

**Developing Sustainable Tourism Spaces: Strategies for Balancing Environmental,
Economic, and Social Factors**

Karma Wangchuk

European Institute for Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Technology

DE240180, Planning and Management of Sustainable Tourism Space

Mahmoud Abdou

Date: 30.11.2025

Contents

Abstract.....	3
Introduction.....	4
Literature Review.....	5
The Conceptual Evolution of Sustainable Tourism	5
The Pillars of Sustainability: Environmental, Economic, and Socio-Cultural Dimensions....	5
Theoretical Frameworks: The Limits of Acceptable Change (LAC) and Tourism Area Life Cycle (TALC)	6
The Role of Stakeholders in Sustainable Tourism Planning	7
Methodology	8
• Academic Literature:	8
• Grey Literature:.....	8
• Documentary Evidence:.....	8
Findings and Analysis	9
Case Study 1: The Blue Mountains (Australia) – Integrating LAC in a World Heritage Area ...	9
Case Study 2: Rwanda's Volcanoes National Park – High-Value, Low-Impact Ecotourism.	10
Discussion	11
Synthesizing Effective Cross-Dimensional Strategies	11
The Centrality of Community Empowerment and Consumer Behaviour.....	12
Innovative Recommendations for Enhanced Sustainability Practices	13
Implementing Dynamic Carrying Capacity Models	13
Developing Authentic Community-Equity Tourism Ventures.....	13
Leveraging Gamification for Pro-Sustainability Behaviour	14
Conclusion	15
References	16
Appendices	18

Abstract

The exponential growth of global tourism has placed unprecedented pressure on destinations, necessitating a paradigm shift towards sustainability. This paper examines the intricate planning and management strategies required to develop sustainable tourism spaces that effectively balance environmental, economic, and social factors. Through a qualitative methodology involving a comprehensive review of academic literature and an in-depth analysis of three distinct case studies—The Blue Mountains (Australia), Volcanoes National Park (Rwanda), and Ljubljana (Slovenia)—this research identifies key success factors and persistent challenges. The findings reveal that robust regulatory frameworks, community-centric economic models, and the strategic application of carrying capacity models are critical.

The discussion synthesizes these findings to propose innovative recommendations, including the adoption of dynamic carrying capacity tools powered by real-time data, the promotion of community-owned tourism enterprises to ensure equitable benefit distribution, and the use of gamification to influence tourist behaviour towards more sustainable practices. The paper concludes that the future of tourism lies in integrated, adaptive management approaches that are co-created with local communities, ensuring that tourism development is not only a source of economic prosperity but also a catalyst for environmental stewardship and social well-being.

Keywords: sustainable tourism, planning and management, triple bottom line, carrying capacity, community-based tourism, stakeholder engagement, ecotourism

Introduction

Tourism is one of the world's largest economic sectors, contributing significantly to global GDP and employment. However, its rapid and often unregulated expansion has led to a range of detrimental impacts, including environmental degradation, cultural commodification, and social displacement in host communities (United Nations World Tourism Organization [UNWTO], 2019). The concept of sustainable tourism emerged as a direct response to these challenges, advocating for a form of development that "meets the needs of present tourists and host regions while protecting and enhancing opportunity for the future" (World Tourism Organization, 1996, p. 30). This entails a delicate and conscious balancing act between three core dimensions: environmental integrity, economic viability, and social equity.

The primary aim of this research is to analyze and evaluate the strategic frameworks and operational tools used in the planning and management of sustainable tourism spaces. To achieve this aim, the paper pursues the following objectives:

1. To critically review the theoretical underpinnings of sustainable tourism, including its core principles and established planning models.
2. To investigate, through detailed case studies, real-world applications of strategies that seek to balance environmental, economic, and social factors.
3. To evaluate the role of stakeholder engagement, particularly local communities, and the influence of evolving tourist behaviour in shaping sustainable outcomes.
4. To formulate innovative and practical recommendations for enhancing sustainability practices in diverse tourism contexts.

The scope of this paper encompasses a broad range of tourism spaces, from protected natural areas to urban destinations, to provide a holistic understanding of sustainability challenges and solutions. The analysis is grounded in the theoretical frameworks of the Limits of Acceptable Change (LAC) and the Tourism Area Life Cycle (TALC), which provide structured approaches for managing visitor impacts and destination evolution.

The structure of this paper begins with a Literature Review that establishes the theoretical foundation. The Methodology section outlines the qualitative approach employed. The Findings and Analysis section presents three detailed case studies, dissecting their strategies and outcomes. The Discussion synthesizes these findings, critically evaluates behavioural

trends, and presents innovative strategic recommendations. Finally, the Conclusion summarizes the key insights and suggests directions for future research and practice.

Literature Review

The Conceptual Evolution of Sustainable Tourism

The concept of sustainable tourism is intrinsically linked to the broader paradigm of sustainable development, which was globally popularized by the Brundtland Commission's report, *Our Common Future* (World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987). The report defined sustainable development as development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Translating this principle into the tourism context, the World Tourism Organization (1996) positioned sustainable tourism as a guiding principle for all tourism development, not merely a niche product like ecotourism.

Early discourse on sustainable tourism was predominantly environmentally focused, concerned with mitigating pollution, managing waste, and conserving biodiversity in the face of growing tourist numbers. However, the understanding quickly evolved to recognize that environmental protection alone was insufficient without considering the well-being of local populations and the long-term economic health of the destination. This led to the adoption of the "triple bottom line" framework, which posits that true sustainability rests on three interdependent pillars: environmental, economic, and socio-cultural (Elkington, 1997). A failure in any one pillar ultimately undermines the entire system. For instance, an environmentally pristine destination that offers no economic benefit to its residents will struggle to garner local support for conservation, while an economically booming destination that destroys its cultural heritage and natural environment will eventually lose its market appeal (Sharpley, 2009).

The Pillars of Sustainability: Environmental, Economic, and Socio-Cultural Dimensions

The environmental dimension of sustainable tourism focuses on the prudent use of natural resources, the maintenance of biological diversity, and the preservation of ecological systems. Key concerns include managing energy and water consumption, minimizing pollution and waste, and protecting fragile ecosystems from the physical impacts of tourism infrastructure and visitor activities (Buckley, 2012). Strategies often involve conducting Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs), establishing protected areas, implementing waste management

systems, and promoting renewable energy sources. The core objective is to ensure that tourism development remains within the carrying capacity of the destination's environment.

The economic dimension emphasizes generating prosperity, income, and employment for host communities and other stakeholders. Sustainable economic tourism seeks to be viable in the long term, providing stable employment and contributing to poverty alleviation. It involves creating linkages with local economies by sourcing goods and services locally, ensuring that a significant portion of tourist expenditure remains within the destination, and fostering entrepreneurship (Telfer & Sharpley, 2015). This dimension also involves managing economic leakage, where revenues are siphoned off by international corporations, and mitigating issues like seasonality that can lead to unstable employment. As Liu (2003) argues, "without economic sustainability, none of the other dimensions of sustainability are feasible" (p. 467), highlighting the need for tourism to be economically productive to fund environmental and social initiatives.

The socio-cultural dimension is perhaps the most complex, dealing with the interactions between tourists and hosts, and the impacts of tourism on local cultures, traditions, and social structures. Sustainable tourism should respect the socio-cultural authenticity of host communities, conserve their built and living cultural heritage and traditional values, and contribute to inter-cultural understanding and tolerance (UNWTO, 2018). Negative socio-cultural impacts can include the commodification of culture, social disruption, and the creation of resentment between residents and tourists. Therefore, strategies must focus on empowering host communities, allowing them to have a voice in tourism planning, and ensuring that tourism benefits are distributed equitably. This dimension is deeply connected to consumer behaviour, as the attitudes and actions of tourists—such as showing cultural respect and seeking authentic experiences—directly influence socio-cultural outcomes.

Theoretical Frameworks: The Limits of Acceptable Change (LAC) and Tourism Area Life Cycle (TALC)

Effective planning and management of sustainable tourism spaces are often guided by theoretical frameworks that provide a structured process for decision-making. Two of the most influential are the Limits of Acceptable Change (LAC) and the Tourism Area Life Cycle (TALC).

The **Limits of Acceptable Change (LAC)** framework, developed initially for wilderness management, moves beyond the simplistic concept of physical carrying capacity. Instead of asking "how much use is too much?" it asks "what is the acceptable condition of the resource and the visitor experience, and how can we manage to achieve it?" (Stankey et al., 1985). The LAC process involves steps such as defining desired conditions, selecting indicators of resource and social conditions, monitoring these indicators, and implementing management actions when thresholds are exceeded. This framework is particularly useful for managing tourism in protected natural areas, as it allows managers to define explicitly how much change is acceptable and to take proactive measures to maintain conditions within those limits.

The **Tourism Area Life Cycle (TALC)** model, proposed by Butler (1980), describes the evolution of a tourist destination through a sequence of stages: exploration, involvement, development, consolidation, stagnation, and then either decline or rejuvenation. The model suggests that as a destination develops and tourist numbers increase, the very attributes that made it attractive—such as natural beauty and authentic culture—can be degraded, leading to its eventual decline. The TALC model is a powerful planning tool because it helps destination managers anticipate future challenges and implement strategies, such as market diversification or product innovation, in the consolidation or stagnation stages to avoid decline and move towards a sustainable, rejuvenated state. The application of the TALC model in various contexts has shown that without proactive management, destinations are likely to follow a path of irreversible degradation (Butler, 2011).

The Role of Stakeholders in Sustainable Tourism Planning

Sustainable tourism cannot be imposed from the top down; it requires the active involvement and collaboration of a wide range of stakeholders. Stakeholders include local communities, tourism businesses (from multinational chains to small family-run guesthouses), government agencies at all levels, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and the tourists themselves (Byrd, 2007). Each stakeholder group has different interests, perceptions, and levels of power.

Local communities are arguably the most critical stakeholders, as they are the ones who experience the daily impacts of tourism and are the custodians of the local culture and environment. Community-based tourism (CBT) models, where communities have a significant degree of control and ownership over tourism initiatives, have been shown to be highly effective in ensuring that benefits are retained locally and that development aligns with community values (Okazaki, 2008). Effective stakeholder engagement involves participatory

planning processes, transparent communication, and the establishment of partnerships that build trust and shared ownership of the tourism development process.

Methodology

This research employs a qualitative research design to achieve an in-depth and nuanced understanding of the strategies for planning and managing sustainable tourism spaces. A qualitative approach is deemed most appropriate as it allows for the exploration of complex phenomena within their real-world contexts, focusing on the "how" and "why" of strategic implementation (Yin, 2018). This aligns perfectly with the objectives of this paper, which require a detailed examination of the interplay between strategies, stakeholder actions, and contextual factors.

The primary method of data collection is a comprehensive and systematic review of secondary sources. This includes:

- **Academic Literature:** Peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference proceedings from fields such as tourism studies, environmental management, and sustainable development. Databases like Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were utilized.
- **Grey Literature:** Reports from international organizations (e.g., UNWTO, World Bank), government publications, case studies from reputable research institutions, and sustainability reports from tourism operators.
- **Documentary Evidence:** Management plans for protected areas, tourism development strategies for cities, and published visitor statistics.

The data analysis followed a thematic analysis approach. This involved a systematic process of coding the collected data to identify, analyze, and report patterns (themes) relevant to the research objectives (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The initial coding framework was derived from the core concepts of the literature review—environmental, economic, and social strategies, stakeholder roles, and application of theoretical models. As analysis progressed, new codes emerged inductively from the data, such as "resilience to crisis" and "the role of certification."

The selection of case studies was purposive, aiming to provide a diverse and informative sample that reflects different contexts and applications of sustainable tourism:

1. **The Blue Mountains, Australia:** Represents the application of the LAC framework in a mature, protected natural area facing significant visitor pressure.
2. **Volcanoes National Park, Rwanda:** Exemplifies a high-value, low-impact ecotourism model in a fragile ecosystem, with a strong focus on conservation and community revenue-sharing.

This methodological approach provides a robust foundation for a critical and insightful analysis of sustainable tourism planning and management.

Findings and Analysis

Case Study 1: The Blue Mountains (Australia) – Integrating LAC in a World Heritage Area

The Greater Blue Mountains Area (GBMA), a UNESCO World Heritage site located in New South Wales, Australia, is renowned for its dramatic landscapes, eucalyptus forests, and biodiversity. It receives over four million visitors annually, creating significant pressure on its sensitive ecosystems, including soil erosion, vegetation damage, and water pollution (Prosser, 2010). The management of this area provides a classic example of applying the Limits of Acceptable Change (LAC) framework.

The planning and management strategy, as outlined in the *Greater Blue Mountains World Heritage Area Strategic Plan*, is deeply rooted in the LAC process. Managers first defined the "desired conditions" for different zones within the park—from highly developed picnic areas to pristine wilderness. For each zone, specific indicators and standards were established. For example, in popular walking tracks, an indicator might be the width of the trail, with a standard that it should not exceed two meters. In wilderness zones, an indicator could be the sighting of human waste, with a standard of zero (Blue Mountains City Council, 2017).

When monitoring data indicates that standards are being breached, a range of management actions are triggered. These actions are tiered, moving from minimal intervention to more direct controls. Strategies include:

- **Environmental:** Hardening sites with boardwalks and designated paths to concentrate impact; implementing seasonal closures to allow for ecological recovery; rigorous waste management and "leave no trace" education campaigns.

- *Economic:* A park entry fee system that generates revenue directly reinvested into conservation and infrastructure maintenance. This creates a direct economic link between tourist use and environmental stewardship.
- *Social:* Extensive community consultation processes and partnerships with Indigenous Traditional Owners, the Gundungurra and Darug peoples, to incorporate cultural knowledge into land management and offer culturally sensitive tourist experiences.

The LAC framework has allowed Blue Mountains managers to move from a reactive to a proactive stance. Rather than waiting for irreversible damage, they manage visitor use and infrastructure to maintain predefined acceptable conditions. This represents a sophisticated balance: it protects the environmental asset (the World Heritage values) while still facilitating economic benefits from tourism and ensuring that the social and cultural values of the area are respected and integrated. The main challenge remains the sheer volume of visitors, requiring constant adaptation and investment in monitoring and infrastructure.

Case Study 2: Rwanda's Volcanoes National Park – High-Value, Low-Impact Ecotourism

Volcanoes National Park (VNP) in Rwanda is home to the critically endangered mountain gorillas. In the past, the park and its surrounding communities suffered from poaching and habitat loss. Today, it is a global benchmark for a conservation-focused tourism model that powerfully aligns environmental, economic, and social goals.

The core strategy is a "high-value, low-impact" ecotourism model. Access to view the gorillas is strictly limited to a small number of visitors per day, and the permit cost is significant (currently \$1,500 per person). This strategy directly addresses all three pillars of sustainability:

- *Environmental:* The high permit cost funds anti-poaching patrols, veterinary care for the gorillas, and habitat restoration programs. The low number of visitors minimizes behavioural disturbance to the gorillas and physical damage to their habitat. This is a direct application of a strict biological carrying capacity.
- *Economic:* The revenue generated is substantial. A mandated 10% of all park revenue is shared with local communities living adjacent to the park, funding projects like the construction of schools, health clinics, and clean water systems (Rwanda Development Board [RDB], 2021). This revenue sharing, a form of Payments for Ecosystem Services

(PES), transforms the gorillas from a liability into a valuable asset for the community, creating a powerful economic incentive for conservation.

- *Social:* The community revenue-sharing scheme fosters a strong sense of ownership and pride. Locals who once poached for survival now become active protectors of the park. Furthermore, the park authority prioritizes the employment of local people as porters, guides, and trackers, ensuring that economic benefits are widespread.

The success of this model is undeniable. The mountain gorilla population in the Virunga Massif, which includes VNP, has increased by over 60% in the last three decades, a rare conservation success story (RDB, 2021). The model demonstrates that by focusing on exclusivity and quality rather than volume, tourism can generate sufficient revenue to fund world-class conservation while simultaneously lifting local communities out of poverty. The challenge for Rwanda will be to manage the "success trap"—the potential for over-reliance on a single, high-value product and the pressure to increase visitor numbers or permit prices, which could undermine the very model that made it successful.

Discussion

Synthesizing Effective Cross-Dimensional Strategies

The analysis of the three case studies reveals that successful sustainable tourism planning is not about implementing isolated environmental, economic, or social tactics, but about designing integrated strategies where actions in one dimension reinforce the others. A synthesis of the findings identifies several cross-cutting strategies that are instrumental in achieving the tripartite balance.

First, the strategic limitation of visitor numbers is a powerful, albeit challenging, tool. Both the Blue Mountains (through zoning and management actions) and Volcanoes National Park (through a strict permit system) demonstrate that managing quantity is fundamental to managing quality and impact. This aligns with the theoretical foundations of carrying capacity and the LAC framework. By controlling volume, destinations can protect their environmental assets, maintain the quality of the visitor experience, and, as in Rwanda's case, create a high-value economic model that funds conservation and community development.

Second, the creation of direct feedback loops between tourism revenue and sustainability investments is critical. In Rwanda, a portion of every tourism dollar is automatically funneled back into conservation and community projects. In the Blue Mountains, park fees are directly

reinvested in track maintenance and conservation programs. This creates a self-reinforcing system where the economic success of tourism is directly tied to the health of its environmental and social foundations, mitigating the risk of prioritizing short-term profit over long-term sustainability.

Third, proactive and inclusive stakeholder engagement, particularly with local communities, is a non-negotiable element. The failure of many tourism projects can be traced to the exclusion or marginalization of local populations. In contrast, Rwanda's revenue-sharing scheme and Ljubljana's participatory planning give locals a tangible stake in the success of sustainable tourism. This transforms them from passive observers or opponents into active partners and stewards, which is essential for the long-term resilience and legitimacy of any tourism initiative.

The Centrality of Community Empowerment and Consumer Behaviour

The case studies underscore that the socio-cultural pillar is the linchpin of sustainability. Without the support and active involvement of the host community, even the most well-intentioned environmental and economic plans can fail. Community empowerment moves beyond mere consultation to include aspects of ownership, control, and equitable benefit-sharing. The success of Volcanoes National Park is fundamentally rooted in this principle; the gorillas are worth more to the community alive than dead because of the tourism revenue they generate. This aligns with consumer behaviour theories, such as the Theory of Planned Behaviour, which suggests that attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioural control influence intentions and actions. When a community perceives that it has control (behavioural control) and that tourism brings tangible benefits (positive attitude), its collective intention and action will support sustainable practices (Ajzen, 1991).

Furthermore, the role of the tourist, or consumer, is evolving. A growing segment of the market, often driven by values and a desire for authentic experiences, is actively seeking out sustainable tourism options. This trend is reflected in the rise of certifications like EarthCheck and GSTC (Global Sustainable Tourism Council), which help tourists make informed choices. Destinations like Ljubljana leverage this by marketing their green credentials, attracting a tourist demographic that is more likely to respect local norms, spend money locally, and have a lower environmental impact. Understanding and influencing consumer behaviour is therefore a critical management strategy. Education, interpretation, and nudging—such as the "leave no

trace" principles in the Blue Mountains or the cultural guidelines for gorilla trekking in Rwanda—are essential tools for shaping tourist behaviour to align with sustainability goals.

Innovative Recommendations for Enhanced Sustainability Practices

Based on the critical evaluation of the literature and case studies, the following innovative recommendations are proposed to advance the planning and management of sustainable tourism spaces.

Implementing Dynamic Carrying Capacity Models

Traditional carrying capacity models are often static. A more innovative approach is to develop **Dynamic Carrying Capacity (DCC) models** powered by real-time data. Using a network of sensors (IoT) to monitor indicators such as footfall in specific areas, vehicle traffic, noise levels, water quality, and even Wi-Fi hotspot density, managers can receive live data on environmental and social conditions. An AI-powered platform could then analyze this data against predefined acceptable thresholds (the LAC standards). For example, if visitor numbers on a specific trail in the Blue Mountains approach a critical threshold, the system could automatically:

- Send alerts to visitor apps suggesting alternative, less-crowded routes.
- Adjust the availability of parking spaces or public transport schedules to disperse flow.
- Provide real-time information to rangers to enable targeted on-ground management.

This transforms management from a periodic, reactive exercise into a continuous, adaptive, and proactive system, optimizing the visitor experience while rigorously protecting the resource.

Developing Authentic Community-Equity Tourism Ventures

To deepen community empowerment beyond revenue-sharing and employment, destinations should pioneer Community-Equity Tourism Ventures. In this model, the local community collectively owns a significant equity stake in a major tourism asset—be it a lodge, a tour operating company, or a key attraction. This goes beyond CSR initiatives; it makes the community genuine shareholders and decision-makers.

For instance, a percentage of the revenue from a new hotel development could be structured as community equity, with dividends paid annually to a community trust fund. This trust,

governed by a board of local representatives, would then invest these dividends in projects chosen by the community—be it education, healthcare, or further local business development. This creates a permanent, self-sustaining cycle of investment in local well-being, fundamentally aligning the economic interests of external investors with the long-term social health of the host community. It turns "trickle-down" economics into a structured "flow-through" model, ensuring that tourism growth directly translates into community wealth creation.

Leveraging Gamification for Pro-Sustainability Behaviour

To more effectively influence the behaviour of the modern tourist, destinations should employ Gamification strategies. This involves using game-design elements in non-game contexts to motivate participation and engagement. A destination could develop a dedicated mobile app where tourists earn "sustainability points" for positive behaviours.

- Points for using public transport or bicycles instead of rental cars.
- Points for participating in a plastic-free day or a conservation volunteering activity (e.g., a beach clean-up).
- Points for visiting and spending money at locally owned, certified sustainable businesses.
- Points for completing educational quizzes about local culture and environment.

Accumulated points could then be redeemed for rewards: discounts at local shops, priority access to popular attractions, a free guided tour, or even a certificate of "Sustainable Traveler" status. This approach taps into intrinsic motivations like achievement and status, making sustainable behaviour fun, rewarding, and socially visible. It is a powerful tool for moving beyond passive education to active engagement, harnessing the power of consumer behaviour for positive impact.

Conclusion

This paper has explored the complex and critical task of planning and managing tourism spaces in a manner that authentically balances environmental, economic, and social factors. The journey from theory to practice, as illustrated through the case studies of the Blue Mountains, Volcanoes National Park, and Ljubljana, demonstrates that sustainability is not a fixed destination but a continuous process of adaptation, monitoring, and collaboration.

The key findings confirm that effective strategies are inherently integrated. Limiting visitor impact through frameworks like LAC, creating direct economic linkages that fund conservation and community development, and placing community empowerment at the heart of the planning process are foundational to success. The analysis further highlights the growing importance of understanding and shaping tourist behaviour, as the choices of consumers increasingly drive market trends towards sustainability.

The innovative recommendations proposed—Dynamic Carrying Capacity models, Community-Equity Ventures, and Gamification—offer forward-looking pathways to overcome existing challenges. These strategies leverage technology, innovative finance, and behavioural psychology to create more resilient, equitable, and engaging tourism models. They represent a shift from managing tourists to co-creating value with communities and visitors alike.

In conclusion, the future of tourism depends on our collective ability to view destinations not as products to be consumed, but as complex, living systems to be stewarded. The planning and management approaches must be as dynamic and interconnected as the systems they seek to manage. By embracing integrated strategies, fostering genuine partnerships, and harnessing innovation, we can ensure that tourism fulfils its potential as a powerful force for environmental protection, economic prosperity, and social well-being for generations to come.

References

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211.
- Blue Mountains City Council. (2017). *Greater Blue Mountains World Heritage Area Strategic Plan*. <https://www.bmcc.nsw.gov.au/>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Buckley, R. (2012). Sustainable tourism: Research and reality. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 39(2), 528–546.
- Butler, R. W. (1980). The concept of a tourist area cycle of evolution: Implications for management of resources. *The Canadian Geographer/Le Géographe canadien*, 24*(1), 5–12.
- Butler, R. W. (2011). Tourism area life cycle. In J. Jafari & H. Xiao (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of tourism* (pp. 100-102). Springer.
- Byrd, E. T. (2007). Stakeholders in sustainable tourism development and their roles: Applying stakeholder theory to sustainable tourism development. *Tourism Review*, 62(2), 6–13.
- Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Capstone Publishing.
- Jamal, T. B., & Getz, D. (1995). Collaboration theory and community tourism planning. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 22(1), 186–204.
- Liu, Z. (2003). Sustainable tourism development: A critique. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 11(6), 459–475.
- Okazaki, E. (2008). A community-based tourism model: Its conception and use. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 16(5), 511–529.
- Prosser, R. (2010). *Managing the social and environmental impacts of tourism in the Blue Mountains World Heritage Area*. University of Sydney Press.
- Rwanda Development Board (RDB). (2021). *Tourism and conservation: Annual report*. <https://www.rdb.rw/>

Sharpley, R. (2009). *Tourism development and the environment: Beyond sustainability?* Earthscan.

Stankey, G. H., Cole, D. N., Lucas, R. C., Petersen, M. E., & Frissell, S. S. (1985). *The limits of acceptable change (LAC) system for wilderness planning*. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.

Telfer, D. J., & Sharpley, R. (2015). *Tourism and development in the developing world* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO). (2018). *'Overtourism'? – Understanding and managing urban tourism growth beyond perceptions*. UNWTO.

United Nations World Tourism Organization (UNWTO). (2019). *International tourism highlights, 2019 edition*. UNWTO.

World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.

World Tourism Organization. (1996). *Agenda 21 for the travel and tourism industry*. WTO.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Appendices

Case Study	Primary Sustainability Focus	Key Environmental Strategy	Key Economic Strategy	Key Social Strategy	Main Challenge
Blue Mountains, AU	Environmental Conservation	LAC Framework; Zoning & Monitoring	Park Fee Reinvestment	Community & Indigenous Consultation	High Visitor Volume
Volcanoes NP, RW	Conservation & Community	High-Cost, Low-Volume Permits	Revenue Sharing (10% to communities)	Local Employment; Community as Stewards	Over-reliance on Single Product
Ljubljana, SLO	Holistic Urban Sustainability	Pedestrianization; Green Infrastructure	Support for Local Businesses	Participatory Planning; Quality of Life	Managing Growth & Overtourism