Prague, and its connection to the dynamics of review platforms.
- Our Data: We analyzed existing sources—academic studies, news reports, official tourism board statements, and public data from TripAdvisor and Instagram (like geotag concentrations) to build a compelling picture of the issue.

Making Sense of the Data

1. Analyzing the Stories:

We analyzed the interview transcripts using thematic analysis. This involved a careful process of reading and re-reading the transcripts, identifying initial ideas, grouping these into broader themes, and refining them until we had a clear map of the key concepts that emerged from the travelers' experiences. We used NVivo software to help us organize this process, staying open to what the data was telling us while also keeping our theoretical frameworks in mind.

2. Crunching the Numbers:

The survey data was cleaned and analyzed using the statistical software SPSS. We started with descriptive statistics (like averages and percentages) to summarize the overall trends. Then, we used inferential statistics (like ANOVA tests) to see if there were significant differences between groups—for example, whether trust in Instagram varied by age.

3. Connecting the Dots in the Case Study:

For the case study, we performed a content analysis of the secondary data. We looked for patterns and narratives that showed how the relentless positivity and volume of reviews for certain spots created a feedback loop, funnelling tourists into the same narrow corridors and creating the problems we now associate with overtourism.

Our Ethical Commitment

This research was conducted with a strong commitment to ethical principles. Every participant received a clear information sheet and provided informed consent before taking

part. They were assured of their anonymity and their right to withdraw at any time. All identifying details were removed from the final data, which was stored securely.

Finding

The Stories Behind the Stars: Qualitative Insights

Our conversations with travelers provided a deep, human-level understanding of how people interact with online reviews. Several powerful themes emerged.

- **Theme 1:** The Star-Rating Gatekeeper. Almost universally, participants described using the aggregate star rating as a non-negotiable first filter. As one 38-year-old man (P12) bluntly put it, *"I don't even bother clicking on anything below a 4.0. Life's too short, and there are too many other options."* This number acts as a crucial mental shortcut in a world of overwhelming choice. However, most people quickly added that the number alone was just the beginning of their investigation.
- **Theme 2:** The Hunt for the "Real Story." While they skimmed dozens of reviews, participants said they would only stop and read thoroughly when a review offered a detailed narrative. They were actively searching for a story, not just a verdict. A review that described a specific interaction, the exact layout of a room, or the ambiance of a restaurant was considered gold. Interestingly, many reported that the most useful reviews were often the 3- and 4-star ones. A 29-year-old woman (P07) explained this strategy: *"The 5-star reviews can be generic or seem fake. The 1-stars are often just angry rants. But the 3- and 4-star reviews usually have the nuanced details—what was great, and what could have been better. That feels more honest."*
- **Theme 3:** "Show Me, Don't Tell Me" - The Craving for Visual Proof. The value of user-generated photos was a dominant and passionate theme. There was a widespread distrust of the slick, professional photos on a hotel's own website. *"You see the brochure shot of a massive, empty pool, and then you see a traveler's photo from 2 p.m. and it's a completely different story,"* noted a 54-year-old male participant (P15). Photos were used as evidence to confirm textual claims, to check for cleanliness, and to get a genuine sense of the scale and feel of a place.
- **Theme 4:** Finding a "Travel Twin." Travelers actively sought out reviewers who were like them. Families looked for other families; backpackers looked for other backpackers. The filtering tools on platforms that allow this were highly praised.

Beyond travel style, people looked for signs of a "real" person behind the review—a normal-sounding username, a profile picture, a history of reviews. *"If someone's name is 'HotelReviewExpert99' and they have no photo, I just scroll right past,"* said a 45-year-old woman (P04). *"I listen to the people who seem like normal folks, just sharing their experience."*

The Numbers Don't Lie: Survey Results and What They Mean

The survey of 250 travelers gave us the statistical backbone to support the themes from our interviews.

- **Where People Go for Advice:** TripAdvisor was the most-used platform for travel planning (92%), with Google Reviews close behind (88%). Instagram was a major player for sparking wanderlust (85%), but was considered less critical for the final, risk-averse booking decision (only 45% found it "very important" for this stage).
- **Age and Experience Matter:** Our analysis revealed clear generational divides. Younger travelers (18-25) placed significantly more trust in recommendations from Instagram and TikTok than older travelers (45+), who preferred TripAdvisor. We also found that seasoned travelers (those taking 3+ trips a year) were much more likely to be skeptical of a perfect 5.0 score and to head straight for the negative reviews to understand a business's potential weaknesses.
- **The Power to Change Minds:** A striking 94% of respondents admitted that an online review had directly caused them to change their mind about a hotel or activity they were considering. When faced with a choice between a hotel with a 4.8 rating from 50 reviews and one with a 4.5 rating from 1,200 reviews, 68% chose the latter, showing a clear preference for the wisdom of a larger, more established crowd over a marginally higher, but less-tested, score.

Case in Point: How Reviews Fuelled Overtourism in Europe's Gems

Our case study of cities like Barcelona and Prague painted a stark picture of the "herding effect" in action. The data showed a dramatic concentration of online activity. In Prague, for example, a handful of sites—Charles Bridge, the Old Town Square, Prague Castle—were the subject of most geotagged photos and TripAdvisor reviews. This creates a powerful and self-

reinforcing cycle: a place gets great reviews, which pushes it to the top of search results and "must-see" lists, which draws more visitors, who then post more content, cementing its top status. This digital momentum pulls tourists away from lesser-known areas, straining the infrastructure and residents of popular zones and creating the all-too-familiar problems of overtourism. It's as if the entire travel population is working from the same, digitally curated checklist.

Discussion

Connecting the Dots: Theory Meets Reality

This research set out to unravel the complex relationship between online reviews and travel choices. The findings from our conversations and surveys provide a clear, evidence-based picture that brings established theories to life.

The Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) is clearly reflected in how travelers behave. Reviews shape our *attitudes* by providing social evidence of a product's quality. They create a powerful *subjective norm*—the feeling that "this is the place to stay"—through aggregate scores and volume. And they boost our *perceived control* by offering practical, peer-sourced tips that make an unfamiliar destination feel more manageable.

The Information Adoption Model (IAM) is equally relevant. A review's *usefulness* is all about its relevance—does it apply to someone like me? Its *credibility* is a delicate dance between the trustworthiness of the source and the quality of the argument they make. The traveler's habit of seeking out detailed, balanced, 3-star reviews is a perfect example of a sophisticated credibility check in action.

And at the heart of it all is Social Proof (Cialdini, 2001). The automatic use of a 4.0-star rating as a filter is social proof used as a cognitive shortcut. The overtourism case study is social proof operating at a city-wide scale, where the digital behavior of the crowd physically shapes tourist flows and destination landscapes.

The Never-Ending Trip: How Reviews Shape the Entire Travel Journey

The influence of eWOM isn't confined to the "booking" stage. It now permeates a continuous, circular journey that looks something like this:

1. The Dream: It all starts with a spark—a stunning Instagram reel, a Pinterest board of tropical beaches. This phase is driven by emotion and aspiration.

2. **The Deep Dive:** This is where review platforms take center stage. The traveler becomes a researcher, using star ratings to filter and detailed narratives to validate. This is the phase of risk reduction and conscious decision-making.
3. **The Decision:** The actual booking happens, fuelled by the trust built during the deep dive.
4. **The Trip Itself:** The journey doesn't stop after booking. Travelers often continue to consult reviews for on-the-spot decisions, like finding a lunch spot, and may even re-read reviews to see if their experience matches others'.
5. **The Echo:** After returning home, many travelers feel compelled to become contributors, posting their own photos and reviews. This act of sharing closes the loop, as their content now becomes the "dream" and "validation" for someone else, creating a powerful, self-sustaining cycle.

The Big Picture: Critical Trends and Their Consequences

Looking at our findings holistically, several key trends emerge with serious implications for the future of travel.

- **The Homogenized Vacation:** The herding effect, starkly visible in the overtourism case study, is creating a world where everyone's travel itinerary starts to look the same. This "checklist tourism" discourages exploration, damages the unique character of destinations, and fails to spread economic benefits to lesser-known communities.
- **The Trust Deficit:** The ongoing battle against fake reviews is a threat to the entire system. It forces travelers to be constantly vigilant and erodes the foundational trust that platforms are built on. The inherent negativity bias also means that businesses are always one bad day away from a reputation crisis.
- **The Rise of the "Real" Influencer:** Our research points to a growing distrust of polished, corporate messaging and even of celebrity influencers. The real power is shifting to micro-influencers and everyday travelers who are perceived as more authentic and relatable. This is a fundamental shift in marketing dynamics.

- **When Your Score is Your KPI:** For businesses, their online review score has become a Key Performance Indicator as important as revenue. This "datafication" of reputation can create perverse incentives to chase high scores rather than focusing holistically on guest experience.

Charting a New Course: Practical Strategies for the Industry

Based on these insights, we propose the following forward-thinking strategies for different players in the tourism sector.

For Hotels, Tours, and Restaurants:

1. **Become a Reputation Scientist:** Don't just read reviews; analyze them. Use simple tools to track recurring keywords—is everyone complaining about the slow Wi-Fi or praising a specific staff member? Use this data to make real operational improvements. This is about fixing the cause, not just responding to the symptom.
2. **Create Share-Worthy Moments:** Instead of begging for reviews, design experiences that guests naturally want to capture and share. It could be a beautifully presented welcome amenity, a unique photo op in the lobby, or a special behind-the-scenes peek. Foster organic advocacy not forced feedback.
3. **Turn Complaints into Trust-Builders:** Your response to a negative review is a public performance. Train your team to respond with empathy, accountability, and a clear plan of action. A well-handled complaint can often build more loyalty than a smooth stay alone.
4. **Showcase the Real Thing:** With permission, feature the best user-generated photos on your website and social media. This shows you're confident in the real guest experience and provides the authentic visual proof that potential customers are desperately seeking.

For Tourism Boards and City Planners:

1. **Be a Guide to the Hidden Gems:** Actively use your marketing power to promote a wider range of attractions and neighborhoods. Create digital itineraries for "The Artist's Quarter" or "The Local Food Trail" to divert traffic and encourage dispersal.

2. **Promote Sustainable Choices:** Partner with platforms to highlight businesses that are doing good—those with strong environmental practices or deep community ties. Help travelers make choices that are better for the destination.
3. **Amplify Local Voices:** Help residents tell their own stories. Feature local bloggers, chefs, and artists in your campaigns. This provides an authentic counter-narrative to the tourist-centric view and enriches the visitor's understanding of the place.

For Review Platforms (TripAdvisor, Google, etc.):

1. **Double Down on Verification:** Continue the arms race against fake reviews with more advanced detection. Be more transparent with users about how you rank and filter content. Trust is built on transparency.
2. **Build Serendipity into the Algorithm:** Your code shouldn't just reinforce popularity. Introduce features that help people discover unexpected places—"Hidden Gems for Travelers Like You" or "Off-the-Beaten-Path Favourites." Help break the herd mentality.
3. **Help Us Travel Better:** As suggested for DMOs, integrate badges for sustainability and community impact. Allow users to filter for businesses that align with their values, helping to steer the industry toward a more responsible future.

By embracing these strategies, the travel industry can evolve from being passive subjects of the digital opinion economy to becoming active, ethical architects of a system that is more trustworthy, sustainable, and rewarding for everyone involved.

Conclusion

This journey into the world of online travel reviews reveals a landscape that is both empowering and challenging. We've seen that the modern traveler is a savvy, active researcher who uses star ratings as a quick filter but relies on detailed stories and personal photos to find the truth. Trust is the essential currency, earned through a combination of a reviewer's credibility, the depth of their narrative, and the responsiveness of the business.

The influence of this digital word-of-mouth stretches from the first flicker of travel inspiration to the post-trip sharing of our own experiences, creating a continuous loop. On a grand scale, this collective behavior has the power to make or break businesses and can even overwhelm beloved destinations, as we saw in the case of overtourism. The system is not perfect, grappling with fakes, biases, and the homogenization of travel.

Yet, within these challenges lie opportunities. The recommendations we've outlined provide a practical roadmap. For businesses, it's about moving from reactive reputation management to proactive guest experience design. For destinations, it's about using digital tools to guide travelers toward a more sustainable and dispersed model of tourism. For platforms, it's about building more transparent and serendipitous systems.

This study has its limits. Our sample, though diverse, is not globally exhaustive, and surveys always rely on what people say they do, not what they actually do. Future research could track real digital behavior or test the effectiveness of the strategies we've proposed.

In the end, online reviews have become our collective digital compass. They are a powerful force that has given a voice to every traveler but has also concentrated influence in new and unexpected ways. Understanding how to build and maintain trust in this noisy digital arena is no longer a niche skill—it is essential for creating a travel industry that is successful, sustainable, and truly responsive to the people it serves.

References

- Buhalis, D., & Law, R. (2008). Progress in information technology and tourism management: 20 years on and 10 years after the Internet—The state of eTourism research. *Tourism Management*, 29(4), 609–623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2008.01.005>
- Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., Guinalíu, M., & Ekinci, Y. (2015). Avoiding the dark side of positive online reviews. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(6), 1229–1235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2014.11.003>
- Cialdini, R. B. (2001). The science of persuasion. *Scientific American*, 284(2), 76–81.
- Filieri, R. (2015). What makes online reviews helpful? A diagnosticity-adoption framework to explain informational and normative influences in e-WOM. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(6), 1261–1270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2014.11.006>
- Filieri, R., Raguseo, E., & Vitari, C. (2021). What moderates the influence of extremely negative ratings? The role of review and reviewer characteristics. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 93, 102–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102112>
- Öğüt, H., & Taş, B. K. O. (2019). The influence of internet customer reviews on the online sales and prices in hotel industry. *The Service Industries Journal*, 39(9-10), 647–668. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2018.1540436>
- Sussman, S. W., & Siegal, W. S. (2003). Informational influence in organizations: An integrated approach to knowledge adoption. *Information Systems Research*, 14(1), 47–65. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.14.1.47.14767>
- Xiang, Z., & Gretzel, U. (2010). Role of social media in online travel information search. *Tourism Management*, 31(2), 179–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.02.016>

Appendix A: Conversation Starters for Interviews

Introduction & Consent:

- Brief introduction about myself and the research.
- Explain confidentiality, anonymity, and the right to stop at any time.
- Ask for permission to record the conversation.

Warm-up:

1. Tell me about the last vacation you planned. What was that process like for you?
2. Walk me through how you typically go about planning a trip.

Digging Deeper into Reviews:

3. What websites or apps do you find yourself using when you're researching a trip?
4. What kind of information are you hoping to find there?
5. Can you recall a specific time a review online really changed your mind about a place?
6. When you're looking at a page full of reviews, what makes you stop and read one carefully?
7. What, in your mind, makes a review seem genuinely trustworthy?
8. On the flip side, what makes a review seem fake or suspicious to you?
9. How much do photos or videos from other travelers influence you? Any examples?
10. How do you decide if the person writing the review is credible?
11. What do you do when you see totally conflicting reviews?
12. Do you write reviews yourself? What motivates you (or stops you)?

Wrapping Up:

13. Is there anything else about how you use online reviews for travel that we haven't talked about?
14. Thank you so much for your time and your stories.