EUROPEAN INSTITUTE OF INNOVATION, ENTREPRENEURSHIP, AND TECHNOLOGY

(EIIET)

Berlin Campus

Fundamentals of Business Economics

Environmental Adaptation and Strategic Decision-Making in Dynamic Markets

Practical Analytical Report – Assignment Option 1

November 2026

Student name: Muhammad Sameer Randhawa

Student ID: DE240359

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

2. Environmental & Market Analysis

3. Organisational & Strategic Analysis

4. Findings & Decision-Making Framework

5. Discussion & Business Implications

6. Conclusion & Recommendations

References

Appendices

Executive Summary

This report analyses how service and tourism enterprises adapt their internal management systems to macroeconomic shocks and volatile markets. The 2019–2024 period offers a natural test: the pandemic, the 2022 inflation spike and the fastest monetary tightening in the euro area's history hit travel firms one after another. A PESTEL review shows that inflation, interest rates, digital booking behaviour and EU consumer regulation now shape operational decisions directly, not only long-term strategy. Comparing the classic, linear planning cycle with agile methods shows that neither is enough on its own: firms need a stable strategic intent combined with short, data-driven adjustment cycles. Two contrasting cases illustrate this. Thomas Cook kept a high-debt, store-based model and collapsed in 2019, while TUI survived the pandemic with €4.3 billion of state support and fully repaid the stabilisation fund in 2023 after a €1.8 billion capital increase. The report proposes a five-stage **Sense–Scenario–Decide–Sprint–Review (3S-DR)** adaptation model and recommends trigger-based monitoring, scenario planning, a leverage ceiling and 90-day strategy sprints.

1. Introduction

Firms no longer operate in an environment that changes slowly enough for a five-year plan to stay valid. Energy prices, interest rates, travel restrictions and booking channels have all shifted sharply in recent years, and tourism businesses feel such shifts quickly because demand is discretionary, margins are thin and costs are largely fixed in advance (aircraft, hotel contracts, staff).

The aim of this report is to analyse how contemporary enterprises adapt their internal business management systems to macroeconomic change. It has four objectives, matching the assignment brief: (1) identify the macro-environmental factors that influence firm-level decisions; (2) contrast classic strategic planning with agile methodologies; (3) review case studies of tourism operators that did, or did not, re-engineer their operations; and (4) propose a practical adaptation model for executives. The analysis is based on secondary sources: academic strategy literature, official statistics (Eurostat, ECB), EU legislation and company publications. Section 2 analyses the environment, Section 3 the organisational and strategic responses, Section 4 presents the case findings and the proposed framework, and Sections 5 and 6 discuss implications and give recommendations.

2. Environmental & Market Analysis

A PESTEL analysis (Johnson et al., 2020) was used to screen the macro-environment of a European tour operator. Four factors stand out because they change day-to-day decisions, not just long-range plans (full table in Appendix A).

2.1 Inflation

Euro area annual inflation reached **10.6% in October 2022**, up from 4.1% a year earlier, with energy the largest contributor (Eurostat, 2022). For a tour operator, inflation works on both sides of the business. Input costs (jet fuel, hotel rates, wages) rise, while customers who face higher living costs become more price-sensitive. Because packages are often sold months before departure, a firm that fixes prices early carries the cost risk. Firm-level responses include price-revision clauses (permitted under the Package Travel Directive within strict limits), fuel hedging, shorter contract cycles with hotels and dynamic pricing.

2.2 Interest rates and financing conditions

To fight inflation the European Central Bank raised its deposit facility rate from −0.50% in July 2022 to **4.00% in September 2023** (European Central Bank, 2023). Higher rates raise the cost of refinancing debt and reduce the present value of long-term investments such as new hotels or aircraft. Highly leveraged firms are hit hardest, which links the macro-environment directly to capital-structure decisions.

2.3 Market trends

Three trends shape demand. First, booking has moved online, reducing the value of physical travel agencies and making prices transparent. Second, demand has become more volatile and later-booked since the pandemic, so firms must decide capacity with less certainty. Third, customers and investors pay more attention to sustainability, which affects product design and access to capital.

2.4 Regulation

Directive (EU) 2015/2302 makes the package organiser strictly liable for every service in the package, requires refunds within 14 days when a trip is cancelled for unavoidable circumstances, and requires insolvency protection. During the pandemic this turned a demand shock into an immediate liquidity shock, because operators had to refund customers while revenue stopped. Regulation therefore directly shapes how much cash buffer a firm must hold.

Table 1. Key macro-environmental factors and their firm-level effect

Factor	Recent evidence	Firm-level effect	Typical decision response
Inflation	Euro area HICP 10.6% (Oct 2022)	Rising input costs; price-sensitive demand	Hedging, price-revision clauses, dynamic pricing
Interest rates	ECB deposit rate −0.5% → 4.0% (2022–23)	Higher refinancing cost; lower NPV of projects	Deleverage, delay or phase capital projects
Market trends	Shift to online booking; late booking	Store networks lose value; forecast error rises	Digital channels, flexible capacity
Regulation	Package Travel Directive 2015/2302	Strict liability; 14-day refunds	Liquidity buffers, insolvency protection

3. Organisational & Strategic Analysis

3.1 The classic strategic planning process

The classic model treats strategy as a rational, sequential process: environmental and internal analysis, setting objectives, choosing a strategy (for example Porter's generic strategies or Ansoff's growth matrix), allocating resources and then implementing and controlling. It is usually run annually and produces a multi-year plan and budget. Its strengths are coherence, clear accountability and disciplined capital allocation, which matter for asset-heavy firms such as airlines and hotel groups.

Its weaknesses appear when the environment moves faster than the planning cycle. Mintzberg (1994) argued that formal planning cannot forecast discontinuities and tends to separate thinking from doing; in practice much realised strategy is **emergent** rather than deliberate (Mintzberg & Waters, 1985). A budget built on 2% inflation and cheap credit became obsolete within months in 2022.

3.2 Agile strategic methodologies

Agile approaches, which began in software development, apply short iterative cycles, small cross-functional teams and continuous feedback to management (Rigby et al., 2016). At strategic level this means rolling forecasts instead of fixed annual budgets, scenario planning instead of a single forecast, quarterly re-prioritisation of initiatives and decision rights pushed closer to the market. The theoretical basis is the dynamic capabilities view: competitive advantage comes from the ability to sense change, seize opportunities and reconfigure resources (Teece et al., 1997).

Agile has limits. Constant re-prioritisation can produce short-termism, confuse staff and starve long-term investments. Large capital commitments, such as a cruise ship ordered years in advance, cannot be changed every quarter.

Table 2. Classic versus agile strategic decision-making

Dimension	Classic planning	Agile approach
Planning horizon	3–5 year plan, annual budget	Stable intent plus rolling 90-day priorities
Assumed environment	Predictable, forecastable	Uncertain; several scenarios
Decision locus	Top management, planning department	Cross-functional teams close to the market
Control	Variance against fixed budget	Leading indicators and triggers
Strength	Coherence, capital discipline	Speed, learning, responsiveness
Risk	Rigidity, late reaction	Short-termism, loss of direction

4. Findings & Decision-Making Framework

4.1 Case A – Thomas Cook: failure to adapt

Thomas Cook, founded in 1841, ceased trading on 23 September 2019, leaving around 150,000 UK holidaymakers to be repatriated. Analysts pointed to a combination of a debt pile of about £1.6–1.7 billion, several hundred high-street stores carrying rent and staff costs while customers moved online, Brexit uncertainty and high fuel prices (Financier Worldwide, 2019). Earlier mergers had left the group with many overlapping shops, and debt taken on to survive previous crises limited its ability to invest in digital channels. In terms of Section 3, the firm kept executing a deliberate, vertically integrated strategy long after its environmental assumptions had broken down, and high leverage removed the room for manoeuvre needed to change course.

4.2 Case B – TUI Group: adaptation under extreme stress

TUI, Europe’s largest leisure travel group, faced a near-total stop in revenue in 2020. It received three stabilisation packages from the German state worth around €4.3 billion, combining capital from the Economic Stabilisation Fund (WSF) and KfW credit lines. As travel recovered, management followed an agreed repayment roadmap: one silent participation of €671 million was repaid in 2022, and after a €1.8 billion capital increase the remaining WSF aid was fully repaid on 28 April 2023, with about €381 million paid to the state in interest and related compensation (TUI Group, 2023). The case shows two adaptive capabilities: securing liquidity

quickly when the environment collapsed, and then deliberately rebuilding the balance sheet with equity rather than more debt once the rate environment had turned against borrowers.

4.3 Lessons from the cases

- **Leverage determines adaptability.** Both firms faced severe shocks; the one with a viable path to recapitalisation survived.
- **Fixed assets must match market trends.** A store network that is an asset in one decade can become a liability in the next.
- **Speed of decision matters.** Liquidity decisions in 2020 had to be made in weeks, not in an annual planning cycle.

4.4 Proposed model: the 3S-DR adaptation cycle

Building on the dynamic capabilities view and the case lessons, the following five-stage model combines the discipline of classic planning with agile speed. The strategic intent (mission, target market, balance-sheet limits) stays fixed for three to five years; everything below it is reviewed in a 90-day cycle.

Table 3. The Sense–Scenario–Decide–Sprint–Review (3S-DR) model

Stage	Key question	Tools	Output
1. Sense	What has changed?	PESTEL dashboard; trigger thresholds (e.g. inflation, rates, booking curve)	Monthly risk signal report
2. Scenario	What could happen next?	3 scenarios (base, downside, severe); stress test of cash	Cash runway per scenario
3. Decide	Which options keep us inside our limits?	Option portfolio; pre-agreed playbooks; leverage ceiling	Ranked decisions with owners
4. Sprint	How do we execute fast?	90-day cross-functional sprints; rolling forecast	Implemented initiatives, KPIs
5. Review	What did we learn?	Retrospective; KPI vs leading indicators	Updated triggers and playbooks

The model's practical value lies in **pre-agreed triggers**. For example: if the booking curve falls 15% below plan for four weeks, the downside scenario playbook is activated (capacity release, marketing shift, hiring freeze) without waiting for the annual budget review.

5. Discussion & Business Implications

The analysis suggests that adapting to the environment is less about choosing between classic and agile planning than about separating decisions by how reversible they are. Irreversible, capital-heavy decisions (fleet, hotel ownership, mergers) still benefit from rigorous classic

analysis with scenario stress tests. Reversible decisions (pricing, marketing mix, capacity contracts, channel mix) should be delegated and reviewed in short cycles.

Three implications follow for executives. First, **financial structure is a strategic variable**: in a high-rate environment, leverage that looked efficient under zero rates becomes a constraint on adaptation, as Thomas Cook illustrates. Second, **contract design is a source of flexibility**: shorter hotel commitments, release clauses and price-revision terms transfer part of the volatility to suppliers or customers. Third, **organisational culture matters**: agile routines only work if staff have the data and authority to act, which requires investment in information systems and leadership that tolerates small, fast corrections.

The model also has limitations. Small firms may lack the data and management capacity for monthly scenario work, and public support such as TUI received cannot be planned for. Trigger thresholds must be calibrated to each firm, and too many triggers create noise.

6. Conclusion & Recommendations

Macroeconomic factors such as inflation, interest rates, digital market change and consumer regulation now influence operational decisions directly. Classic planning provides coherence but reacts slowly; agile methods add speed but can lose direction. The case evidence shows that survival depends on financial flexibility and on the willingness to change the business model before the market forces it. The following recommendations are made:

1. Introduce a monthly PESTEL dashboard with explicit trigger thresholds for inflation, interest rates, fuel prices and booking trends.
2. Replace the single annual budget with a rolling 12-month forecast and three standing scenarios, each with a cash-runway calculation.
3. Set a board-approved leverage ceiling (e.g. net debt below 2.5× EBITDA) to protect the capacity to adapt.
4. Separate irreversible from reversible decisions and run reversible ones in 90-day cross-functional sprints.
5. Redesign supplier and customer contracts to build in flexibility (release clauses, price-revision terms, hedging).
6. Hold a quarterly review to update triggers and playbooks based on what was learned.

References

European Central Bank. (2023, September 14). *Monetary policy decisions* [Press release]. https://www.ecb.europa.eu

European Parliament & Council of the European Union. (2015). Directive (EU) 2015/2302 on package travel and linked travel arrangements. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 326, 1–33.

Eurostat. (2022, November 17). *Annual inflation up to 10.6% in the euro area* (Euro indicators 130/2022). European Commission.

Financier Worldwide. (2019, November). *Thomas Cook ceases trading*. https://www.financierworldwide.com/thomas-cook-ceases-trading

Johnson, G., Whittington, R., Regnér, P., Angwin, D., & Scholes, K. (2020). *Exploring strategy: Text and cases* (12th ed.). Pearson.

Mintzberg, H. (1994). The rise and fall of strategic planning. *Harvard Business Review*, 72(1), 107–114.

Mintzberg, H., & Waters, J. A. (1985). Of strategies, deliberate and emergent. *Strategic Management Journal*, 6(3), 257–272.

Rigby, D. K., Sutherland, J., & Takeuchi, H. (2016). Embracing agile. *Harvard Business Review*, 94(5), 40–50.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.

TUI Group. (2023, April 28). *TUI repays financial aid from the Economic Stabilisation Fund in full* [Press release]. https://www.tuigroup.com

Appendices

Appendix A – Full PESTEL analysis for a European tour operator

Factor	Key issues	Impact
Political	Geopolitical conflicts and travel advisories; state support schemes in crises	High – can close destinations overnight
Economic	Inflation, interest rates, exchange rates (EUR/USD for fuel), consumer disposable income	High – margins and demand
Social	Late booking, demand for flexibility, preference for individual travel, ageing customers	Medium
Technological	Online and mobile booking, dynamic pricing, AI-based customer service, price transparency	High – channel economics
Environmental	Climate-related disruption (heat, wildfires), aviation emissions costs, sustainability expectations	Medium, rising
Legal	Package Travel Directive liability and refunds, insolvency protection, data protection (GDPR)	High – liquidity requirements

Appendix B – Example trigger table for Stage 1 (Sense)

Indicator	Trigger	Pre-agreed action
Booking curve vs plan	−15% for 4 consecutive weeks	Activate downside scenario; release hotel allotments
Jet fuel price	+20% vs budget	Review hedge cover; apply price-revision clause
ECB deposit rate	+50 bp within a quarter	Re-test refinancing plan; defer non-critical capex
Travel advisory	Official warning for a top-5 destination	Re-route customers; free rebooking campaign